

Exhibit D

This page intentionally left blank.



FRANKS & BRENKWITZ, LLP
ARCHITECTURE + PLANNING + HISTORICAL
PO Box 597, Aptos, CA 95001-0597
Phone (831) 662-8800

To: Phil Angelo, Associate Planner Monterey County
1441 Schilling Place, South 2nd Floor
Salinas, CA 93901

Re: PLN220174

Date: 8-24-22

Message: Dear Phil: per your 8-22-22 e-mail, here are our responses (in red) to your questions below:

- For the signs, could you just confirm the height/materials would be similar to the other directional/labeling signs onsite?

The new sign will exactly match the other directional / labeling on-site signs which consist of a rusted metal that has water-jet cut-out letter and numbers, all encased in a rustic wooden frame with posts into the ground. The height would be similar to the existing signs as well.

- For revealing the foundations, could you detail what the planned scope is. Would they be revealed and left as they are? Revealed and capped with the white plaster similar to other foundations in the Mission, etc.?

The foundation elements are adobe and so would be revealed via minor grading and then capped with plaster, similar to other revealed foundations on campus. After they are revealed, adobe consolidation would be performed (with tops slightly sloping away to shed water) with similar materials, and then a layer or two of lime plaster (NHL 3.5) would be applied. After this cures, several coats of lime wash would then be applied. This forms a "sacrificial" layer that still allows the adobe to "breathe" and not seal in water. Maintenance will need to be ongoing every few years to restore the lime wash as needed.

- For the approach taken with the foundations, could you provide some analysis on whether this is consistent with the secretary of the interior standards and if so under what treatment approach? (preservation, rehabilitation, etc.) This can be a letter or addendum email. I re-read Kent's historical report and it addresses the demolition of the contractors office in detail but I think the County's staff report should also address whether revealing the foundations would have a long term impact on their integrity, and if so, how that impact is addressed.

The Project Historian replied:

Dear Mr Brenkwitz

Your proposal for the treatment of the exposed foundations of the Mayordomo's Hse. at Mission San Antonio is consistent with the National Parks Service Preservation Brief #5 on Adobe Buildings. As noted your capping with a lime plaster should be preceded with repair, only as needed, of the foundation adobes with a material similar in nature to the actual bricks, which will probably be found on site as that was what the place was constructed with c 1850. The lime plaster you mention can then be employed to both protect the surface of the feature and dissuade visitors damaging the walls.

As a continuing treatment it would be useful to institute a program to rid the the foundations of all plant, insect and animal pests as possible and take preventative measures against their return. seedlings should be removed when found and any existing large plant carefully removed so their roots cannot dislodge adobe material. Regarding pests and insects, any pest control chemicals should be examined carefully in order to assess the immediate and long lasting effects of the chemicals on the building material, both for minimizing an damaging effect on the adobe itself, but also for reasons of human and environmental safety. These actions, with scheduled inspection and maintenance should insure the long term integrity of the building fabric, as called for in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

Kent Seavey, state certified historian and architectural historian

Respectfully,



Brett Brenkwitz, Principal