2013-14 Budget Impact effecti	ve Jan 1, 2014					
	Per 1991-92	Per CSAC 11-12	Per SCO 11-12			
Total Deduction	300,000,000	300,000,000	300,000,000			
% Allocation	0.008975	0.007625	0.008353			
Monterey County Deduction	\$ 2,692,500	\$ 2,287,500	\$ 2,505,900			
Option 1 "60/40" split: Counti	es may elect					
to have 60% of the amount of	their Health					
Realignment Funds (base and growth) plus			Option 2 Savings Formula: Alternatively, counties my elect the option of using a "Savings			
the amount of their statutory		Formula", which documents costs and assumed revenues for each year to determine the				
redirected. Counties with an MOE that is			amount of their Health Realignment funds to be redirected. AB 85 defines two variations of the			
greater than the average for the		formula: one for hospital counties and one for non-hospital counties. Both versions of the				
when compared to their total Health			formula include a cap on the amount of Health Realignment funds that can be redirected at the			
Realignment funds, may instead choose to			proportion of Health Realignment funds that a county used for indigent care during the base			
use that average to determine the			years of FY 08/09 thr	ough FY 11/12.		
redirection (average is 25.9% for hospital						
counties and 14.6% for the non-hospital,						
non-CMSP counties). The impact for			For counties choosing the Savings Formula, the amount of Health Realignment funds to be redirected each year will be based on estimated savings for each county determined by DHCS. The final true up process will take place 30 months after the start of each fiscal year. At that			
counties at or above the average MOE is						
that the sharing ration would be closer to						
75%/25% for hospital counties	and 69%/31%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	or "shared savings") are greate	
for non-hospital counties. The	e dollar		·	- ·		
amount for redirection will be re-calculated			amount that was withheld will pay the state the difference. If a county's savings (less the 20% for "shared savings") come in under the estimated amount, the state will pay the county the			
annually based on each year's	Health		difference.	come in under the estin	lated amount, the state will pa	y the county the
Realignment revenues.			difference.			
1. 60-40 Split	Per 2011-12		2a. Cap - Proportion	used on indigent care	2b. Cap - 100% MC	DE used for Indigent
Total STR	2,609,952		total STR/VLF	43,222,627	total STR/VLF	43,222,627
Total Realignment	,		avg annual	10,805,657	avg annual	10,805,657
Total MOE	3,367,970					
TOTAL	13,561,008		Indigent Care	% of STR/VLF to Total		Amt of STR/VLF
	7		2008-09		2008-09	_
60% to State	8,136,605		2009-10	_	2009-10	
			2010-11	_	2010-11	
40% to County	5,424,403		2011-12	45.72%	2011-12	2,832,030
Impact to County re PH responsibility			Average	43.79%	Average	2,832,030
Estimated Exp for 2012-13	10,437,554	Ca	p Redirected to State		Cap Redirected to State	_
	(5.013.151)			-,,		_,==,==