

# Attachment 4

This page intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT 4

State of California  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION  
DIVISION OF MINE RECLAMATION  
NOCI-1 (2019)

Inspection Year 2022

**NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF INSPECTION**

Date of Notice: <u>12</u> / <u>06</u> /20 <u>22</u>
---

<b>To:</b> California Department of Conservation Division of Mine Reclamation Attention: Reporting Unit 801 K Street, MS 09-06 Sacramento, California 95814	<b>From:</b> <u>Monterey County</u> <small>(Lead Agency Name)</small> <u>1441 Schilling Place, South 2nd Floor</u> <small>(Lead Agency Contact Name)</small> <u>Salinas, CA 93901</u> <small>(Lead Agency Address)</small>  <small>(Lead Agency City, State, Zip Code)</small>
--	--

Mine Name: <u>Carmel Stone/BLM Querfurth</u>	CA Mine ID Number: <u>91- 27-0030</u>
--	---------------------------------------

This submittal serves as the Lead Agency notice of completion of the annual inspection to the Division of Mine Reclamation (DMR) as required by the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975 (SMARA), specifically Public Resources Code (PRC) section 2774(b)(1), for the above referenced surface mining operation. A completed copy of the Surface Mine Inspection Report, form MRRC-1 and any other reports or documents prepared in support of this inspection are attached.

(I) Date the annual inspection was conducted: <u>09</u> / <u>07</u> /20 <u>22</u>
---

(II) Upon completion of the annual inspection, were aspects of this surfacing mining operation found by the Lead Agency to be inconsistent with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan?  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>No.</b> Please check "Not Applicable" for box (IV), (V) and (VI), and complete remainder of form. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Yes.</b> Please complete box (IV), (V) and (VI), as appropriate, and complete remainder of form.
---

(III) The following aspects of this surface mining operation were found by the Lead Agency to be inconsistent with the SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan and <u>were</u> corrected before submission of the Inspection Report to the Division of Mine Reclamation:          <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
---

(IV) The following aspects of this surface mining operation were found by the Lead Agency to be inconsistent with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan and were not corrected before submission of the Inspection Report to the Division of Mine Reclamation:

Based on overlaying the reclamation plan boundary with August 2013 aerial, it appears as though disturbance extending to the east (into required buffer area) is a result of mining activities. The operator has acknowledged the disturbance outside of the boundary.

The Operator has not submitted an updated FACE since 2015 and has outstanding fees with Monterey County. The operation is in violation of conditions 7, 8 and 9 of the CUP.

*(Box (V) **must** be completed if aspects remain that were not corrected prior to submission of the Inspection Report.)*

Not Applicable

(V) Upon completion of the annual inspection, the Lead Agency has determined this surface mining operation to be:

**Compliant** with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan.

**Not Compliant** with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan.

(VI) The following statement describes the Lead Agency's intended response to any aspects of this surface mining operation found to be inconsistent with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan, but were not corrected before submission of the Inspection Report to the Division of Mine Reclamation. This includes whether a Notice of Violation or Order to Comply has been issued or will be issued or whether other enforcement actions under the lead agency's local mining ordinances has or will be initiated:

The County considers the site abandoned. The County intends to begin the abandonment closure process and is working with the BLM to begin reclamation activities.

*(Box (VI) **must** be completed if aspects remain that were not corrected prior to submission of the Inspection Report.)*

Not Applicable

(VII) The following statement describes whether the surface mining operation is out of compliance with an order to comply or stipulated order to comply issued by the lead agency.

Not Applicable

(VIII) Has a financial assurance cost estimate required under PRC section 2773.4(d) been provided by the operator? If not, please explain.

Yes  No


(IX) Does this surface mining operation have a review of its reclamation plan, plan amendment, financial assurances, or interim management plan pending before the Lead Agency under PRC section 2770(b) or (h)?

Yes  No

(X) Does this surface mining operation have an appeal of its reclamation plan, plan amendment, financial assurances, or interim management plan pending before the State Mining and Geology Board or the lead agency governing body under PRC section 2770(e) or (h)?

Yes  No

(XI) Lead Agency Representative Signature:

Signature: 

Name: Andrew Heinemann  
*(please print)*

Title: Professional Geologist/Mine Inspector

(XII) cc: Vance Querfurth, Carmel Stone Mine  
*(Surface Mine Operator)*

cc: \_\_\_\_\_  
*(Federal Entity, if required)*

**Attachments:**

- Surface Mine Inspection Report, form MRRC-1
- Other reports or documents, if any.

\*\*\*THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY\*\*\*

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>I. Mine Name:</b> Carmel Stone aka BLM Querfurth
--

<b>II. Mine Operator:</b> Carmel Stone Mine		
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1432		
City: Carmel	State: CA	ZIP Code: 93924
Name of Onsite Contact Person: Vance Querfurth	Email Address:	Telephone: (831) 277-7726

<b>III. SMARA Lead Agency Name:</b> Monterey County		
Inspector's Name: Andrew Heinemann		
Name of Entity or Organization: Benchmark Resources		
Inspector's Mailing Address: 2515 East Bidwell Street		
City: Folsom	State: CA	ZIP Code: 95630
E-mail Address: aheinemann@benchmarkresources.com	Telephone: (831) 320-5837	

<b>IV. Approved Documents</b>	
Permit Number: PLN090191 approved 10/26/2011 <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Expiration Date, if Applicable: 10/26/2036
Vested Right: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Date of Lead Agency Determination: NA
Reclamation Plan Number:	Date Approved:
Interim Management Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Initial <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <sup>st</sup> Renewal <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <sup>nd</sup> Renewal	Date Approved: NA

<b>V. Is this operation located partly or solely on Federal land?</b>	Check One: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there any Federal authorizations associated with this operation? If yes, explain: BLM	Check One: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Inspecting Agency Code(s): Lead Agency	Reason for Inspection: Annual SMARA Inspection
Land Use Designation/Zoning for Surface Mine Operation: Permanent Grazing District (P-40)	

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VI. Financial Assurances</b>	
<b>A. Information on Financial Assurance Cost Estimate</b>	
Date and Amount of Most Recently Approved Financial Assurance Cost Estimate	
Date: July 2015	Amount: \$ 143,331
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Information?  NA	Explanation:  NA

<b>B. Information on Financial Assurance Mechanism(s)</b>				
Type of Financial Assurance Mechanism(s): Certificate of Deposit	Financial Assurance Mechanism Number(s): 10292-05504	Amount of Mechanism: \$73,906.00	Date of Expiration: renews annually through 2035	Date of Approval by the Lead Agency: 2013
Total Amount of Mechanism(s):		\$73,906.00		
Has there been a change of operator since the last inspection? If yes, provide the date of notice.			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Date of Change: NA				

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b>		
[Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
<b>Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	<b>List Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)	<b>Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.</b>  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)
<b>A) General Information</b>		
1) Approved mineral type(s)	1) Dimension stone	1) Consistent with findings
2) Approved production amount (Annual/Gross)	2) 4,000 to 37,000 tons/year	2) Operation appeared to be small.
3) Termination date of operations	3) October 26, 2036	
4) Permit end date	4) open space/recreation	



## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
<b>Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	<b>List Reclamation Plan Requirements  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)</b>	<b>Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)</b>
5) Anticipated/approved use of mined lands after reclamation		
6) Description of pre-SMARA disturbances, if any		
<b>B) Boundaries</b>		
1) Property boundary	1-3) See Figure 1. 4) 50' min. buffer from eastern property line	1-3) Most disturbance is within limits. Road (related to mining) appears to be outside of reclamation plan boundary based on 8/23/2013 aerial (Figure 1). 4) Buffer would be violated if this above mentioned disturbance is mining related.
2) Permit boundary		
3) Reclamation plan boundary (RPB)		
4) Setbacks		
<b>C) Slopes – Grading</b>		
1) Fill Slopes –	1.i, 1.ii, 1.iii) No backfill. 2.i, 2.ii) 2:1	1.i, 1.ii, 1.iii) No backfill was observed. 2) minor cut slopes were observed generally within the 2:1 requirement.
i. Slopes – Active (max/current)		
ii. Slopes – Reclaimed		
iii. Compaction		
2) Cut Slopes –		
i. Slopes – Active (max/current)		
ii. Slopes – Reclaimed		
<b>D) Erosion Control</b>		
1) Best management practices (BMPs)	1) berms, silt fences, hay bales, sand bags, rock bags and straw wattles 2) Site designed to drain internally.	1) No silt fences, hay bales, sand bags, rock bags and straw wattles were observed. 2) Site appeared to drain internally.
2) Grading		
3) Vegetation		
<b>E) Ponds</b>		
1) Design – Function	1) 2 detention basins. 2) 100-yr, 24-hr storm, culvert in one pond for emergency discharges. 3) Erosion control per SWPPP.	1-2) One small detention basins was observed. 3) No erosion control measures were observed.
2) Capacity (area/depth/volume)		
3) Maintenance		
<b>F) Stream &amp; Wetland Protection</b>		
1) Buffers (distance to channel)	NA	NA
2) Berms (distance/length/height)		
3) BMPs		
4) Drainage		

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
<b>Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	<b>List Reclamation Plan Requirements  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)</b>	<b>Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)</b>
5) Grading and slopes		
6) Stockpiles		
7) Stream diversions		
<b>G) Sensitive Wildlife &amp; Plant Protection</b>	None specified.	NA
1) List species		
2) Protection measures		
<b>H) Soil/Overburden Stockpile Management</b>		
1) Topsoil	1. i) topsoil to be placed in dedicated stockpiles	1.i, 1.iii) No discernible topsoil stockpiles were observed.
i. Location	1.iii) Topsoil to be protected from wind and water erosion,	
ii. Slope stability	2) no overburden referenced	
iii. BMPs	3.ii.) 6"-12"	
2) Overburden	3.iv.) Spread with dozer or track loader capable of creating 2:1 slopes	
i. Location		
ii. Slope stability		
iii. BMPs		
3) Topsoil Application		
i. Amendments		
ii. Depth		
iii. Moisture		
iv. Application methods		
<b>I) Revegetation</b>		No test plots were observed. No revegetation work has been started. The area is totally barren of vegetation.
1) Test plots	1) 4 plots, 20' x 20'	
2) Species mix	2) Plant/Shrub seed mix	
3) Density	4) 50%	
4) Percent cover		
5) Species richness		
6) Protection		
7) Success monitoring		
8) Invasive species control		
<b>J) Structures</b>	To be removed.	No structure removal required at this time.
<b>K) Equipment</b>	To be removed.	A portable bathroom, small tracked machine, and other debris needs to be removed from the site.

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements	List Reclamation Plan Requirements  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)	Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)
<b>L) Closure of Adits</b>	Not applicable, as this is a surface mine.	NA
<b>M) Other Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	None	NA

<p><b>VIII. A. Use this space to describe general observations and sketches of the operation:</b></p> <p>Violations noted here are consistent with violations found during the previous mine inspection. Conditions remain the same.</p> <p>2 a,b,c (Boundaries): Based on overlaying the reclamation plan boundary with August 2013 aerial, it appears as though disturbance extending to the east (into required buffer area) is a result of mining activities. The operator has acknowledged the disturbance outside of the boundary.</p> <p>8a (Top Soil): This item was previously documented as a violation. However, the operator has shown that he has topsoil with vegetation stored in perimeter berms. The operator has used topsoil which includes vegetation in the perimeter berms. Operator pointed out in 2017 that there is an overall lack of natural topsoil in the area and that he will continue to develop topsoil and vegetation. It has not yet been determined whether or not imported topsoil will be required for the revegetation.</p> <p>The Operator has not submitted an updated FACE since 2015 and has outstanding fees with Monterey County. The site is considered abandoned at this time. The County intends to begin the abandonment closure process, using forfeiture of the FAM to develop correct drainage for the site, set up erosion control, and establish revegetation.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Additional observations/sheets/documents/sketches/photographs attached?    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p><b>B. Describe areas of the operation that have been disturbed since the last inspection:</b></p> <p>No new disturbance since the last inspection.</p> <p>Approximate total disturbed acreage since last inspection: No new disturbed acres since the last inspection.</p> <p>Approximate total acreage of mined lands: Approximately 4 acres of disturbance.</p>
<p><b>C. Describe areas of the operation that have been reclaimed since the last inspection:</b></p> <p>No reclamation has been completed.</p> <p>Approximate reclaimed acreage since last inspection: No acres reclaimed since the last inspection.</p> <p>Approximate total reclaimed acreage: No acres have been reclaimed.</p>
<p><b>D. Describe areas where the operator plans to conduct operations in the upcoming year:</b></p> <p>The mine site has been abandoned. The County intends to use forfeiture of the FAM to complete reclamation requirements such as removing equipment, drainage, erosion control, and revegetation.</p>
<p><b>E. Describe the extent of mined lands with respect to the permitted/approved reclamation plan boundaries:</b>    Approximately 1/3 of an acre of disturbance outside of the permitted/approved reclamation plan.</p>

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

**F. Describe the fee category reported in the most recent Annual Report and its consistency with the conditions observed during the inspection.**

The fee category reported in the most recent Annual Report is consistent with the condition observed during the inspection.

**G. Describe any limitations encountered during the inspection:**

The operator is not available by telephone and does not respond to formal letters requesting FACE documents, and outstanding invoices for fees going back several years.

**H. Describe conditions or aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the approved reclamation plan or SMARA:**

The mine site is considered to be abandoned as the operator does not respond to phone calls or formal letters from the County requesting updated FACES, and outstanding invoices for fees dating back several years. The mine site is in violation of conditions 7, 8, and 9 of the CUP.

**I. Do any of those conditions or aspects require further evaluation?**

No

Yes, describe (For example, further evaluation or analysis may be required by a state-licensed professional or specialist):

**J. Was a Notice of Violation issued for any of the above?**

No, describe:

Yes, describe:  
Notice of violations sent to operator on 12/17/2018.


**K. Describe remedial activities for any pre-existing or existing enforcement actions:**

Letters have been sent to the operator requesting updated FACE, and outstanding fees with the County, but received no response.

**L. Duration of Inspection:**  
Start Time: 5:00 pm      Finish Time: 5:45 pm

<b>M. Weather Code(s):</b> CR	<b>N. Status of Mine Code(s):</b> AB
----------------------------------	---

**O. Inspection Attendees and Affiliations**  
Andrew Heinemann (Benchmark Resources) and Ben Hoke (BLM)

<b>IX. Inspector's "Certificate of Completion of Inspection Workshop" Number:</b> 18035	<b>Inspectors Signature:</b> 	<b>If the inspector is a State-licensed person or a contractor for the lead agency, provide license type and number:</b>  Professional Geologist - PG 9171
	<b>Certification Expiration Date:</b> 04/25/2023	





SOURCE: Aerial—Google Earth (flown 8-17-2021);  
 compiled by Benchmark Resources in 2022

NOTES: Surface disturbance approximated from  
 photograph interpretation for annual inspection report  
 only. Actual field conditions (acreages) may vary.

- - - - -	Surface Disturbance Outside of Reclamation Plan Boundary	0.34 acres
- - - - -	Surface Disturbance	4.01 acres
—————	Reclamation Plan Boundary	±12 acres





**Notes:** General View of the site.

## NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF INSPECTION

Date of Notice: 11 / 30 /20 21

<b>To:</b> California Department of Conservation Division of Mine Reclamation Attention: Reporting Unit 801 K Street, MS 09-06 Sacramento, California 95814	<b>From:</b> <u>Monterey County</u> <small>(Lead Agency Name)</small> <u>1441 Schilling Place, South 2nd Floor</u> <small>(Lead Agency Contact Name)</small> <u>Salinas, CA 93901</u> <small>(Lead Agency Address)</small> <small>(Lead Agency City, State, Zip Code)</small>
--	--

Mine Name: <u>Carmel Stone/BLM Querfurth</u>	CA Mine ID Number: <u>91- 27-0030</u>
--	---------------------------------------

This submittal serves as the Lead Agency notice of completion of the annual inspection to the Division of Mine Reclamation (DMR) as required by the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975 (SMARA), specifically Public Resources Code (PRC) section 2774(b)(1), for the above referenced surface mining operation. A completed copy of the Surface Mine Inspection Report, form MRRRC-1 and any other reports or documents prepared in support of this inspection are attached.

(I) Date the annual inspection was conducted: 09 / 01 /20 21

(II) Upon completion of the annual inspection, were aspects of this surfacing mining operation found by the Lead Agency to be inconsistent with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan?

- No.** Please check "Not Applicable" for box (IV), (V) and (VI), and complete remainder of form.  
 **Yes.** Please complete box (IV), (V) and (VI), as appropriate, and complete remainder of form.

(III) The following aspects of this surface mining operation were found by the Lead Agency to be inconsistent with the SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan and were corrected before submission of the Inspection Report to the Division of Mine Reclamation:

Not Applicable

(IV) The following aspects of this surface mining operation were found by the Lead Agency to be inconsistent with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan and were not corrected before submission of the Inspection Report to the Division of Mine Reclamation:

Based on overlaying the reclamation plan boundary with August 2013 aerial, it appears as though disturbance extending to the east (into required buffer area) is a result of mining activities. The operator has acknowledged the disturbance outside of the boundary.

The Operator has not submitted an updated FACE since 2015 and has outstanding fees with Monterey County. The operation is in violation of conditions 7, 8 and 9 of the CUP.

*(Box (V) **must** be completed if aspects remain that were not corrected prior to submission of the Inspection Report.)*

Not Applicable

(V) Upon completion of the annual inspection, the Lead Agency has determined this surface mining operation to be:

**Compliant** with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan.

**Not Compliant** with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan.

(VI) The following statement describes the Lead Agency's intended response to any aspects of this surface mining operation found to be inconsistent with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan, but were not corrected before submission of the Inspection Report to the Division of Mine Reclamation. This includes whether a Notice of Violation or Order to Comply has been issued or will be issued or whether other enforcement actions under the lead agency's local mining ordinances has or will be initiated:

The County considers the site abandoned. The County intends to begin the abandonment closure process and is working with the BLM to begin reclamation activities.

*(Box (VI) **must** be completed if aspects remain that were not corrected prior to submission of the Inspection Report.)*

Not Applicable

(VII) The following statement describes whether the surface mining operation is out of compliance with an order to comply or stipulated order to comply issued by the lead agency.

Not Applicable



(VIII) Has a financial assurance cost estimate required under PRC section 2773.4(d) been provided by the operator? If not, please explain.

Yes  No

(IX) Does this surface mining operation have a review of its reclamation plan, plan amendment, financial assurances, or interim management plan pending before the Lead Agency under PRC section 2770(b) or (h)?

Yes  No

(X) Does this surface mining operation have an appeal of its reclamation plan, plan amendment, financial assurances, or interim management plan pending before the State Mining and Geology Board or the lead agency governing body under PRC section 2770(e) or (h)?

Yes  No

(XI) Lead Agency Representative Signature:

Signature: 

Name: Andrew Heinemann  
*(please print)*

Title: Professional Geologist/Mine Inspector

(XII) cc: Vance Querfurth, Carmel Stone Mine  
*(Surface Mine Operator)*

cc: \_\_\_\_\_  
*(Federal Entity, if required)*

**Attachments:**

- Surface Mine Inspection Report, form MRRC-1
- Other reports or documents, if any.

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>I. Mine Name:</b> Carmel Stone aka BLM Querfurth
--

<b>II. Mine Operator:</b> Carmel Stone Mine		
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1432		
City: Carmel	State: CA	ZIP Code: 93924
Name of Onsite Contact Person: Vance Querfurth	Email Address:	Telephone: (831) 277-7726

<b>III. SMARA Lead Agency Name:</b> Monterey County		
Inspector's Name: Andrew Heinemann		
Name of Entity or Organization: Benchmark Resources		
Inspector's Mailing Address: 2515 East Bidwell Street		
City: Folsom	State: CA	ZIP Code: 95630
E-mail Address: aheinemann@benchmarkresources.com	Telephone: (831) 320-5837	

<b>IV. Approved Documents</b>	
Permit Number: PLN090191 approved 10/26/2011 <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Expiration Date, if Applicable: 10/26/2036
Vested Right: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Date of Lead Agency Determination: NA
Reclamation Plan Number:	Date Approved:
Interim Management Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Initial <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <sup>st</sup> Renewal <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <sup>nd</sup> Renewal	Date Approved: NA

<b>V. Is this operation located partly or solely on Federal land?</b>	Check One: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there any Federal authorizations associated with this operation? If yes, explain: BLM	Check One: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Inspecting Agency Code(s): Lead Agency	Reason for Inspection: Annual SMARA Inspection
Land Use Designation/Zoning for Surface Mine Operation: Permanent Grazing District (P-40)	

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VI. Financial Assurances</b>	
<b>A. Information on Financial Assurance Cost Estimate</b>	
Date and Amount of Most Recently Approved Financial Assurance Cost Estimate	
Date: July 2015	Amount: \$ 143,331
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Information?  NA	Explanation:  NA

<b>B. Information on Financial Assurance Mechanism(s)</b>				
Type of Financial Assurance Mechanism(s): Certificate of Deposit	Financial Assurance Mechanism Number(s): 10292-05504	Amount of Mechanism: \$73,906.00	Date of Expiration: renews annually through 2035	Date of Approval by the Lead Agency: 2013
Total Amount of Mechanism(s):		\$73,906.00		
Has there been a change of operator since the last inspection? If yes, provide the date of notice.  Date of Change: NA			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
<b>Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	<b>List Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)	<b>Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.</b>  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)
<b>A) General Information</b>		
1) Approved mineral type(s)	1) Dimension stone	1) Consistent with findings
2) Approved production amount (Annual/Gross)	2) 4,000 to 37,000 tons/year	2) Operation appeared to be small.
3) Termination date of operations	3) October 26, 2036	
4) Permit end date	4) open space/recreation	

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
<b>Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	<b>List Reclamation Plan Requirements  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)</b>	<b>Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)</b>
5) Anticipated/approved use of mined lands after reclamation		
6) Description of pre-SMARA disturbances, if any		
<b>B) Boundaries</b>		
1) Property boundary	1-3) See Figure 1. 4) 50' min. buffer from eastern property line	1-3) Most disturbance is within limits. Road (related to mining) appears to be outside of reclamation plan boundary based on 8/23/2013 aerial (Figure 1). 4) Buffer would be violated if this above mentioned disturbance is mining related.
2) Permit boundary		
3) Reclamation plan boundary (RPB)		
4) Setbacks		
<b>C) Slopes – Grading</b>		
1) Fill Slopes –	1.i, 1.ii, 1.iii) No backfill. 2.i, 2.ii) 2:1	1.i, 1.ii, 1.iii) No backfill was observed. 2) minor cut slopes were observed generally within the 2:1 requirement.
i. Slopes – Active (max/current)		
ii. Slopes – Reclaimed		
iii. Compaction		
2) Cut Slopes –		
i. Slopes – Active (max/current)		
ii. Slopes – Reclaimed		
<b>D) Erosion Control</b>		
1) Best management practices (BMPs)	1) berms, silt fences, hay bales, sand bags, rock bags and straw wattles 2) Site designed to drain internally.	1) No silt fences, hay bales, sand bags, rock bags and straw wattles were observed. 2) Site appeared to drain internally.
2) Grading		
3) Vegetation		
<b>E) Ponds</b>		
1) Design – Function	1) 2 detention basins. 2) 100-yr, 24-hr storm, culvert in one pond for emergency discharges. 3) Erosion control per SWPPP.	1-2) One small detention basins was observed. 3) No erosion control measures were observed.
2) Capacity (area/depth/volume)		
3) Maintenance		
<b>F) Stream &amp; Wetland Protection</b>		
1) Buffers (distance to channel)	NA	NA
2) Berms (distance/length/height)		
3) BMPs		
4) Drainage		

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
<b>Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	<b>List Reclamation Plan Requirements  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)</b>	<b>Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)</b>
5) Grading and slopes		
6) Stockpiles		
7) Stream diversions		
<b>G) Sensitive Wildlife &amp; Plant Protection</b>	None specified.	NA
1) List species		
2) Protection measures		
<b>H) Soil/Overburden Stockpile Management</b>		
1) Topsoil	1. i) topsoil to be placed in dedicated stockpiles	1.i, 1.iii) No discernible topsoil stockpiles were observed.
i. Location	1.iii) Topsoil to be protected from wind and water erosion,	
ii. Slope stability	2) no overburden referenced	
iii. BMPs	3.ii.) 6"-12"	
2) Overburden	3.iv.) Spread with dozer or track loader capable of creating 2:1 slopes	
i. Location		
ii. Slope stability		
iii. BMPs		
3) Topsoil Application		
i. Amendments		
ii. Depth		
iii. Moisture		
iv. Application methods		
<b>I) Revegetation</b>		No test plots were observed. No revegetation work has been started. The area is totally barren of vegetation.
1) Test plots	1) 4 plots, 20' x 20'	
2) Species mix	2) Plant/Shrub seed mix	
3) Density	4) 50%	
4) Percent cover		
5) Species richness		
6) Protection		
7) Success monitoring		
8) Invasive species control		
<b>J) Structures</b>	To be removed.	No structure removal required at this time.
<b>K) Equipment</b>	To be removed.	A portable bathroom, small tracked machine, and other debris needs to be removed from the site.

<b>Date Inspection Conducted:</b> 09/01/2021	<b>CA Mine ID Number:</b> 91- 27-0030
---	--

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements	List Reclamation Plan Requirements  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)	Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)
<b>L) Closure of Adits</b>	Not applicable, as this is a surface mine.	NA
<b>M) Other Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	None	NA

<p><b>VIII. A. Use this space to describe general observations and sketches of the operation:</b></p> <p>Violations noted here are consistent with violations found during the previous mine inspection. Conditions remain the same.</p> <p>2 a,b,c (Boundaries): Based on overlaying the reclamation plan boundary with August 2013 aerial, it appears as though disturbance extending to the east (into required buffer area) is a result of mining activities. The operator has acknowledged the disturbance outside of the boundary.</p> <p>8a (Top Soil): This item was previously documented as a violation. However, the operator has shown that he has topsoil with vegetation stored in perimeter berms. The operator has used topsoil which includes vegetation in the perimeter berms. Operator pointed out in 2017 that there is an overall lack of natural topsoil in the area and that he will continue to develop topsoil and vegetation. It has not yet been determined whether or not imported topsoil will be required for the revegetation.</p> <p>The Operator has not submitted an updated FACE since 2015 and has outstanding fees with Monterey County. The site is considered abandoned at this time. The County intends to begin the abandonment closure process, using forfeiture of the FAM to develop correct drainage for the site, set up erosion control, and establish revegetation.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Additional observations/sheets/documents/sketches/photographs attached?    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p><b>B. Describe areas of the operation that have been disturbed since the last inspection:</b></p> <p>No new disturbance since the last inspection.</p> <p>Approximate total disturbed acreage since last inspection: No new disturbed acres since the last inspection.</p> <p>Approximate total acreage of mined lands: Approximately 4 acres of disturbance.</p>
<p><b>C. Describe areas of the operation that have been reclaimed since the last inspection:</b></p> <p>No reclamation has been completed.</p> <p>Approximate reclaimed acreage since last inspection: No acres reclaimed since the last inspection.</p> <p>Approximate total reclaimed acreage: No acres have been reclaimed.</p>
<p><b>D. Describe areas where the operator plans to conduct operations in the upcoming year:</b></p> <p>The mine site has been abandoned. The County intends to use forfeiture of the FAM to complete reclamation requirements such as removing equipment, drainage, erosion control, and revegetation.</p>
<p><b>E. Describe the extent of mined lands with respect to the permitted/approved reclamation plan boundaries:</b> Approximately 1/3 of an acre of disturbance outside of the permitted/approved reclamation plan.</p>

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

**F. Describe the fee category reported in the most recent Annual Report and its consistency with the conditions observed during the inspection.**

The fee category reported in the most recent Annual Report is consistent with the condition observed during the inspection.

**G. Describe any limitations encountered during the inspection:**

The Operator is not available by telephone and does not respond to formal letters requesting FACE documents, and outstanding invoices for fees going back several years.

**H. Describe conditions or aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the approved reclamation plan or SMARA:**

The mine site is considered to be abandoned as the Operator does not respond to phone calls or formal letters from the County requesting updated FACES, and outstanding invoices for fees dating back several years. The mine site is in violation of conditions 7, 8, and 9 of the CUP.

**I. Do any of those conditions or aspects require further evaluation?**

No

Yes, describe (For example, further evaluation or analysis may be required by a state-licensed professional or specialist):

**J. Was a Notice of Violation issued for any of the above?**

No, describe:

Yes, describe:  
 Notice of violations sent to Operator on 12/17/2018.


**K. Describe remedial activities for any pre-existing or existing enforcement actions:**

Letters have been sent to the Operator requesting updated FACE, and outstanding fees with the County, but received no response.

**L. Duration of Inspection:**  
 Start Time: 4:30 pm      Finish Time: 5:00 pm

<b>M. Weather Code(s):</b> CR	<b>N. Status of Mine Code(s):</b> AB
----------------------------------	---

**O. Inspection Attendees and Affiliations**  
 Andrew Heinemann (Benchmark Resources)

<b>IX. Inspector's "Certificate of Completion of Inspection Workshop" Number:</b> 18035	<b>Inspectors Signature:</b> 	<b>If the inspector is a State-licensed person or a contractor for the lead agency, provide license type and number:</b>  Professional Geologist - PG 9171
	<b>Certification Expiration Date:</b> 04/25/2023	





SOURCE: Aerial-Google Earth (flown 2-26-2021);  
 compiled by Benchmark Resources in 2021  
 NOTES: Surface disturbance approximated from  
 photograph interpretation for annual inspection report  
 only. Actual field conditions (acreages) may vary.

- - - - -	Surface Disturbance Outside of Reclamation Plan Boundary	0.34 acres
- - - - -	Surface Disturbance	4.01 acres
—————	Reclamation Plan Boundary	±12 acres





**Notes:** General View of the site.

\*\*\*THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY\*\*\*



## NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF INSPECTION

Date of Notice: 03 / 01 /20 21

<b>To:</b> California Department of Conservation Division of Mine Reclamation Attention: Reporting Unit 801 K Street, MS 09-06 Sacramento, California 95814	<b>From:</b> <u>Monterey County</u> <small>(Lead Agency Name)</small> <u>1441 Schilling Place, South 2nd Floor</u> <small>(Lead Agency Contact Name)</small> <u>Salinas, CA 93901</u> <small>(Lead Agency Address)</small>  <small>(Lead Agency City, State, Zip Code)</small>
--	--

Mine Name: <u>Carmel Stone/BLM Querfurth</u>	CA Mine ID Number: <u>91- 27-0030</u>
--	---------------------------------------

This submittal serves as the Lead Agency notice of completion of the annual inspection to the Division of Mine Reclamation (DMR) as required by the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975 (SMARA), specifically Public Resources Code (PRC) section 2774(b)(1), for the above referenced surface mining operation. A completed copy of the Surface Mine Inspection Report, form MRRRC-1 and any other reports or documents prepared in support of this inspection are attached.

(I) Date the annual inspection was conducted: 11 /25 /20 20

(II) Upon completion of the annual inspection, were aspects of this surfacing mining operation found by the Lead Agency to be inconsistent with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan?

- No.** Please check "Not Applicable" for box (IV), (V) and (VI), and complete remainder of form.  
 **Yes.** Please complete box (IV), (V) and (VI), as appropriate, and complete remainder of form.

(III) The following aspects of this surface mining operation were found by the Lead Agency to be inconsistent with the SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan and were corrected before submission of the Inspection Report to the Division of Mine Reclamation:

Not Applicable

(IV) The following aspects of this surface mining operation were found by the Lead Agency to be inconsistent with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan and were not corrected before submission of the Inspection Report to the Division of Mine Reclamation:

Based on overlaying the reclamation plan boundary with August 2013 aerial, it appears as though disturbance extending to the east (into required buffer area) is a result of mining activities. The operator has acknowledged the disturbance outside of the boundary.

The Operator has not submitted an updated FACE since 2015 and has outstanding fees with Monterey County.

*(Box (V) **must** be completed if aspects remain that were not corrected prior to submission of the Inspection Report.)*

Not Applicable

(V) Upon completion of the annual inspection, the Lead Agency has determined this surface mining operation to be:

**Compliant** with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan.

**Not Compliant** with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan.

(VI) The following statement describes the Lead Agency's intended response to any aspects of this surface mining operation found to be inconsistent with SMARA, including the approved reclamation plan, but were not corrected before submission of the Inspection Report to the Division of Mine Reclamation. This includes whether a Notice of Violation or Order to Comply has been issued or will be issued or whether other enforcement actions under the lead agency's local mining ordinances has or will be initiated:

The County considers the site abandoned. The County intends to begin the abandonment closure process and is working with the BLM to begin reclamation activities.

*(Box (VI) **must** be completed if aspects remain that were not corrected prior to submission of the Inspection Report.)*

Not Applicable

(VII) The following statement describes whether the surface mining operation is out of compliance with an order to comply or stipulated order to comply issued by the lead agency.

Not Applicable

(VIII) Has a financial assurance cost estimate required under PRC section 2773.4(d) been provided by the operator? If not, please explain.

Yes  No

(IX) Does this surface mining operation have a review of its reclamation plan, plan amendment, financial assurances, or interim management plan pending before the Lead Agency under PRC section 2770(b) or (h)?

Yes  No

(X) Does this surface mining operation have an appeal of its reclamation plan, plan amendment, financial assurances, or interim management plan pending before the State Mining and Geology Board or the lead agency governing body under PRC section 2770(e) or (h)?

Yes  No

(XI) Lead Agency Representative Signature:

Signature: 

Name: Andrew Heinemann  
*(please print)*

Title: Professional Geologist/Mine Inspector

(XII) cc: Vance Querfurth, Carmel Stone Mine  
*(Surface Mine Operator)*

cc: \_\_\_\_\_  
*(Federal Entity, if required)*

**Attachments:**

- Surface Mine Inspection Report, form MRRC-1
- Other reports or documents, if any.

<b>Date Inspection Conducted:</b> 11/25/2020	<b>CA Mine ID Number:</b> 91- 27-0030
---	--

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>I. Mine Name:</b> Carmel Stone aka BLM Querfurth
--

<b>II. Mine Operator:</b> Carmel Stone Mine		
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1432		
City: Carmel	State: CA	ZIP Code: 93924
Name of Onsite Contact Person: Vance Querfurth	Email Address:	Telephone: (831) 277-7726

<b>III. SMARA Lead Agency Name:</b> Monterey County		
Inspector's Name: Andrew Heinemann		
Name of Entity or Organization: Benchmark Resources		
Inspector's Mailing Address: 2515 East Bidwell Street		
City: Folsom	State: CA	ZIP Code: 95630
E-mail Address: aheinemann@benchmarkresources.com	Telephone: (831) 320-5837	

<b>IV. Approved Documents</b>	
Permit Number: PLN090191 approved 10/26/2011 <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Expiration Date, if Applicable: 10/26/2036
Vested Right: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Date of Lead Agency Determination: NA
Reclamation Plan Number:	Date Approved:
Interim Management Plan: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Initial <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <sup>st</sup> Renewal <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <sup>nd</sup> Renewal	Date Approved: NA

<b>V. Is this operation located partly or solely on Federal land?</b>	Check One: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there any Federal authorizations associated with this operation? If yes, explain: BLM	Check One: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Inspecting Agency Code(s): Lead Agency	Reason for Inspection: Annual SMARA Inspection
Land Use Designation/Zoning for Surface Mine Operation: Permanent Grazing District (P-40)	

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VI. Financial Assurances</b>	
<b>A. Information on Financial Assurance Cost Estimate</b>	
Date and Amount of Most Recently Approved Financial Assurance Cost Estimate	
Date: July 2015	Amount: \$ 143,331
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Information?  NA	Explanation:  NA

<b>B. Information on Financial Assurance Mechanism(s)</b>				
Type of Financial Assurance Mechanism(s): Certificate of Deposit	Financial Assurance Mechanism Number(s): 10292-05504	Amount of Mechanism: \$73,906.00	Date of Expiration: renews annually through 2035	Date of Approval by the Lead Agency: 2013
Total Amount of Mechanism(s):		\$73,906.00		
Has there been a change of operator since the last inspection? If yes, provide the date of notice.			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Date of Change: NA				

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b>		
[Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
<b>Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	<b>List Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)	<b>Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.</b>  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)
<b>A) General Information</b>		
1) Approved mineral type(s)	1) Dimension stone	1) Consistent with findings
2) Approved production amount (Annual/Gross)	2) 4,000 to 37,000 tons/year	2) Operation appeared to be small.
3) Termination date of operations	3) October 26, 2036	
4) Permit end date	4) open space/recreation	

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
<b>Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	<b>List Reclamation Plan Requirements  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)</b>	<b>Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)</b>
5) Anticipated/approved use of mined lands after reclamation		
6) Description of pre-SMARA disturbances, if any		
<b>B) Boundaries</b>		
1) Property boundary	1-3) See Figure 1. 4) 50' min. buffer from eastern property line	1-3) Most disturbance is within limits. Road (related to mining) appears to be outside of reclamation plan boundary based on 8/23/2013 aerial (Figure 1). 4) Buffer would be violated if this above mentioned disturbance is mining related.
2) Permit boundary		
3) Reclamation plan boundary (RPB)		
4) Setbacks		
<b>C) Slopes – Grading</b>		
1) Fill Slopes –	1.i, 1.ii, 1.iii) No backfill. 2.i, 2.ii) 2:1	1.i, 1.ii, 1.iii) No backfill was observed. 2) no final cut slopes were observed.
i. Slopes – Active (max/current)		
ii. Slopes – Reclaimed		
iii. Compaction		
2) Cut Slopes –		
i. Slopes – Active (max/current)		
ii. Slopes – Reclaimed		
<b>D) Erosion Control</b>		
1) Best management practices (BMPs)	1) berms, silt fences, hay bales, sand bags, rock bags and straw wattles 2) Site designed to drain internally.	1) No silt fences, hay bales, sand bags, rock bags and straw wattles were observed. 2) Site appeared to drain internally.
2) Grading		
3) Vegetation		
<b>E) Ponds</b>		
1) Design – Function	1) 2 detention basins. 2) 100-yr, 24-hr storm, culvert in one pond for emergency discharges. 3) Erosion control per SWPPP.	1-2) No discernible detention basins were observed. 3) No erosion control measures were observed.
2) Capacity (area/depth/volume)		
3) Maintenance		
<b>F) Stream &amp; Wetland Protection</b>		
1) Buffers (distance to channel)	NA	NA
2) Berms (distance/length/height)		
3) BMPs		
4) Drainage		



## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
<b>Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	<b>List Reclamation Plan Requirements  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)</b>	<b>Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)</b>
5) Grading and slopes		
6) Stockpiles		
7) Stream diversions		
<b>G) Sensitive Wildlife &amp; Plant Protection</b>	None specified.	NA
1) List species		
2) Protection measures		
<b>H) Soil/Overburden Stockpile Management</b>	1. i) topsoil to be placed in dedicated stockpiles	1.i, 1.iii) No discernible topsoil stockpiles were observed.
1) Topsoil	1.iii) Topsoil to be protected from wind and water erosion,	
i. Location	2) no overburden referenced	
ii. Slope stability	3.ii.) 6"-12"	
iii. BMPs	3.iv.) Spread with dozer or track loader capable of creating 2:1 slopes	
2) Overburden		
i. Location		
ii. Slope stability		
iii. BMPs		
3) Topsoil Application		
i. Amendments		
ii. Depth		
iii. Moisture		
iv. Application methods		
<b>I) Revegetation</b>	1) 4 plots, 20' x 20'	No test plots were observed. No revegetation activities required at this time.
1) Test plots	2) Plant/Shrub seed mix	
2) Species mix	4) 50%	
3) Density		
4) Percent cover		
5) Species richness		
6) Protection		
7) Success monitoring		
8) Invasive species control		
<b>J) Structures</b>	To be removed.	No structure removal required at this time.
<b>K) Equipment</b>	To be removed.	No equipment removal required at this time.


## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT

<b>VII. Non-SMARA facility operations conditions solely of local concern (e.g. hours of operation) do not need to be noted here. See Instructions for Block VII.</b> [Use separate sheet(s) where necessary. Refer to item numbers below]		
Potential Reclamation Plan Requirements	List Reclamation Plan Requirements  (Recommended to be filled out prior to field inspection)	Note current site observations. Describe site conditions and aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the reclamation plan or SMARA.  (Note additional comments on Section VIII as necessary)
<b>L) Closure of Adits</b>	Not applicable, as this is a surface mine.	NA
<b>M) Other Reclamation Plan Requirements</b>	None	NA

<p><b>VIII. A. Use this space to describe general observations and sketches of the operation:</b></p> <p>Violations noted here are consistent with violations found during the previous mine inspection. Conditions remain the same.</p> <p>2 a,b,c (Boundaries): Based on overlaying the reclamation plan boundary with August 2013 aerial, it appears as though disturbance extending to the east (into required buffer area) is a result of mining activities. The operator has acknowledged the disturbance outside of the boundary.</p> <p>8a (Top Soil): This item was previously documented as a violation. However, the operator has shown that he has topsoil with vegetation stored in perimeter berms. The operator has used topsoil which includes vegetation in the perimeter berms. Operator pointed out in 2017 that there is an overall lack of natural topsoil in the area and that he will continue to develop topsoil and vegetation.</p> <p>The Operator has not submitted an updated FACE since 2015 and has outstanding fees with Monterey County. The site is considered abandoned at this time. The County intends to begin the abandonment closure process.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Additional observations/sheets/documents/sketches/photographs attached?    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p><b>B. Describe areas of the operation that have been disturbed since the last inspection:</b></p> <p>No new disturbance since the last inspection.</p> <p>Approximate total disturbed acreage since last inspection: No new disturbed acres since the last inspection.</p> <p>Approximate total acreage of mined lands: Approximately 4 acres of disturbance.</p>
<p><b>C. Describe areas of the operation that have been reclaimed since the last inspection:</b></p> <p>No reclamation has been completed.</p> <p>Approximate reclaimed acreage since last inspection: No acres reclaimed since the last inspection.</p> <p>Approximate total reclaimed acreage: No acres have been reclaimed.</p>
<p><b>D. Describe areas where the operator plans to conduct operations in the upcoming year:</b></p> <p>The Operator plans to conduct operations within the existing disturbance in the upcoming year.</p>
<p><b>E. Describe the extent of mined lands with respect to the permitted/approved reclamation plan boundaries:</b>    Approximately 1/3 of an acre of disturbance outside of the permitted/approved reclamation plan.</p>

## SURFACE MINING INSPECTION REPORT




	<p><b>F. Describe the fee category reported in the most recent Annual Report and its consistency with the conditions observed during the inspection.</b></p> <p>The fee category reported in the most recent Annual Report is consistent with the condition observed during the inspection.</p>		
	<p><b>G. Describe any limitations encountered during the inspection:</b></p> <p>NA</p>		
	<p><b>H. Describe conditions or aspects of the operation that are or may be inconsistent with the approved reclamation plan or SMARA:</b></p> <p>NA</p>		
	<p><b>I. Do any of those conditions or aspects require further evaluation?</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, describe (For example, further evaluation or analysis may be required by a state-licensed professional or specialist):</p>		
	<p><b>J. Was a Notice of Violation issued for any of the above?</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, describe:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, describe:</p>		
	<p><b>K. Describe remedial activities for any pre-existing or existing enforcement actions:</b></p> <p>NA</p>		
	<p><b>L. Duration of Inspection:</b>          Start Time: 5:15 pm      Finish Time: 5:45 pm</p>		
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>M. Weather Code(s):</b> CR</td> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>N. Status of Mine Code(s):</b> AB</td> </tr> </table>	<b>M. Weather Code(s):</b> CR	<b>N. Status of Mine Code(s):</b> AB
<b>M. Weather Code(s):</b> CR	<b>N. Status of Mine Code(s):</b> AB		
	<p><b>O. Inspection Attendees and Affiliations</b>          Andrew Heinemann (Benchmark Resources)</p>		

<b>IX. Inspector's "Certificate of Completion of Inspection Workshop" Number:</b> 18035	<b>Inspectors Signature:</b> 	<b>If the inspector is a State-licensed person or a contractor for the lead agency, provide license type and number:</b>
<b>Certification Expiration Date:</b> 04/25/2023	<b>Date Signed:</b> 03/01/2021	Professional Geologist - PG 9171



SOURCE: Aerial-Maxar (11-4-2019); compiled by Benchmark Resources in 2020

NOTES: Surface disturbance approximated from photograph interpretation for annual inspection report only. Actual field conditions (acreages) may vary.

	Surface Disturbance Outside of Reclamation Plan Boundary	0.34 acres
	Surface Disturbance	4.01 acres
	Reclamation Plan Boundary	±12 acres





**Notes:** Most recent active mining area.

\*\*\*THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY\*\*\*