Exhibit B



1. Priority Weights

General Plan Policy No. LU-1.19 requires the establishment of a Development Evaluation System (DES) to provide decision makers with a systematic, consistent, predictable and quantitative method to evaluate certain projects located outside of top priority areas (Community Areas, Rural Centers and designated Affordable Housing Overlay Districts) using specific criteria. Assigning weights to the individual criteria is an important step in creating the system as it identifies what protection measures or design techniques are priority for the County. Please scale each criteria by importance, from 1 to 10. During this task, please keep in mind the different areas in the County which projects can be located as well as the different type of projects that could be evaluated.

	Participant A	Participant B	Participant C	Participant D	ParticipantE	Participant E	Participant G	Participant H	Participant	Participant	Participant K	AVERAGE	MEDIAN	RANKING*
a. Site Suitability	8	10	9	7	5	3	10	1	8	6	7	6.7	7.5	3
(i.e.: Fits in/enhances the surrounding area, affordable housing projects located in proximity to public transportation, etc.)														
b. Infrastructure	5	8	7	8	5	9	5	1	10	10	9	7.0	7.5	2
(i.e.: The project is in proximity to existing infrastructure, provides alternative transportation, emergency service is readily available, etc.)														
c. Resource Management	8	7	10	6	5	4	8	1	9	8	6	6.5	7.5	4
(i.e.: Use of renewable energy, water conservation, groundwater recharge, protect scenic, biological or other important resources in the surrounding areas.)														
d. Environmental Impacts	3	7	7	6	5	7	9	4	8	6	6	6.2	6.5	6
(i.e.: Low potential for the project to have significant impacts to the environment.)														
e. Jobs-housing Balance	10	10	10	6	5	4	4	2	8	10	7	6.9	7.0	5
(i.e.: Jobs are located in proximity to housing and visa versa.)														
f. Affordable Housing	5	10	10	10	5	10	3	10	8	10	9	8.2	10.0	1
(i.e.: Affordable housing provided exceeds the required percentage.)														
g. Social Justice and Social Equality	1	6	5	8	5	8	3	10	7	8	5	5.8	6.0	7
(i.e.: Community resources are provided ancillary to the project and/or affordable housing is integrated with market rate housing.)														

2. Environmental Impacts

As part of the DES, General Plan Policy No. LU-1.19 requires projects to be scored in relation to it's potential environmental impacts. Please scale each environmental impact by importance, from 1 to 10. (Please note the consideration of 'significant')

	Participant A	Participant B	Participant C	Participant D	Participant E	Participant E	Participant G	Participant H	Participant (Participant 1	Participant K	AVERAGE	MEDIAN	RANKING*
a. Greenhouse gas emissions	1	6	7	6	5	2	3	2	7	10	3	4.7	5.5	4
(i.e.: Will the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, direct or indirect, that may potentially cause a <u>significant</u> impact?)														
b. Special status species	3	9	3	5	5	5	4	2	8	8	5	5.2	5.0	5
(i.e.: Will the project have a potential <u>significant</u> impact to biological species listed and protected by the Federal and/or California Endangered Species Act?)											_			
c. Protected habitats	5	7	5	6	5	4	7	1	8	7	5	5.5	5.5	3
(i.e.: Will the project have a potential significant impact to protected habitats such as (but notlimited to) sensitive natural communities, riparian habitat, and/or wetlands?)														
d. Farmland conversion	5	9	10	6	5	5	8	4	10	10	5	7.0	7.0	1
(i.e.: Will the project result in the conversion of prime farmland, unique farmland or farmland of statewide importance?)														
e. Traffic impacts	5	10	3	6	5	9	6	5	9	10	5	6.6	6.0	2
(i.e.: Will the project result in a <u>significant</u> decrease in traffic efficiency?)														

^{*&#}x27;RANKING' was determined by highest MEDIAN score. In cases of a tie, AVERAGE score was used to determine the most preferred option.