

MONTEREY COUNTY RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Carl P. Holm, AICP, Director



LAND USE & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | PUBLIC WORKS & FACILITIES | PARKS
1441 Schilling Place, South 2nd Floor (831)755-4800
Salinas, California 93901-4527 www.co.monterey.ca.us/rma

November 2, 2020

ADDENDUM NO.1

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) #10766 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES FOR PALO COLORADO ROAD REPAIR PROJECT MP 4.0 to MP 7.8

The purpose of this Addendum is to provide answers to questions received to date regarding the RFP to provide engineering design services. This acknowledgement signature page of Addendum No.1 must be submitted with your proposal package.

If this acknowledgement signature page is not submitted with your proposal package, your entire proposal package may be considered non-responsive.

RECEIPT IS HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGED OF ADDENDUM NO.1 RFP #10766

Authorized Company Signature

Printed Name

Company Name

Date

Add the below paragraph to the RFP Section 3.0 Background

At the pre-proposal site visit there were six (6) additional sites that were identified in need of improvements. The additional damaged areas will require similar type of work as the other damaged areas. The Contractor shall develop alternatives to repair these additional sites.

The following questions were received via e-mail or at the pre-proposal site visit:

Question 1. Will there be any impacts to native tribe lands?

Answer 1. The Contractor shall expect analysis of potential project impacts to State, Federal, and Native Lands.

Question 2. Is there any existing mapping?

Answer 2. Wood Rodgers prepared construction plans, specifications and estimates, as well as a geotechnical report for four specific locations along Palo Colorado Road. The files can be downloaded by clicking [here](#) or on ebidboard.

Question 3. Will the project include debris removal?

Answer 3. Yes, debris removal shall be included in project description, and the roadside ditch system need to be reconstructed to pre-disaster conditions.

Question 4. What is the anticipated budget for this project?

Answer 4. County staff estimated the construction and design cost (2017 dollars) to be approximately \$10 million, and \$1.5 million, respectively.

Question 5. Will the project be constructed in different phases?

Answer 5. The county will require the contractor to provide constructability and cost-effective options.

Question 6. Can the County provide the developed FEMA worksheets?

Answer 6. Yes, the files can be accessed by clicking [here](#) or on ebidboard.

Question 7. Can you tell me if there has been preliminary work done on this project, specifically environmental or preliminary design?

Answer 7. See question 2.

Question 8. Can we get a copy of the FEMA application the County submitted?

Answer 8. See question 6.

Question 9. Which funding sources will you be utilizing for this project?

Answer 9. The County anticipate the project to be eligible for FEMA cost reimbursements. As such all federal applicable guidelines and requirement will de adhered during this phase of the

project. Local funds will be used to cash flow the project. The County also expects to apply for other Federal and State grants as the project plans get developed.

Question 10. Is there preliminary geotechnical information on the sites? If so, can this be provided at this time?

Answer 10. See question 2.

Question 11. Are there preliminary design concepts and estimates for the sites that were sent and approved by FEMA? If so, can this be provided at this time?

Answer 11. See question 6

Question 12. With regard to setting stakes for the County to place new mile post markers, what level of accuracy is appropriate?

Answer 12. This work is not included in the proposal.

Question 13. In Section 6.3.5, is this hydraulic analysis anticipated for each of the 14 culverts?

Answer 13. The Contractor shall expect to perform hydraulic analysis at least at 14 locations.

Question 14. What part of the proposal would the County prefer that we submit a scope of work and project understanding to demonstrate the team's Understanding of Scope and quality of Project Approach?

Answer 14. Is recommended that the proposal include a section for Understanding of Request Services. In this section the Contractor shall include scope of work and project understanding

Question 15. Do you intend for the Consultant to provide full right of way acquisition services or just right of way determination (engineering) as listed in Task 6.3.3.2 on page 5? There is not a task listed for R/W acquisition on pages 5 or 6.

Answer 15. The Contractor shall include in the proposal the right-of-way needs determinations and coordination with COUNTY in the review and finalization of the right-of-way contracts. The County will contract with a separate consultant for R/W appraisals and acquisition services.

Question 16. Given that the project is to restore the road to "pre-disaster condition" and the previous alignment will not change, will it be necessary for the surveyor to conduct a boundary survey in order to resolve the sidelines of the right-of-way?

Answer 16. The Contractor shall expect to perform boundary surveys for some locations.