

County of Monterey Board of Supervisors Response to the

2022-2023 Monterey County Civil Grand Jury Final Report -"Monterey County Coroner's Office: A Matter of Life and Death"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2022-2023 Monterey County Civil Grand Jury Final Report - "Monterey County Coroner's Office: A Matter of Life and Death"

Coroner's Office: A marier of Life and Deam			
Finding		Page #	
F1		3	
F2		3	
F3		3	
F4		3 & 4	
F5		5	
F6		5	
F7		5	
F8		5	
F9		6	

Recommendation		Page #
R1		6
R2		6
R3		6
R4		6
R5		7
R6		7
R7		7
R8		7
R9		7

Page2

 REPORT TITLE: 2022 - 2023 Monterey County Civil Grand Jury Topic: "Monterey County Coroner's Office: A Matter of Life and Death"
RESPONSE BY: Contracts & Purchasing
RESPONSE TO: Findings and Response F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, F7, F8 and F9

FINDINGS

With respect to each finding, pursuant to Penal Code, section 933 (c), the Department shall indicate one of the following:

1) That the Board agrees with the finding; or

2) That the Board disagrees wholly or partially with the finding, in which case the Board must specify the portion of the finding that is disputed and shall include in the response an explanation of the reasons for the disagreement.

of the Co	as no written recusal policy or procedure for when autopsies are to be sent out ounty, which could bring into question the integrity of the findings of an tion or autopsy.
	he Board agrees with the finding. fice is working to develop a written policy which will be implemented upon
	roval by the Sheriff.
	nation, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge,
final auto	s current dictation and transcription process is inefficient, contributing to the opsy report delay.
-	he Board disagrees with the finding.
	taken steps to resolve previous issues and notes that all autopsy reports are
current.	
For more inform which is attache	nation, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge, ed.
F3 The lack autopsy r	of medical transcription training contributes to a delay in completing final eports.
F3 Response: T	he Board disagrees with the finding.
-	resolved issues related to delay in completion of autopsy reports.
	nation, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge,
for comp	tive investigative training is not a written requirement nor is there a timeline letion despite its importance in ensuring investigations are conducted ly and consistent with statewide standards.
	Shariff Ting Wisto Montoney County Shariff's Office

The addit cour For 1	esponse: The Board partially disagrees with the finding. Sheriff's Office is developing a training program specific to coroner investigations. In tion, all Coroner's Office detectives have completed the Statewide Coroner's Academy se provided by the Orange County Sheriff's Office. nore information, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge, h is attached.
F5	The CO relies on hiring temporary employees to fill full-time permanent positions, which could affect their ability to keep trained personnel on staff and may negatively affect the consistency of operations.
The exist For 1	esponse: The Board partially disagrees with this finding. Sheriff reports that, currently, temporary employees are used only when a staff vacancy s. nore information, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge, h is attached.
F6	The MCSO has not enforced the provisions in the FP's contract requiring the prompt completion of autopsy reports, which could cause added, unnecessary distress to the families of the deceased.
At th For 1	esponse: The Board partially disagrees with the finding. is time, all overdue and delayed reports have been completed. nore information, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge, h is attached.
F7	Collaborative purchasing arrangements between the MCSO and other County departments have not been explored where service needs are the same or similar.
Whe Cont form Depa	esponse: The Board partially agrees with this finding. re there are similar services requirements across County departments, racts/Purchasing establishes Countywide Service Agreements through a competitive al solicitation process to ensure price consistency and best value for the County. artments utilize the department-wide Agreements through the Enterprise Resource Plan ncial Advantage system, which provides visibility of vendor activity throughout the nty. Approved budgets and balances are not directly shared with individuals who control departmental expenditures, resulting in a lack of accountability and ownership.
The	esponse: The Board agrees with this finding. Sheriff has developed and is implementing a plan to strengthen budget accountability and
For 1	sight at the division management and unit supervisor level. nore information, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge, h is attached.
	Shariff Ting Nieto Monterey County Sheriff's Offic

Page4

Sheriff Tina Nieto, Monterey County Sheriff's Office Debra R. Wilson, CONTRACTS & PURCHASING OFFICER

F9	The CGJ acknowledges and appreciates the Coroner's Office staff for their ongoing commitment and service to the community.
F9 R	esponse: The Board agrees with this finding.

Sheriff Tina Nieto, Monterey County Sheriff's Office Debra R. Wilson, CONTRACTS & PURCHASING OFFICER

REPORT TITLE:	2022 - 2023 Monterey County Civil Grand Jury
	Topic: "Monterey County Coroner's Office: A Matter of Life and Death"
RESPONSE BY:	Contracts & Purchasing
RESPONSE TO:	Recommendations and Response R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7 and R8

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Response

With respect to each recommendations, pursuant to Penal Code, section 933 (c), the Department shall indicate one of the following:

1) That the recommendation has been implemented, with a summary regarding the implemented action;

2) That the recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future, with a timeframe for implementation; or

3) That the recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the Board (this timeframe not to exceed six months from the date of publication).

4) The recommendations will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable, with an explanation therefore.

R1	Create and adopt written policy and procedures when the MCSO, or its staff, will	
	recuse itself from an investigation by September 30, 2023.	
R1 Re	esponse: The recommendation has not been implemented but will be implemented by	
	mber 30, 2023.	
1		
R2	Evaluate technological options to ensure dictation and transcription processes are	
	efficient and timely by March 31, 2024.	
R2 Re	esponse: The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted.	
	heriff's Office reports that previous issues have been resolved and that all autopsy	
	ts are current.	
-	or information, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge,	
	n is attached.	
R3	Provide ongoing resources for staff training and continuing education on transcription	
	and emerging technologies in forensic science by March 31, 2024.	
R3 Re	esponse: The recommendation has not been implemented but will be implemented by	
	n 31, 2024.	
R4	Ensure that death investigation training for each new detective assigned to the CO is	
	mandatory and completed as soon as possible during their orientation by August 31,	
	2023.	
R4 Re	esponse: The recommendation has been implemented.	
For more information, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge,		
which is attached.		

Page6

R5 Evaluate staffing needs and create permanent positions accordingly to minimize reliance on temporary staff by March 31, 2024.		
R5 Response: The recommendation requires further analysis.		
The Board will request a status report form the Human Resources Department and the Sheriff		
by March 31, 2024.		
For more information, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge,		
which is attached.		
R6 Evaluate the necessary forensic pathologist services needed by Monterey County and		
identify the best approach for meeting those needs by January 31, 2024.		
R6 Response: This recommendation has not been implemented but will be implemented by		
January 31, 2024.		
For more information, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge,		
which is attached.		
R7 Monitor and enforce performance measures that are stipulated in the FP contract by		
December 31, 2023.		
R7 Response: This recommendation has been implemented.		
For more information, please see the Sheriff's July 6, 2023 response to the Presiding Judge,		
which is attached.		
R8 Explore optimizing existing or new contractual relationships with County Purchasing		
and other County of Monterey departments in areas where service or supply needs		
overlap by December 31, 2023.		
R8 Response: The Board agrees with this recommendation and will explore optimizing		
existing or new contractual relationships in areas where service or supply needs overlap by		
December 31, 2023.		

Page7