Exhibit B



EXHIBIT B

DRAFT RESOLUTION

Before the Planning Commission in and for the County of Monterey, State of California

In the matter of the application of:

(PLN210039) CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION RESOLUTION NO. 22 -

Resolution by the Planning Commission:

- 1. Finding that the project, which involves utility extension and street improvements for bridge safety improvements, qualifies as a Class 3 categorical exemption pursuant to Section 15303 of the CEQA Guidelines, and there are no exceptions pursuant to Section 15300.2; and
- 2. Approving a Combined Development Permit consisting of:
 - Coastal Development Permit and Design Approval to allow safety improvements to the Castro Canyon Bridge, inclusive of restriping of lanes, bridge rail and crash cushion upgrades, placement of a new retaining wall, and drainage upgrades;
 - b. Coastal Development Permit to allow development within the Big Sur Critical Viewshed;
 - c. Coastal Development Permit to allow development on slopes exceeding 30 percent; and
 - d. Coastal Development Permit to allow development within 100 feet of environmentally sensitive habitat.

State Route 1 (Highway 1) Castro Canyon Bridge (Br. No. 44-0035, Post Mile 43.12, located between Post-Mile Markers 43.05 – 43.25), Big Sur (No Assessor's Parcel Number), Big Sur Coast Land Use Plan, Coastal Zone (APN: 000-000-000)

The CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (CASTRO CANYON BRIDGE) application (PLN210039) came on for a public hearing before the Monterey County Planning Commission on March 9, 2022. Having considered all the written and documentary evidence, the administrative record, the staff report, oral testimony, and other evidence presented, the Planning Commission finds and decides as follows:

FINDINGS

1. **FINDING: CONSISTENCY** – The proposed project and/or use, as conditioned, is consistent with the policies of the Monterey County 1982 General

Plan, Big Sur Land Use Plan, Monterey County Coastal Implementation Plan – Part 3, Monterey County Zoning Ordinance - Coastal (Title 20), and other County health, safety, and welfare ordinances related to land use development.

EVIDENCE: a)

- The project involves upgrades to the Castro Canyon Bridge consisting of replacement and installation of new bridge and approach rails, upgrade of guardrails on the north and south of the bridge, and upgrades to the crash cushions. The project also proposes the restriping of lanes and shoulders, a new retaining wall (Appox. 20) feet long), upgrades to the culvert and pipes for improved drainage, and the relocation of existing above-ground utilities underground. The existing Castro Canyon Bridge is a 239-foot, five-span steel girder bridge that has timber rails and wooden beam approach rails. The existing bridge rails, approach rails, guardrails, and crash cushions do not meet Caltrans safety standards. This proposed guardrail replacement is compliant with current design and safety standards per American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) requirements. The bridge's length will remain the same. The total project area includes approximately 25,000 square feet of paved area which will be restriped but remain located in the same area and not be extended. The project also involves development within the Big Sur critical viewshed, development on slopes exceeding 30 percent, and development within 100 feet of environmentally sensitive habitat.
- b) The project has been reviewed for consistency with the text, policies, and regulations in the:
 - 1982 Monterey County General Plan;
 - Big Sur Coast Land Use Plan (LUP);
 - Monterey County Coastal Implementation Plan, Part 3 (CIP);
 and
 - Monterey County Zoning Ordinance Coastal (Title 20). No conflicts were found to exist. No communications were received during the course of review of the project indicating any inconsistencies with the text, policies, and/or regulations of the applicable Monterey County Code (MCC). The subject property is located within the Coastal Zone; therefore, the 2010 Monterey County General Plan does not apply.
- c) Staff reviewed the application materials, County GIS information, and aerial imagery, to verify consistency of the proposed development with applicable policies and regulations.
- d) Allowed Use. The project site is located along State Route 1 (Highway 1), Castro Canyon Bridge (Br. No. 44-0035, located between Post-Mile Markers 43.05 43.25, Big Sur (No Assessor's Parcel Number), approximately 5 miles south of the Big Sur Community, Big Sur, Big Sur Coast Land Use Plan, Coastal Zone. The proposed construction is located entirely within the Caltrans right-of-way, and the zoning is unclassified. The project area is located adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 420-181-015-000, and the surrounding property is zoned Rural Density Residential, 40 acres per unit, with a Design Control Overly (Coastal Zone) [RDR/40-D (CZ)]. The proposed project will include upgrades which include the

- replacement of the bridge guardrail, bridge rail, and crash cushions, to bring this section of highway up to current design and safety standards needed for compliance with standards set forth by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASTHO. The project includes improvements of an existing highway facility and would preserve utility and public access to the Big Sur area. The General Plan and Big Sur Land Use Policy (LUP) promote improvements for public safety and traffic capacity. The LUP promotes improvements for safety and traffic capacity (Policy 4.1.2.1). LUP Policy 4.1.2.1 states that "[I]mprovements to Highway 1 shall be undertaken in order to increase its service capacity and safety, consistent with its retention as a scenic two-lane road." Consistent with this policy, the purpose of project improves the safety and maintains the roadway to provide continued use of the highway for access to the Big Sur community as an improvement for safety, recreational, and emergency access. See also subsequent Evidence "e". Therefore, the project is an allowed land use for this site.
- e) <u>Highway 1</u>. LUP Policies in Chapter 4 are directed at maintaining and enhancing the aesthetic beauty of Highway 1, and to protect its primary function as a recreational route. The LUP also promotes improvements for safety and service capacity (Policies 4.1.2.1). The project, as proposed, is an improvement required to assure the continued use of the highway for recreational and emergency access; therefore, it is consistent with applicable policies.
- f) <u>Design</u>. Pursuant to MCC Chapter 20.44, the surrounding area is designated as a Design Control Zoning District ("D" zoning overlay), which regulates the location, size, configuration, materials, and colors of structures and fences to assure the protection of the public viewshed and neighborhood character. The proposed upgrades to the bridge rails and beams include a simple design that is intended to complement the rustic and rural environment. The proposed colors and materials design consists of a light beige concrete base and dark brown metal rails (approach rail, guardrails, bridge rail), end treatments, and crash cushions as show in the *Visual Simulations* "Exhibit B, Attachment 3." As proposed, the project assures protection of the public viewshed and visual integrity. See also subsequent Evidence "g" and "h".
- g) <u>Big Sur Critical Viewshed.</u> The project includes a Coastal Development Permit to allow development within the Big Sur critical viewshed. As demonstrated in Finding 6 and supporting evidence, the project minimizes development within the Critical Viewshed and is consistent with applicable LUP policies and regulations.
- Land Use Advisory Committee. The project was referred to the Big Sur Land Use Advisory Committee (LUAC) for review based on the LUAC Procedure guidelines adopted by the Monterey County Board of Supervisors. The proposed project was brought before the LUAC on September 28, 2021 and October 26, 2021. The LUAC had concerns with design, specifically that the railing and concrete post would potentially stand out and detract from the natural setting, and the potential for cushion placement to impact ingress and egress to Deetjen's Inn parking area. On October 26, 2021 the LUAC voted, 5-

- 0, to support the project with the following recommendations: 1) reduce the speed limit at the bridge and project site area to match the "commercial corridor"; 2) decrease the length of crash cushions at the end of the bridge to allow vehicles that enter and leave Deetjen's Inn more room to maneuver and access the bridge, therefore making it more safe; and 3) use of a natural concrete design that blends in the surroundings instead of the faux multi-color stone as initially proposed by applicant. The plans were revised to accommodate the LUAC's recommendation for a redesigned crash cushion which would be the minimum size allowable to fulfill size allowable for the intended safety function. Prior to the LUAC's request, Caltrans conducted studies into speed zones in the area and found that a reduction in the speed limit was not warranted. The color scheme of the rails and beams were updated to a design incorporating a light beige concrete base and dark brown rails that better blends in with the rustic and rural environment when compared to the originally proposed multi-tonal rock design scheme (Exhibit B, Attachment 3 shows the proposed design).
- i) <u>Development on slope exceeding 30%</u>. The project includes a Coastal Development Permit to allow development on slopes that exceed 30%. As demonstrated in Finding 7 and supporting evidence, there is no feasible alternative that would allow development to occur on slopes of less than 30% in this case.
- Environmentally Sensitive Habit. The project site is in an area i) identified as being within 100 feet of an environmentally sensitive habitat. A biological report- Natural Environmental Study (NESMI biological report), was prepared for the site and submitted to the County for review (see Finding 2, Evidence "c"). The NESMI biological report identified the potential for several environmentally sensitive habitats to occur near the project area and that suitable conditions for California red-legged frog and the Western pond turtle are present. The report found that it is unlikely that any frogs would be found in the actual project footprint; however, there may be the potential for minor direct and indirect impacts to the California redlegged frog and the Western pond turtle because of the existence of suitable habitat nearby. However, the project as proposes includes measures to avoid indirect impacts on nearby habitat. For example, grading, staging areas, and other improvements would be confined within the right-of-way. Additionally, measures contained in the Programmatic Biological Opinion outlined within the NESMI report would apply to the project. Therefore, although environmentally sensitive habitat may occur within close proximity to this project the potential for inadvertent impacts is limited, as further identified in Finding 8.
- i) <u>Public Access</u>. As demonstrated in Finding No. 5 and supporting evidence, the project is consistent with applicable public access policies.
- j) <u>Historical Resources.</u> The project includes changes to the Castro Canyon bridge, which was constructed in 1937. As such, staff required a historical assessment report for the proposed development. *The Historical Resources Evaluation Report*, Prepared by Lindsay

- Kozub, Principal Architectural Historian (LIB210164)(see Finding 2, Evidence "c") concluded that the Castro Canyon bridge is not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places or California Register of Historical Resources as an individual resource, or as a contributor to the Carmel San Simeon Highway District.
- k) Archeological and Cultural Resources. The project site is in an area identified in County records as having a high archaeological sensitivity. In addition to the *Historic Resources Evaluation Report*, the *Historical Property Survey*, dated September 2019 was conducted by Lindsay Kozub, Principal Architectural Resources Historian, and Alvin Rosa- Figueroa, PQS Co-Principal Investigator for Prehistoric Archaeology (LIB210164). The report included an Archaeological Survey Report and concluded that potential impacts to archaeological resources are not anticipated from the proposed project. There is no evidence that any cultural resources would be disturbed as part of this project, and the potential for inadvertent impacts to cultural resources is limited and will be controlled by application of the County's standard project condition (Condition No. 3) which requires the contractor to stop work if previously unidentified resources are discovered during construction.
- The application, plans and supporting materials submitted by the project applicant to Monterey County HCD-Planning for the proposed development found in Project File PLN210039.
- 2. **FINDING: SITE SUITABILITY** The site is physically suitable for the proposed development and/or use.
 - **EVIDENCE:** a) The project involves rail safety improvements and upgrades to the Castro Canyon bridge, improvements to crash cushions, and a new retaining wall to improve and protect the highway facility at this location.
 - b) The project was reviewed for site suitability by the following departments and agencies: HCD-Planning, CalFire Coastal (Fire Protection District), HCD-Engineering Services, and HCD-Environmental Services. County staff reviewed the application materials and plans, as well as the County's GIS database, to verify that the proposed project on the subject site conforms to the applicable plans and regulations, and that the site is suitable for the proposed development. There has been no indication from these departments/agencies that the site is not suitable for the proposed development. Conditions recommended have been incorporated.
 - c) Several technical reports have been prepared for the proposed project and include the following:
 - <u>Geotechnical Report (LIB210163)</u>, Prepared by Caroline Chen, West Geotechnical Services, March 21, 2021.
 - <u>Cultural Resources Reports</u>: Historical Property Survey and Historic Resources Evaluation Report (LIB210164), prepared by Lindsay Kozub, Principal Architectural Resources Historian, Caltrans Central Coast Cultural Resources Branch, San Luis Obispo, California and also Alvin Rosa- Figueroa, PQS Co-Principal Investigator for Prehistoric Archaeology, dated September 2019;

- <u>Biology Report</u>: *Natural Environment Study*, (LIB210162) prepared by Stephanie Herbert, Associate Environmental Planner, Caltrans District 5, San Luis Obispo, California, December 12, 2019 and:
- <u>Visual Impact Assessment</u> (LIB210200) prepared by Robert Carr, Caltrans District 5 Landscape Architecture Branch, San Luis Obispo, California, January 2020.
- d) County staff independently reviewed these reports and concurs with their conclusions. There are no physical or environmental constraints that would indicate that the site is not suitable for the use proposed, and all development shall be in accordance with these reports.
- e) Staff reviewed application materials, County GIS information, and aerial imagery, to verify site suitability for the proposed development.
- f) The application, plans and supporting materials submitted by the project applicant to Monterey County HCD-Planning for the proposed development found in Project File PLN210039.

3. **FINDING:**

HEALTH AND SAFETY – The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the project applied for will not under the circumstances of this particular case be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, morals, comfort, and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of such proposed use, or be detrimental or injurious to property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the County.

EVIDENCE: a)

- The project was reviewed by HCD-Planning, CalFire Coastal (Fire Protection District), HCD-Engineering Services, and HCD-Environmental Services. Conditions have been recommended, where appropriate, to ensure that the project will not have an adverse effect on the health, safety, and welfare of persons either residing or working in the neighborhood.
- b) The project will bring bridge rail design standards up to current safety guidelines to reduce vehicle crash impacts. The project is necessary to maintain service essential to the public health, safety, and welfare of persons either residing or working in the Big Sur community.
- c) As proposed, the project is a safety improvement that would stabilize the highway facility at this location. Therefore, it is consistent with LUP Policy 4.1.2.1, which states "Improvements to Highway 1 shall be undertaken in order to increase its service capacity and safety, consistent with its retention as a scenic two-lane road."
- d) See also Finding Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7, and supporting evidence.
- e) The application, plans, and supporting materials submitted by the project applicant to Monterey County HCD-Planning for the proposed development found in Project File PLN210039.

4. **FINDING:**

NO VIOLATIONS – The subject property is in compliance with all rules and regulations pertaining to zoning uses, subdivision, and any other applicable provisions of the County's zoning ordinance. No violations exist on the property.

EVIDENCE: a) Staff reviewed Monterey County HCD-Planning and HCD-Building Services records and is not aware of any violations existing on the subject property.

- b) There are no violations on the subject parcel or project site.
- c) The application, plans and supporting materials submitted by the project applicant to Monterey County HCD-Planning for the proposed development found in Project File PLN210039.

5. **FINDING:**

PUBLIC ACCESS – The project is in conformance with the public access and recreation policies of the Coastal Act (specifically Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act of 1976, commencing with Section 30200 of the Public Resources Code) and applicable Local Coastal Program, and does not interfere with any form of historic public use or trust rights.

EVIDENCE: a)

- No substantial adverse impact on access, either individually or cumulatively, as described in Section 20.145.150 of the Monterey County Coastal Implementation Plan (Part 3) can be demonstrated.
- b) No evidence or documentation has been submitted or found showing the existence of historic public use or trust rights over this property.
- Program requires public access (LUP Figure 3; Trails Plan North Section). Public access presently exists along the State Route 1 right-of-way (a public highway), and the proposed development would not obstruct this lateral access along the highway facility. Additionally, as proposed, the project would not obstruct public views of the shoreline from the highway nor obstruct public visual access.
- d) The project planner reviewed plans of the proposed development, as well as aerial imagery of the site and surrounding area, to verify that the proposed structures will not impact visual resources/access.
- e) The application, plans and supporting materials submitted by the project applicant to Monterey County HCD-Planning for the proposed development found in Project File PLN210039.

6. **FINDING:**

DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE BIG SUR CRITICAL

VIEWSHED – The subject project minimizes development within the Big Sur Critical Viewshed in accordance with the applicable goals and policies of the Big Sur Coast Land Use Plan and applicable zoning codes.

EVIDENCE: a)

- The project includes application for development within the Big Sur Critical Viewshed. In accordance with the applicable policies of the Big Sur Coast Land Use Plan (LUP) and the Monterey County Zoning Ordinance (Title 20), a Coastal Development Permit is required and the authority to grant said permit has been met.
- b) The proposed project involves restriping, replacement and installation of new bridge and approach rails, upgrading guardrails on the north and south of the bridge, and installation of crash cushions. The proposed guardrail replacement is compliant with current design and safety standards per American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) requirements. The proposed replacement bridge rail will be concrete post and beam rail (Type 85 bridge rail) and "see through" metal that is taller and wider than the existing rail.
- c) County staff reviewed plans of the proposed development, as well as aerial imagery of the site and the surrounding area, to assess the potential viewshed impacts of the project and ensure consistency with

applicable LUP policies. LUP Policy 3.2.5.C.1 allows exceptions to the Scenic Resources Key Policy involving safety improvements to Highway 1 facilities, provided they are consistent with LUP Sections 4.1.1, 4.1.2., and 4.1.3. Key Policy 4.1.1 states that the County's objective is to maintain and enhance the highway's aesthetic beauty and to protect its primary function as a recreational route. General Policy 4.1.2.1 directs that improvements to Highway 1 shall be undertaken in order to increase its service capacity and safety, consistent with its retention as a scenic two-lane road. County staff has reviewed the required sections, and the project is consistent with the subject policies. The project is an improvement that is needed to comply with current bridge rail safety standards that, as proposed, will maintain the roadway and its continued use as a two-lane highway for access to the Big Sur community.

- d) County staff reviewed and analyzed using independent judgement the Visual Impact Assessment (LIB210200), and the project visual simulations and found that the project will not have a significant effect on the views of the surround on the views of the surround scenic vista and landscape. Castro Canyon bridge is located in an area with dense vegetation and much of the visual context includes the redwood trees surrounding the bridge and highway. Also, the historic Deetjens's Inn is located adjacent to the bridge and includes rustic wood cabin style architecture. The bridge upgrade project would replace the existing white wooden post bridge rail with an aesthetically treated, open-style rails. The improvements include light tan concrete rail with dark brown metal approach rails, guardrails, end treatments, and crash cushions (refer to Attachment 3). The purpose of the design is to be simple with the intention of blending in with the rural background including the natural settings and rustic settings.
- e) The project, as proposed and conditioned, is consistent with policies of the Big Sur Coast Land Use Plan dealing with visual resources and will have no significant impact on the critical viewshed.
- f) The application, plans and supporting materials submitted by the project applicant to the Monterey County Planning Department for the proposed development are found in Project File PLN210039.

7. **FINDING: DE**

DEVELOPMENT ON SLOPES EXCEEDING 30 PERCENT – There is no feasible alternative which would allow development to occur on slopes of less than 30 percent.

EVIDENCE: a)

- Pursuant to the policies of the 1982 General Plan, Big Sur Coast Land Use Plan (LUP) and applicable Monterey County Code (MCC), a Coastal Development Permit is required for development on slopes in excess of 30% and the criteria to grant said permit has been met in this case.
- b) The project site is located over the Castro Canyon Bridge on State Route 1. Based on site topography, improvements to the bridge, and drainage inlet areas, and the construction of an additional retaining wall is not feasible without encroaching into slopes exceeding 30 percent. The proposed development is necessary to improve traffic safety at the highway facility by improving crash cushions and installing

- bridge rails that will be higher and able to withstand more impact upon collision.
- c) As proposed, the subject project minimizes development on slopes exceeding 30 percent in accordance with the applicable goals and policies of the LUP. The project planner reviewed the plans and application materials to analyze possible development alternatives and to verify the subject project minimizes development on slopes exceeding 30 percent. Also, the project proposes to further stabilize the bridge and immediate area as the purpose of the retaining wall proposed to be constructed east of the southern bridge abutment will prevent upslope debris from entering and resting on the bridge structure. Rockslope protection will be added to the existing outlet of the southwest drain which will also improve drainage. This is the minimum necessary to provide adequate and safe site when traveling this section of road.
- d) The application, project plans, and related support materials submitted by the project applicant to Monterey County HCD-Planning for the proposed development found in Project File PLN210039.

8. FINDING: DEVELOPMENT WITHIN 100 FEET OF ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE HABITAT-

- **EVIDENCE:** a) The project site is in an area identified as being within 100 feet of an environmentally sensitive habitat. MCC Section 20.145.040 requires a biological survey for development in areas within Big Sur that are within 100 feet of environmentally sensitive habitat.
 - b) The following biological report was prepared for the proposed project and was submitted to the County for review:
 - Biology Report: Natural Environment Study, (LIB210162) prepared by Stephanie Herbert, Associate Environmental Planner, Caltrans District 5, San Luis Obispo, California, December 12, 2019.
 - c) The NESMI biological report identified the potential for several environmentally sensitive habitats to occur near the project area and that suitable conditions for California red-legged frog and the Western pond turtle are present. The report found that it is unlikely that any frogs would be found in the actual project footprint; however, there may be the potential for minor direct and indirect impacts to the California red-legged frog and the Western pond turtle because of the existence of suitable habitat nearby.
 - d) Monterey County Code (MCC) Section 20.145.040, which includes the Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Development Standards in the Big Sur, requires that all practical efforts shall be made to maintain, restore, and if possible, enhance Big Sur's environmentally sensitive habitats.

The project as proposes measures to avoid indirect impacts on nearby habitat. As proposed, grading, staging areas, and other improvements would be confined within the right-of-way. Also, in order to ensure the area of disturbance is confined, the biological report recommends installation of protective fencing, re-seeding disturbed ground with native seed mix, and stockpiling and replacement of any woody

debris found onsite. Erosion control measures and other best management practices would be in place during construction to avoid indirect impacts on nearby habitat. Caltrans also anticipates that the proposed project would be covered under the Programmatic Biological Opinion for Projects Funded or Approved under the FHWA's Federal Aid Program for incidental take. As such, measures contained in the Programmatic Biological Opinion would apply to the project. A condition of approval has been incorporated which requires the applicant to file a Notice of Report stating that all development shall be done in accordance with the NESMI biological report. Therefore, although a environmentally sensitive habitat may occur within close proximity to this project the potential for inadvertent impacts is limited.

- e) County staff independently reviewed these reports and concurs with their conclusions.
- f) The application, plans and supporting materials submitted by the project applicant to Monterey County HCD-Planning for the proposed development found in Project File PLN210039.

9. **FINDING:**

CEQA (Exempt) – The project is categorically exempt from environmental review and no unusual circumstances were identified to exist for the proposed project.

EVIDENCE:

- a) California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15303 categorically utility and street improvements. The exemption applies to water main, sewage, electrical, gas, and other utility extensions including street improvements, or reasonable length to serve such construction.
- b) The proposed project involves the utility and street improvements for bridge safety improvements, which qualifies as a Class 3 categorical exemption pursuant to Section 15303 of the CEQA Guidelines. This project includes the underground placement of utilities, the construction of retaining wall to protect from slope movement, and street improvements consisting of the bridge safety. The proposed project involves safety improvements that would upgrade and protect the users of this highway facility and help prevent future car collisions at this location. Therefore, the proposed development qualifies as a Class 3 categorical exemption pursuant to Section 15303(d) of the CEQA Guidelines.
- c) Caltrans, as Lead Agency, applied the Class 3 categorical exemption to the project. The County, serving as a responsible agency for this project, in its independent judgment has also determined that the project is categorically exempt. The Caltrans CEQA Determination is attached as Exhibit C to the staff report.
- d) None of the exceptions under CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2 apply to this project. Also, there is no substantial evidence of an unusual circumstance because there is no feature or condition of the project that distinguishes the project from the exempt class. The project does not involve a hazardous waste site, unusual circumstances that would result in a significant effect or development that would result in a cumulative significant impact. The project site is located within view of a scenic highway (State Route 1); however,

- the development proposed is consistent with the existing development on the site and in the area, and will not result in an adverse impact to visual resources (see also Finding No. 6 and supporting evidence). Additionally, as proposed, the development would not result in damage to scenic resources such as trees, historic buildings, rock outcroppings, or similar resources as described in CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2.
- e) Based on the record as a whole, there is no substantial evidence that would support a fair argument that the project has a reasonable possibility of having a significant effect on the environment or that it would result in a cumulative significant impact. The evidence in the record includes data and analysis supporting the Class 1 categorical exemption; information presented or discussed during public meetings and/or hearings; staff reports that reflect the County's independent judgment and analysis regarding the above referenced data and analysis, and project application materials.
- f) No adverse environmental effects were identified during staff review of the development application.
- g) The Planning Commission considered the Class 3 categorical exemption at a duly noticed public hearing. The materials upon which the County's decision is based are located in HCD-Planning, 1441 Schilling Place, 2nd Floor, Salinas, California.
- h) The application, project plans, and related support materials submitted by the project applicant to Monterey County HCD-Planning for the proposed development found in Project File PLN210039.
- 10. **FINDING:**

APPEALABILITY – The decision on this project may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors and the California Coastal Commission.

EVIDENCE: a)

- Board of Supervisors. Pursuant to Section 20.86.030 of the Monterey County Zoning Ordinance (Title 20), an appeal may be made to the Board of Supervisors by any public agency or person aggrieved by a decision of an Appropriate Authority other than the Board of Supervisors.
- b) <u>Coastal Commission</u>. Pursuant to Section 20.86.080.A of the Monterey County Zoning Ordinance (Title 20), the project is subject to appeal by/to the California Coastal Commission because it involves development between the sea and the first through public road paralleling the sea (State Route 1), and development that is permitted in the underlying zone as a conditional use (i.e., development within the Big Sur critical viewshed).

DECISION

NOW, THEREFORE, based on the above findings and evidence, the Planning Commission does hereby:

- 1. Find that the project qualifies as a Class 3 Categorical Exemption pursuant to Section 15303 of the CEQA Guidelines, and there are no exceptions pursuant to Section 15300.2; and
- 2. Approve a Combined Development Permit consisting of a:

- a. Coastal Development Permit and Design Approval to allow safety improvements to the Castro Canyon Bridge, inclusive of restriping of lanes, bridge rail and crash cushion upgrades, placement of a new retaining wall, and drainage upgrades;
- b. Coastal Development Permit to allow development within the Big Sur Critical Viewshed;
- c. Coastal Development Permit to allow development on slopes exceeding 30 percent; and
- d. Coastal Development Permit to allow development within 100 feet of environmentally sensitive habitat.

All development shall be in general conformance with the attached plans and subject to the attached conditions, all being attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 9 th day of March 2022.	
AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:	
	Craig Spencer, Chief of Planning Planning Commission Secretary
COPY OF THIS DECISION MAILED TO APPLICAN THIS APPLICATION IS APPEALABLE TO THE BOA WISHES TO APPEAL THIS DECISION, AN APPEAL SUBMITTED TO THE CLERK TO THE BOARD ALO FILING FEE ON OR BEFORE	RD OF SUPERVISORS. IF ANYONE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND

THIS PROJECT IS LOCATED IN THE COASTAL ZONE AND IS APPEALABLE TO THE COASTAL COMMISSION. UPON RECEIPT OF NOTIFICATION OF THE FINAL LOCAL ACTION NOTICE (FLAN) STATING THE DECISION BY THE FINAL DECISION MAKING BODY, THE COMMISSION ESTABLISHES A 10 WORKING DAY APPEAL PERIOD. AN APPEAL FORM MUST BE FILED WITH THE COASTAL COMMISSION. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT THE COASTAL COMMISSION AT (831) 427-4863 OR AT 725 FRONT STREET, SUITE 300, SANTA CRUZ, CA.

This decision, if this is the final administrative decision, is subject to judicial review pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Sections 1094.5 and 1094.6. Any Petition for Writ of Mandate must be filed with the Court no later than the 90th day following the date on which this decision becomes final.

NOTES

1. This permit expires 3 years after the above date of granting thereof unless construction or use is started within this period.

Monterey County RMA Planning

DRAFT Conditions of Approval/Implementation Plan/Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan

PLN210039

1. PD001 - SPECIFIC USES ONLY

Responsible Department: RMA-Planning

Condition/Mitigation
Monitoring Measure:

This Combined Development Permit (PLN210039) allows Coastal for: 1) Development Permit and Design Approval to allow safety improvements to the Castro Canyon Bridge, inclusive of restriping of lanes, bridge rail and crash cushion upgrades, placement of a new retaining wall, and drainage upgrades; 2) a Coastal Development Permit to allow development within the Big Sur Critical Viewshed; Development Permit to allow development on slopes exceeding 30 percent; and 4) Coastal Development Permit to allow development within 100 feet environmentally sensitive habitat.

The property is located at State Route 1 (Highway 1), Post Mile 43.12, Castro Canyon Bridge (Br. No. 44-0035, between Post-Mile Markers 43.05 – 43.25), (Assessor's Parcel Number 000-000-000), Big Sur Coast Land Use Plan. This permit was approved in accordance with County ordinances and land use regulations subject to the terms and conditions described in the project file. Neither the uses nor the construction allowed by this permit shall commence unless and until all of the conditions of this permit are met to the satisfaction of the Director of HCD - Planning. Any use or construction not in substantial conformance with the terms and conditions of this permit is a violation of County regulations and may result in modification or revocation of this permit and subsequent legal action. No use or construction other than that specified by this permit is allowed unless additional permits are approved by the appropriate authorities. To the extent that the County has delegated any condition compliance or mitigation monitoring to the Monterey County Water Resources Agency, the Water Resources Agency shall provide all information requested by the County and the County shall bear ultimate responsibility to ensure that conditions and mitigation measures are properly fulfilled. (HCD - Planning)

Compliance or Monitoring Action to be Performed:

The Owner/Applicant shall adhere to conditions and uses specified in the permit on an ongoing basis unless otherwise stated.

Print Date: 2/28/2022 5:07:42PM Page 1 of 3

2. PD002 - NOTICE PERMIT APPROVAL

Responsible Department: RMA-Planning

Condition/Mitigation Monitoring Measure:

The applicant shall record a Permit Approval Notice. This notice shall state:

"A Combined Development Permit (Resolution Number ______) was approved by the Planning Commission for Assessor's Parcel Number 000-000-000, Castro Canyon Bridge (Br. No. 44-0035, Post Mile 43.12, located between Post-Mile Markers 43.05 – 43.25), on March 9, 2022. The permit was granted subject to four(4) conditions of approval which run with the land. A copy of the permit is on file with Monterey County HCD - Planning."

Proof of recordation of this notice shall be furnished to the Director of HCD - Planning prior to issuance of grading and building permits, Certificates of Compliance, or commencement of use, whichever occurs first and as applicable. (HCD - Planning)

Compliance or Monitoring Action to be Performed: Prior to the issuance of grading and building permits, certificates of compliance, or commencement of use, whichever occurs first and as applicable, the Owner/Applicant shall provide proof of recordation of this notice to the HCD - Planning.

3. PD003(A) - CULTURAL RESOURCES NEGATIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL REPORT

Responsible Department: RMA-Planning

Condition/Mitigation Monitoring Measure:

during the course of construction, cultural, archaeological, historical paleontological resources are uncovered at the site (surface or subsurface resources) work shall be halted immediately within 50 meters (165 feet) of the find until a qualified professional archaeologist can evaluate it. Monterey County HCD - Planning and a qualified archaeologist (i.e., an archaeologist registered with the Register of Professional Archaeologists) shall be immediately contacted the by responsible individual present on-site. When contacted, the project planner and the archaeologist shall immediately visit the site to determine the extent of the resources and to develop proper mitigation measures required for recovery. (HCD - Planning)

Compliance or Monitoring Action to be Performed: The Owner/Applicant shall adhere to this condition on an on-going basis.

Prior to the issuance of grading or building permits and/or prior to the recordation of the final/parcel map, whichever occurs first, the Owner/Applicant shall include requirements of this condition as a note on all grading and building plans. The note shall state "Stop work within 50 meters (165 feet) of uncovered resource and contact Monterey County HCD - Planning and a qualified archaeologist immediately if cultural, archaeological, historical or paleontological resources are uncovered."

When contacted, the project planner and the archaeologist shall immediately visit the site to determine the extent of the resources and to develop proper mitigation measures required for the discovery.

Print Date: 2/28/2022 5:07:42PM Page 2 of 3

4. BIOLOGICAL REPORT-NOTICE OF REPORT

Responsible Department: RMA-Planning

Condition/Mitigation The applicant shall file a Notice of Report stating that all development shall be done in Monitoring Measure:

accordance with the Natural Environment Study (LIB210162) biological report that was conducted on December 12, 2019, prior to the issuance of grading and/or building

permits


Compliance or Monitoring Action to be Performed:

Prior to the issuance of grading and/or building permits, the Applicant shall submit, to HCD-Planning, a Notice of Report stating that all development shall be done in

accordance with the Natural Environment Study (LIB210162).

PLN210039


Print Date: 2/28/2022 5:07:42PM Page 3 of 3






PROJECT ENGINEER
REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER PLANS APPROVAL DATE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS
OFFICERS OR AGENTS SHALL NOT BE
RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR
COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET.

CONTRACT No. 05-1H4900 PROJECT ID 0516000081





Dist COUNTY ROUTE MON ? REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER DATE PLANS APPROVAL DATE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OR AGENTS SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET.

TYPICAL X-SECTIONS

NO SCALE

X - 2

DGN FILE => ...\2 X-Secs\0516000081ca002.dgn


RELATIVE BORDER SCALE IS IN INCHES

UNIT 1469

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE

BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010

0516000081K



BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010

?


TYPICAL X-SECTIONS

X-3

NO SCALE


RELATIVE BORDER SCALE IS IN INCHES UNIT 1469 PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE 0516000081K DGN FILE => ...\2 X-Secs\0516000081ca003.dgn


LAST REVISION DATE PLOTTED => 11/19/2021
11-19-21 TIME PLOTTED => 3:40:05 PM



PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE

0518000117





Dist	COUNTY	ROUTE	POST MILES TOTAL PROJECT	SHEET No.	TOTAL SHEETS
05	MON	1	43.12		
		LIMINA IDSCAPE ARC	CHITECT	NDSCAPE Bailey No.	ARCHITECT I
OR A THE	GENTS SHALL	LIFORNIA OR II NOT BE RESPO COMPLETENESS PLAN SHEET.	NSIBLE FOR	Date OF (ALL)	

ROLLED EROSION CONTROL PRODUCT

		MATERIAL				
SEQUENCE	ITEM	DESCRIPTION	TYPE	FASTENER		
INSTALL BEFORE DUFF PLACEMENT	ROLLED EROSION CONTROL PRODUCT (NETTING)	100% COIR NETTING (COCONUT FIBER)	ECTC TYPE 4 NETTING TYPE A	8-GAUGE, 8 INCH STEEL STAPLE		

COMPOST SOCK

SEQUENCE	ITEM	MATERIAL	MATERIAL TYPE
INSTALL AFTER RECP (NETTING), BEFORE DUFF PLACEMENT	COMPOST SOCK	COMPOST FILLED BIODEGRAD-ABLE TUBE	8" DIA.

DRY SEED

ITEM	MATE	RIAL	APPLICATION	REMARKS		
	DESCRIPTION	TYPE	RATE	NEWANNS		
	DRY SEED	SEED	MIX	15 LB/ACRE	MIX SEED WITH A MEDIUM SAND OR COMPOST TO APPLY	

SEED MIX


BOTANICAL NAME (COMMON NAME)	PERCENT GERMINATION (MINIMUM)	POUNDS PURE LIVE SEED PER ACRE (SLOPE MEASUREMENT)
ACHILLEA MILLEFOLIUM (YARROW)	50	0.5
ARTEMESIA DOUGLASIANA (MUGWORT)	30	0.5
DESCHAMPSIA CESPITOSA SPP. HOLCIFORMIS (TUFTED HAIR GRASS)	70	8
FESTUCA MICROSTACHYS (SMALL FESCUE)	50	3
KOELERIA MACRANTHA (JUNE GRASS)	60	3

DOWN DRAIN AND RSP SEE DRAINAGE PLANS RECP (NETTING) DUFF (DRAINAGE SYSTEM 2) -COMPOST SOCK

TYPICAL EROSION CONTROL AT DRAIN OUTLETS

NO SCALE

<u>PLAN</u>



COMPOST SOCK

EROSION CONTROL QUANTITIES

LOCATION	COMPOST	DRY SEED	RECP (NETTING)	REMARKS
	LF	SQFT	SQFT	
"A" 407+60 to 407+85 LF	15	220	220	SEE DRAINAGE PLANS AND DETAILS, SYTEM 1
"A" 411+50 to 412+50 RT		650	650	SEE DRAINAGE PLANS AND DETAILS, SYSTEM 2
"A" 407+85 to 409+50 RT	150	750		SEE SOLDER PILE WALL PLANS AND DETAILS
"A" 407+70 to 409+10 LF	60	600		SEE CRIB WALL REMOVAL PLANS AND DETAILS
TOTAL	225	2220	220	

DUFF COLLECTION AND PLACEMENT

	(N)	(N)		
LOCATION	DUFF	DUFF PLACEMENT	DUFF	REMARKS
	SQFT	SQFT	SQFT	
"A" 411+50 to 412+50 RT	650	650	650	SEE DRAINAGE PLANS AND DETAILS.
TOTAL	650	650	650	PLACE DUFF IN DISTURBED SOIL AREAS.

IMPOSION CONTROL LIMBURD

USERNAME => s125555 BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010


DGN FILE => 0516000081s+001-ECL-EC.dgn


RELATIVE BORDER SCALE IS IN INCHES


UNIT 1502

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE

0516000081







DRAINAGE (QUANTITIES
------------	------------

DRAINAGE SYSTEM NO.	DRAINAGE UNIT	7 12" ENTRANCE TAPER	7 12" ANCHOR ASSEMBLY	T DOWNDRAIN (.079" THICK)	RSP (LIGHT, METHOD B)	RSP FABRIC (CLASS 8)	HOCK STAIN	MAXIMUM COVER (N)	C PLACE HWA * (Misc AREA) *	HMA * (TYPE A) *	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
1	a	1							2.16	0.3	12" ENTRANCE TAPER	407+69.22, 22.49' L†
1	b			8				0.5			12" CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE DOWNDRAIN	407+68.75/407+69.22, Var Lt
1	C		2								12" ANCHOR ASSEMBLY	407+68.75/407+69.22, Var Lt
1	đ				1	5	24				RSP (LIGHT, METHOD B) AND RSP FABRIC (CLASS 8)	407+65.32/407+66.87, Var Lt
2	o	1							2.16	0.3	12" ENTRANCE TAPER	411+75.12, 17.33' L+
2	Д			43				0.5			12" CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE DOWNDRAIN	411+73.94/411+30.06, Var Lt
2	U		8								12" ANCHOR ASSEMBLY	411+73.94/411+75.12, Var Lt
TOT	AL	2	10	51	1	5	24		4.32	0.6		

(N) = NOT A SEPARATED PAY ITEM, FOR INFORMATION ONLY

* QUANTITY INCLUDED IN ROADWAY QUANTITIES TABLE.

DRAINAGE QUANTITIES **DQ-1**


LAST REVISION | DATE PLOTTED => 11/19/2021

USERNAME =>
DGN FILE => ...\CesarFiles\0516000081id001.dgn RELATIVE BORDER SCALE IS IN INCHES UNIT 1459 PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE 05160000812656

JOSEPH VALDIVIA

06-DESIGN

BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010



NOTES: 1. EXACT LOCATION OF SIGNS TO BE DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER. STATIONARY MOUNTED CONSTRUCTION AREA SIGNS SIGN CODE SIGN MESSAGE No. OF POST No. OF SIGN PANEL SIZE AND SIZE LETTER SIGNS FEDERAL | CALIFORNIA 1 W20-1 60" × 60" ROAD WORK AHEAD 2 - 6" × 6" 2 (2) 36" × 36" ROAD WORK AHEAD 1 - 4" × 6" 3 W20-1 (3) G20-2 END ROAD WORK 1 - 4" × 6" 36" × 18" 2 TRAFFIC FINES DOUBLED IN WORK ZONES 4 60" × 60" 2 - 6" × 6" C40A (CA) 2 DEETJEN'S BIG SUR INN RANCHO GRANDE Rd OLD ROUTE 1 (USED AS PARKING SPACE BY DEETJEN'S BIG SUR INN) (PRIVATE) LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION CASTRO CANYON BRIDGE Br No. 44-0035 PM 43.12 DESIGN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 90 CALIFORNIA altars 능 APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION AREA SIGN WORK ONLY

DIST COUNTY ROUTE POST MILES SHEET TOTAL TOTAL PROJECT No. SHEETS

O5 Mon 1 43.12

REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER DATE

PLANS APPROVAL DATE

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OR AGENTS SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET.

CONSTRUCTION AREA SIGN

NO SCALE


CS-1


BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010

USERNAME => \$128443
DON FILE => 051600008110001.dgn

RELATIVE BORDER SCALE 0 1 2 3
DON FILE => 051600008110001.dgn

UNIT 1468
PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE 05160000812656





×	×		×	×		Κ	
TATE OF CALIFORNIA -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	FUNCTIONAL SUPERVISOR	CALCULATED-	GURMIT GILL	REVISED BY		_
			DESIGNED BY				
talvans	06-TRAFFIC DESIGN	MOHAMMED QATAMI	CHECKED BY	APURVA GOKAL	DATE REVISED		
						-	_

	REGISTERED	00-00-00 O CIVIL ENGINEER DATE	PROFESS IONAL	12
	PLANS APPE	XX-XX-XX	A.N. GOKAL 6. 61979	GINEER
TATIONARY MOUNTED CONSTRUCTION AREA SIGNS	THE STATE OF	CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS ALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OR COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED	CIVIL CIVIL OF CAL IFORNIA	*/

ST

SIGN No.	SIGN DESIGNATION	PANEL SIZE	SIGN MESSAGE	NUMBER OF POSTS AND SIZE	NUMBER OF SIGNS
D	W11-1	18" × 18"	BICYCLE WARNING	1 - TS 2-1/2" x 2-1/2"	2
В	W16-1P	18" × 24"	SHARE THE ROAD		
С	W20-4	36" × 36"	ONE LANE ROAD AHEAD	1 - TS 2-1/2" x 2-1/2"	2
D	W3-3	36" × 36"	SIGNAL AHEAD SYMBOL	1 - TS 2-1/2" x 2-1/2"	2
E	R10-6	24" × 36"	STOP HERE ON RED	1 - TS 2-1/2" x 2-1/2"	2
G	R4-8a	24" × 30"	KEEP LEFT 1 - TS 2-1/2" x 2-		2
Н	W1 - 4	36" × 36"	REVERSING CURVE SYMBOL	1 - TS 2-1/2" x 2-1/2"	2
I	R5-1	??" × ??"	DO NOT ENTER	1 - TS 2-1/2" x 2-1/2"	1
J	R3-1	24" × 24"	NO RIGHT TURN SYMBOL	1 - TS 2-1/2" x 2-1/2"	1
	R10-11	24" × 30"	NO TURN ON RED		1
К	R10-4	9 "× 12"	PUSH BUTTON FOR GREEN LIGHT	 1 - TS 2-1/2" x 2-1/2"	
	R10-6	24" × 36"	STOP HERE ON RED		
	SC20M	24" X 24"	EXPECT 10 MN DELAY		

CHANNELIZER (SURFACE MOUNTED)

SHEET No.	EA
TH-1	13
TH-2	8
TOTAL	21

TEMPORARY RAILING (TYPE K)

SHEET No.	STATION	LENGTH (LF)
TH-1	406+00 TO 413+50 ("MAIN-1" LINE)	760
TH-2	406+00 TO 411+45 ("MAIN-1" LINE)	760
	TOTAL	1,520

TEMPORARY CRASH CUSHION

SHEET No.	TEMPORARY ALTERNATIVE CRASH CUSHION SYSTEM
	EA
TH-1	4
TH-2	2
TOTAL	6

TYPE III BARRICADES

05 Mon

LOCATION	TYPE III BARRICADES	
	EA	
TH-1	3	
TH-2	3	
SUBTOTAL	6	


TEMPORARY PAVEMENT QUANTITIES

ROUTE	SHEET	LOCATION/ POST MILE	IRECTION	TEMPORAR PAVEMENT MARKING (TAPE)	Ē.
				DESCRIPTION	SQFT
		406+00	NB	1-LIMIT LINE (TH-1)	12.00
1	TH-1	416+25	NB	1-LIMIT LINE (TH-1)	24.00
		416+25	SB	1-LIMIT LINE (TH-1)	12.00
TOTAL				48.00	

TRAFFIC HANDLING QUANTITIES

THQ-1

USERNAME => s128443 DGN FILE => 0516000081mf001.dgn RELATIVE BORDER SCALE
IS IN INCHES UNIT 1513 PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE 05160000811 BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010



RELATIVE BORDER SCALE IS IN INCHES UNIT 1468


USERNAME => s128443 DGN FILE => 0516000081na001.dgn


BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010

LAST REVISION DATE PLOTTED => 12

0518000117

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE





LAST REVISION DATE PLOTTED OPTION DATE PLOTTED

SIGN	QUA	NTI	TIES

						BACKGROUND		LEGEND	FURNISH SINGLE SHEET ALUMINUM SIGN	E POST	SIGN	WASTE
SHEET NUMBER	SIGN NUMBER	SIGN DESIGNATION	SIGN MESSAGE	NO. OF POST AND POST SIZE	PANEL SIZE L X D	SHEETING	RETROREFLECTIVITY ASTM TYPE	SHEETING COLOR RETROREFLECTIVITY ASTM TYPE	UNFRAMED 0.063"	ROADSIDE SIGN - ONE	REMOVE ROADSIDE (WOOD POST)	TREATED WOOD WA
					INCHES				SQFT	EΑ	EA	LB
	3-1	W1 - 1	RIGHT TURN (SYMBOL)	1-2½" × 2½"	30 × 30	FY	IX	BLACK XI	6.25	1	1	86.25
PD-3		W13-1P	ADVISORY SPEED PLAQUE (25 MPH)		18 × 18	FY	ΧI	BLACK XI	2.25			
	3-2	W2-2	SIDE ROAD (SYMBOL)	$1-2\frac{1}{2}$ " × $2\frac{1}{2}$ "	30 × 30	FY	ΧI	BLACK XI	6.25	1	1	86.25
							TOTA	L	14.75	2	2	187.5

PAVEMENT DELINEATION QUANTITIES

		LOCA	LOCATION/								PAVEMENT MARKER RETROREFLECTIVE	THERMOPLASTIC TRAFFIC STRIPE (ENHANCED WET NIGHT VISIBILITY)	MOV MENT FRNT	REMOVE THERMOPLASTIC		JECT RKER	GUA RAII DELIN	ARD LING NEATOR
ROUTE	SHEET			POST MILE S		L WILE D F		TYPE	SOLID YELLOW/WHITE	AVEMEN MARKEF	TRAFFIC STRIPE YELLOW/WHITE	TYPE	TYPE	TYPE	TYPE			
] 🖺		D D	6"		TELLOW/WHITE	L	Ρ	<u> </u>	F					
		FROM	ТО			EA	LF	EA	LF	EA	EA	EA	EA					
	PD-1	406+00	416+25	NB	27B		1025		1025	4	5	7	8					
1	ТО	406+00	416+25	NB/SB	22	88	2050	88	2050									
	PD-3	406+00	416+25	SB	27B		1025		1025									
		SUBT	OTAL			88	4,100	88	4,100	4	5	7	8					
		TO	TAL			88	4,100	88	4,100	9)	1.5	5					

PAVEMENT DELINEATION QUANTITIES

PDQ-1

BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

O6-TRAFFIC DESIGN

GURMIT GILL

USERNAME => s128443 DGN FILE => 0516000081nc001.dgn RELATIVE BORDER SCALE
IS IN INCHES

0 1

UNIT 1513

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE

05160000811

REVISION DATE PLOTTED =>

PLACE HOT MIX ASPHALT DIKE

LOCATION	POST MILE	DIRECTION OF TRAVEL	DESCRIPTION	DI ACE UMA DIVE (TVDE E)		REMOVE ASPHALT CONCRETE DIKE
				LF	TON	LF
1	43.11	SB	411+42.25 TO 412+22.25	80	1.1	80
			TOTAL	80	1.1×	80

*QUANTITY INCLUDED IN ROADWAY QUANTITIES TABLE.

FENCE

LOCATION						
	LF					
"A" 407+58.00 TO 407+93.00, 19'R+	70					
"A" 411+07.00 TO 416+00.00, 18'R+	539					
TOTAL	609					

HMA APRONS

LOCATION	POST MILE	DIRECTION OF TRAVEL	LOCATION/DESTRIPTION	PLACE HMA (Misc AREA)	HMA (TYPE A)
		NB/SB		SOYD	TON
1	43.03	SB	"A" 411+76, 17.3' L† / TYPE 2	40	16.2
2	43.11	SB	"A" 407+69, 23.5' Lt / TYPE 1	15	6.1
			TOTAL	1.4	22.3*

*QUANTITY INCLUDED IN ROADWAY QUANTITIES TABLE.

DIST	COUNTY	ROUTE	TOTAL PROJECT	No.	SHEET					
05	SCR	1	43.1							
REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER DATE PLANS APPROVAL DATE PLANS APPROVAL DATE										
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OR AGENTS SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCUMACY OR COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET.										

CRASH CUSHION

LOCATION	CRASH CUSHION TEST LEVEL II PENDING	CRASH CUSHION TEST LEVEL III	CONCRETE CRASH CUSHION E FOUNDATION
	EA	EA	CY
"A" 408+00.00, 14.0′ L+		1	1.0
"A" 409+00.00, 14.0′ R†	1		0.6
"A" 411+45.00, 14.0′ R†	1		0.6
TOTAL	2	1	

N = NON PAY ITEM

STAIN GALVANIZED SURFACES*

MIDWEST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM (STEEL POSTS)	TRANSITION RAILING (TYPE WB-31)	TYPE TL-2 CRASH CUSHIONS	TYPE TL-3 CRASH CUSHION	TUBURLAR BICYLE RAIL (TYPE 85 BARRIER)	CABLE RAILING	FLARED END TREATMENT	CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE
LF	EA	ΕA	EΑ	LF	LF	ΕA	LF
426.0	1	2	1	470	20	1	51

* THIS IS A LUMPSUM ITEM, FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY

MIDWEST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM

						D 11 L U I	GOAILE		0 1 0 1 E m				
	PM	IRECTION	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	LAYOUT TYPE	REMOVE GUARDRAIL	TREATED WOOD WASTE	MGS (STEEL POST)	31" FLARED TERMINAL SYSTEM END TREATMENT	TRANSITION RAILING (TYPE WB-31)	END ANCHOR ASSEMBLY (TYPE SFT)	OBJECT MARKER (TYPE P)	VEGETATION CONTROL (MINOR CONCRETE)
		0				LF	LB	LF	EA	EA	EA	EA	SOYD
Castro Canyon Br	43.11	SB	BRIDGE APPROACH	Sto 411+42.25 TO 415+93.25, 15'Lt "A" LINE	12B	426.0	5344	451.0	1	1	0	1	X
				TOTAL		426.0	5344	451.0	1	1	0	1	X

SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES

Q-1

BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010

USERNAME => DGN FILE => ...\CesarFiles\0516000081pa001.dgn

RELATIVE BORDER SCALE
IS IN INCHES

UNIT 1459

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE

05160000812656

EROSION CONTROL QUANTITIES


LOCATION	COMPOST SOCK	RECP (NETTING)	REMARKS
	LF	SQFT	
"A" 408 to 407+50 LF	10	210	
"A" 411 to 412 LF	10	340	SEE DETAIL AND DRAINAGE PLANS
TOTAL	20	550	

DUFF COLLECTION AND PLACEMENT

	(N)	(N)		
LOCATION	DUFF COLLECTION	DUFF PLACEMENT	DUFF	REMARKS
	SQFT	SQFT	SQFT	
"A" 408 to 407+50 LF	500	500	500	SEE DETAIL
"A" 411 to 412 LF	700	700	700	AND DRAINAGE PLANS
TOTAL	1200	1200	1200	PLACE DUFF IN DISTURBED SOIL AREAS.

COMPOST SOCK

SEQUENCE	ITEM	MATERIAL	MATERIAL TYPE
INSTALL AFTER RECP (NETTING), BEFORE DUFF PLACEMENT	COMPOST SOCK	COMPOST FILLED BIODEGRAD-ABLE TUBE	8" DIA.



<u>PLAN</u>

TYPICAL EROSION CONTROL AT DRAIN OUTLETS

NO SCALE

IMPOSION CONTROL LIGHND

BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010

00

SCOTT

ARCHITECTURE

NDSCAPE

OF TRANSPORTATION

DEPARTMENT

CALIFORNIA

USERNAME =>s125555 DGN FILE => 0516000081s+001-ECL-EC.dgn RELATIVE BORDER SCALE IS IN INCHES

UNIT 1502

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE

0516000081

POST MILES SHEET TOTAL TOTAL PROJECT No. SHEETS

Signature 07-31-21 Renewal Date

43.12

ROUTE

PRELIMINARY


MON


REVISED BY DATE REVISED	NOTES: 1. OVERHEAD ENTRANCE CONDUIT FITTING MUST FORM A DRIP LOOP AT ENTRANCE FITTING. 2. ESTABLISH CONTINUOUS GROUND WITH SYSTEM GROUND TO ALL METAL PARTS IN SYSTEM BY BONDING JUMPERS AND CONDUITS. 3. SIGNS SHOWN ARE "CONSTRUCTION AREA SIGNS". SEE TRAFFIC HANDLING PLANS FOR DETAILS.	ABBREVIATIONS: VIDA - VIDEO IMAGE DETECTION ASSEMBLY UPS - UNINTERRUPTIBLE POWER SUPPLY ATS - AUTOMATIC TRANSFER SWITCH TSS - TEMPORARY SIGNAL SYSTEM	Dist COUNTY ROUTE POST MILES TOTAL PROJECT No. SHEET TOTAL PROJECT No. SHEETS O5 Mon 1 43.12 ?? ?? REGISTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER XX-XX-XX PLANS APPROVAL DATE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OF AGENTS SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET.
ATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FUNCTIONAL SUPERVISOR CALCULATED- HASHIM MOHAMED KHALID FULL CALCULATED- HASHIM MOHAMED KHALID CALCULATED- HASHIM CALCU	LEGEND: (SHEETS E-2, E-3 AND E-4) 1 POWER FOR TSS SHALL BE PROVIDED BY GENERATOR WITH A BACKUP GENERATOR, SEE DETAIL H ON SHEET ED-3. 2 DEPARTMENT-FURNISHED MODEL 170 CONTROLLER ASSEMBLY. YOU MUST FURNISH AND INSTALL UPS, VIDA EQUIPMENT AND TEMPORARY FOUNDATION PLATFORM FOR MODEL 332L CABINET PER DETAIL D ON SHEET ED-2. 3 FOR DETECTOR LOOP INSTALLATION, SEE DETAIL A ON SHEET ED-1. 4 DETECTOR LOOP MUST HAVE 5 TURNS. 5 INSTALL GROUND ELECTRODE IN PB. 6 TEMPORARY WOOD POLE, SEE DETAIL C ON SHEET ED-1. 7 TEMPORARY WOOD POLE WITH FB, SEE DETAIL B ON SHEET ED-1. 8 ON SHEET ED-3. 9 TEMPORARY WOOD POLES WITH SIGNAL EQUIPMENT ON SPAN WIRE, SEE DETAIL E ON SHEET ED-2. 10 TEMPORARY WOOD POLE WITH SIGNAL EQUIPMENT, SEE DETAIL F ON SHEET ED-2. 11 TEMPORARY WOOD POLES WITH VIDA SENSOR.	CONDUIT RUNS: 11/2"C, 3#4, 1#8 (G) 21/2"C, 2#6 (Sig), 2#8 (L+g), 2#10 (FB E), 2#10 (FB E), 1/V;"C, 2#6 (Sig), 4 DLC, 3-5CSC, 1-VIDA CABLE 2"C, 4 DLC, 3-5CSC, 2#10 (FB E), 2*10 (FB W), 1-VIDA CABLE 2"C, 3 DLC, 2#8 (L+g), 3-5CSC, 2#10 (FB E), 1-VIDA CABNLE	TEMPORARY WOOD POLE WITH FB. TEMPORARY WOOD POLES WITH SIGNAL EQUIPMENT ON SPAN WIRE. TEMPORARY WOOD POLE WITH SIGNAL EQUIPMENT. TEMPORARY WOOD POLE. TEMPORARY WOOD POST WITH TYPE A SERVICE. OH OVERHEAD BUNDLE, (CONDUCTORS AS NOTED) TEMPORARY 6' CL-6 FENCE WITH 4' GATE. G FUEL LINE. G GENERATOR WITH A GENERATOR BACKUP AND TRANSFER SWITCH. FUEL TANK.


SCALE 1"=20'

APPROVED FOR ELECTRICAL WORK ONLY

E-1







Dist COUNTY 05 Mon NOTE: SEE SES PLANS FOR TEMPORARY WOOD POLE DETAILS. REGISTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER DATE XX-XX-XXPLANS APPROVAL DATE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OR AGENTS SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET. TYPE D LOOP DETECTOR DETECTOR LOOP INSTALLATION DETAIL A MESSENGER CABLE AND CONDUCTORS AS NOTED ON THE PLANS HASHIM MOHAMED KHALID MATOS 2-12" YELLOW SIGNAL HEADS WITH BACKPLATE AND TUNNEL VISORS EYE BOLT EYE BOLT ENTRANCE FITTING -SIGN LIGHTING FIXTURE WITH LAMP 11/2" CONDUIT W3-3 SIGN 11/2"C, TYPE 4, CONDUCTORS AS REQUIRED CONDUIT STRAPS (TYPICAL) BAKHDOUD 11/2"C, CONDUCTORS AS NOTED ON THE PLAN-ETW 2' Min Тур DESIGN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION -ELECTRICAL FRONT VIEW SIDE VIEW TEMPORARY WOOD POLE DETAIL C TEMPORARY WOOD POLE WITH FB

ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS DETAILS ED-1 NO SCALE

DETAIL B

UNIT 1515

BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010

USERNAME => **DGN FILE => ...**\CesarFiles\0516000081ub001.dgn

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE


05180001131


43.12

??

HASHIM KHAL ID

No. 18054 Exp. 06/30/23 ELECTRICAL





Dist COUNTY 05 NOTE: FOR INFORMATION ONLY. ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS QUANTITY TABLES SUMMARIZE SIGNIFICANT COMPONENTS. SEE ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS TO DETERMINE ALL MATERIALS NEEDED FOR EACH SYSTEM. REGISTERED ELECTRICAL ENGINEER DATE PLANS APPROVAL DATE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OR AGENTS SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET. TEMPORAY SIGNAL SYSTEMS HASHIM MOHAMED KHALID TEMPORAY WOOD POLE WITH SIG EOUIPMENT TEMPORAY WOOD POLE WITH FB EOUIPMENT No. 4 CONDUCTOR TYPE CL-6 TEMPORARY CHAIN LINK FENCE MODEL 334L CABINET No. 6 CONDUCTOR No. 8 CONDUCTOR No. 10 CONDUCTOR No. 8 (G) CONDUCTOR TYPE A DETECTOR LOOP TYPE D DETECTOR LOOP CABL 1/2"C, TYPE 占 OVERHEAD VIDA EΑ LF 400 40 60 40 1120 500 E-2 2000 900 1100 1300 540 4 1 100 1 E-3 60 1120 1120 560 560 1120 560 80 E-4 760 350 1500 760 180 640 4 ALI BAKHDOUD TEMPORAY SIGNAL SYSTEMS TEMPORAY WOOD POLE WITH Sig EOUIPMENT ON SPAN TEMPORAY WOOD POLE WITH FB SENSOR EOUIPME **GENERATOR** TEMPORAY POLE No PB F. Colonia 100 - ELECTRICAL DESIGN VIDA VIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION VIDA

ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS QUANTITIES EQ-1

BORDER LAST REVISED 7/2/2010

DGN FILE => ...\CesarFiles\0516000081uc001.dgn

RELATIVE BORDER SCALE
IS IN INCHES

E-3

E-4

EΑ

3

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE

?? ?? HASHIM KHALID No. 18054

EXP. 06/30/23

ELECTRICAL

TO COL CALL FORM

TO CALL FORM

TO

POST MILES TOTAL PROJECT

43.12


ROUTE

Mon

UNIT 1515

6 3

05180001131



GENERAL NOTES

```
DESIGN:

AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications,
8th Edition with California Amendments.

REINFORCED
CONCRETE:
fy = 60 ksi
fy = 60 ksi (Exist Reinf)
f'c = 4.0 ksi, Deck Concrete shall be Structural
Concrete, Bridge (Polymer Fiber)
n = 8

SEISMIC
LOADING:
See "ACCELERATION RESPONSE SPECTRA CURVE"
kh = 0.21


STRUCTURAL TIMBER:
Treated Douglas Fir No. 1 or better
```

```
SOIL PARAMETERS: (For determination of active Design Lateral Earth Pressures of retained soil) \gamma = 125 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \Phi = 35^\circ (For determination of passive Design Lateral Earth Pressures in front of wall below FG) \gamma = 130 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \Phi = 40^\circ SOLDIER PILES: fy = 50 ksi
```

INDEX TO PLANS SHEET NO. TITLE

1 2 3	GENERAL PLAN INDEX TO PLANS FOUNDATION PLAN
4	BARRIER DETAILS, RIGHT SIDE
5	BARRIER DETAILS, LEFT SIDE
6	ABUTMENT DETAILS
7	SOLDIER PILE WALL LAYOUT
8 9	SOLDIER PILE WALL DETAILS No. 1
9	SOLDIER PILE WALL DETAILS No. 2
10	SECANT WALL STRUCTURE PLAN
11	SECANT WALL DETAILS
12	JOINT REPLACEMENT DETAILS
13	CONCRETE BARRIER TYPE 85, DETAILS No. 1
14	CONCRETE BARRIER TYPE 85, DETAILS No. 2
15	MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS 1
16	BARRIER SLAB

LOG OF TEST BORINGS



STANDARD PLANS DATED 2018

DETAIL DESCRIPTION

A3A A3B A3C A1OA A1OB A1OC A1OD A1OE A1OF A1OF A1OH	ABBREVIATIONS (SHEET 1 OF 3) ABBREVIATIONS (SHEET 2 OF 3) ABBREVIATIONS (SHEET 3 OF 3) LEGEND LINES AND SYMBOLS (SHEET 1 OF 5) LEGEND LINES AND SYMBOLS (SHEET 2 OF 5) LEGEND LINES AND SYMBOLS (SHEET 3 OF 5) LEGEND LINES AND SYMBOLS (SHEET 4 OF 5) LEGEND LINES AND SYMBOLS (SHEET 4 OF 5) LEGEND - SOIL (SHEET 1 OF 2) LEGEND - SOIL (SHEET 2 OF 2) LEGEND - ROCK
A77U1	MIDWEST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM CONNECTIONS TO
A77U2	BRIDGE RAILINGS WITHOUT SIDEWALKS, DETAILS NO. 1 MIDWEST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM CONNECTIONS TO BRIDGE RAILINGS WITHOUT SIDEWALKS, DETAILS NO. 2
A77U4	MIDWEST GUARDRAIL SYSTEM TRANSITION
 B6-21 B11-47	RAILING (TYPE WB-31) JOINT SEALS (MAXIMUM MOVEMENT RATING=2") CABLE RAILING
B11-83 B11-84 B11-85	Concrete Barrier Type 85 Details No. 1 Concrete Barrier Type 85 Details No. 2 Concrete Barrier Type 85 Details No. 3

STANDARD PLAN SHEET NO.

DETAIL NO.

LEGEND:


---- Indicates Existing structure

///////// Indicates Bridge Removal (Portion)

NOTES:

- (1) Remove existing concrete curb and timber rail, and replace with concrete barrier Type 85 with tubular bicycle railing, existing reinforcement to remain.
- (2) Temporary Railing (Type K) see "ROADWAY PLANS"
- (3) Soldier Pile wall with timber lagging, concrete coping and cable railing, see "SOLDIER PILE WALL" sheets.


SITE SPECIFIC ACCELERATION RESPONSE SPECTRA
NO SCALE




TYPICAL SECTION


NOTE: THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL CONTROLLING FIELD DIMENSIONS BEFORE ORDERING OR FABRICATING ANY MATERIAL.


DESIGN	Rene Coria	снескер Minh Tran	1	DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES STRUCTURE DESIGN	44-0035	CASTRO CANYON BRIDGE
DETAILS	вү Mohammad Sharif	снескер Minh Tran	CALIFORNIA	DESIGN BRANCH 18	POST MILE	
QUANTITIES	BY Rene Coria	checked Minh Tran	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	DEGIGN BRANGII 18	43.12	INDEX TO PLAN
DATE PLOTTED = FILE => 44-003		D => 12:03 ORIGINAL SCALE IN INCHES FOR REDUCED PLANS		UNIT: 3603 PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE: 0516000081	CONTRACT	No.: 05-1H490 DISREGARD PRINTS BEARING EARLIER REVISION DATES DISREGARD PRINTS BEARING EARLIER REVISION DATES DISREGARD PRINTS BEARING EARLIER REVISION DATES 10/4/21 10/22/21 2 17





Dist	COUNTY	ROUTE	POST MILES TOTAL PROJECT	SHEET No.	TOTAL SHEETS				
05	Mon	1	43.12	3333	####				
REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER MM/DD/YYYY PLANS APPROVAL DATE X PROFESS/ONA NO. X PROFESS/ONA REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER X NO. X EXP. X									
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OR AGENTS SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET.									





LEFT WIDENING

1 ½" = 1′-0"

$\frac{\mathsf{REMOVAL} \quad \mathsf{SECTION}}{\mathsf{1} \quad \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{2} = \ \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{2} - \mathsf{0} }$

NOTE:
THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL
CONTROLLING FIELD DIMENSIONS
BEFORE ORDERING OR FABRICATING
ANY MATERIAL.

TRUCTURES DESIGN DETAIL SHEET ENGLISH) (REVISION 12/13/2019)

LEGEND:

----- Indicates Existing structure


CONSTRUCTION JOINT


 $M_{\rm prop}$ Indicates Bridge Removal (Portion)


NOTES:

- For additional reinforcement details, see "CONCRETE BARRIER TYPE 85-DETAILS No. 1 & 2" sheets.
- 2. #7 rebar may be bent to avoid existing adge of deck.


Minh Tran DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES Structure design BRIDGE No. STATE OF CASTRO CANYON BRIDGE DESIGN Rene Coria 44-0035 **CALIFORNIA** Minh Tran DETAILS Mohammad Sharif POST MILE DESIGN BRANCH 18 BARRIER DETAILS, LEFT SIDE HECKED Minh Tran DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION QUANTITIES 43.12 Rene Coria TIME PLOTTED => 12:04 ORIGINAL SCALE
IN INCHES FOR
REDUCED PLANS DATE PLOTTED => 2-NOV-2021 FILE => 44-0035-f-001d+02.dgn UNIT: 3603 PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE: 0516000081







ABUTMENT 1 ELEVATION



RECONSTRUCTION ABUTMENT 6 ELEVATION

1'-0", Typ

LEGEND:

Structure Excavation (Retaining Wall)

Structure Backfill (Retaining Wall)

LIMITS OF PAYMENT FOR EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL 3⁄8" = 1'-0"

LEGEND:


---- Indicates Existing structure


NOTES:


- 1. Remove Concrete Curb and Timber Rail.
- Concrete Barrier Type 85 with tubular bicycle railing, existing reinforcement to remain. See "CONCRETE BARRIER TYPE 85" Sheet for Reinf and additional details.
- 3. Concrete Barrier Type 85 transition, see "BARRIER DETAILS, RIGHT SIDE" Sheet, "Detail C-C" for Reinf and additional information.
- 4. For Bridge Removal (Portion) at About, see "BARRIER DETAILS, LEFT SIDE" and "BARRIER DETIALS, RIGHT SIDE" Sheets for "REMOVAL SECTION" Details.

NOTE: THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL CONTROLLING FIELD DIMENSIONS BEFORE ORDERING OR FABRICATING ANY MATERIAL.


	DESIGN	Rene Coria	CHECKED Minh Tran	STATE OF	DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES	BRIDGE No.	CASTRO CANYON BRIDGE
	257411.6	BY	CHECKED	CALIFORNIA	STRUCTURE DESIGN	44-0035	CASINO CANTON BRIDGE
	DETAILS	Mohammad Sharif	Minh Tran	CALIFORNIA	DESIGN BRANCH 18	POST MILE	
	QUANTITIES	Rene Coria	checked Minh Tran	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	DEGIGN BILANGII 18	43.12	ABUTMENT DETAILS
STRUCTURES DESIGN DETAIL SHEET (ENGLISH) (REVISION 12/13/2019)	DATE PLOTTED FILE => 44-00		TTED => 12:04 ORIGINAL SCALE IN INCHES FOR		UNIT: 3603	CONTRACT	DISREGARD PRINTS BEARING EARLIER REVISION DATES DISREGARD PRINTS BEARING EARLIER REVISION DATES DISPERSANCE 0/15/21 6 1.7







CONCRETE COPING DETAIL AT PILE



LIMITS OF CLEAN & PAINT STEEL SOLDIER PILE NO SCALE

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL CONTROLLING FIELD DIMENSIONS BEFORE ORDERING OR FABRICATING

HECKED Minh Tran STATE OF DESIGN Rene Coria Minh Tran DETAILS Mohammad Sharif нескер Minh Tran QUANTITIES Rene Coria

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

BRIDGE No. 44-0035 POST MILE

CASTRO CANYON BRIDGE

SOLDIER PILE WALL DETAILS No. 1

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DESIGN BRANCH 18

 $\frac{c}{r}$

43.12

TYPICAL SECTION

"RW1" LINE

TOP OF WALL-

4 X 12 TIMBER

3'-0" Mig

LAGGING

(A)-

LIMITS OF INISH COAT

DRILLED HOLE

= © SOLDIER PILE AND CABLE RAILING

FILTER FABRIC(FULL

FG Elev @ "RW1" LINE

COVERAGE BEHIND LAGGING)

BOTTOM OF TIMBER LAGGING

HP 12X84 STEEL SOLDIER PILES

30" DRILLED HOLE

DISREGARD PRINTS BEARING EARLIER REVISION DATES -

ANY MATERIAL.

TRUCTURES DESIGN DETAIL SHEET ENGLISH) (REVISION 12/13/2019)

DATE PLOTTED => 2-NOV-2021 FILE => 44-0035-f-a01d+05.dgn

TIME PLOTTED => 12:04 USERNAME => \$151020

UNIT: 3603 PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE: 0516000081

CONTRACT No.: 05-1H490

BOTTOM OF DRILLED HOLE

REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER DATE MM/DD/YYYY PLANS APPROVAL DATE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OR AGENTS
SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR CIVIL STATE OF CALIFORN COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET.

POST MILES TOTAL PROJECT

43.12

???? ####

NOTES:

Dist

05

COUNTY


Mon

- 1. Bridge and Roadway not shown for clarity.
- 2. For "Section A-A" and "Section B-B", see "SOLDIER PILE WALL DETAILS No. 2" Sheet
- For Excavation and Backfill Limits for Soldier Pile Wall, see "SOLDIER PILE WALL LAYOUT" Sheet

BACKFILL WITH LEAN CONCRETE

(SOLDIER

님



TREATED TIMBER LAGGING

PART PLAN


NO SCALE

3" Min

ANY MATERIAL.


Тур

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL CONTROLLING FIELD DIMENSIONS BEFORE ORDERING OR FABRICATING GALVANIZED SPIKES, Typ-SEE "NOTE 2"




SECTION A-A

NO SCALE



SECTION B-B
NO SCALE



HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE

Dist COUNTY

Mon

REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER

PLANS APPROVAL DATE

MM/DD/YYYY

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OR AGENTS SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET.

05

POST MILES TOTAL PROJECT

43.12

DATE

|????| ####

CIVIL

NOTES:


- 1. No clipping of Timber Lagging Corners.
- 2. Use 16d Galv Wire Spikes for 4 X 12 Lagging.


SHIM DETAIL


NO SCALE

- 3. Spikes shall not be bent.
- 4. For location of "SECTION A-A" AND "SECTION B-B", see "SOLDIER PILE DETAILS No. 1" Sheet.
- 5. Concrete coping not shown for clarity.

	DESIGN	Rene Coria	Minh Tran		DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES STRUCTURE DESIGN		ı	CASTRO	CANYON	N BRIDGE	
	DETAILS	Mohammad Sharif	снескер Minh Tran	CALIFORNIA	DESIGN BRANCH 18	44-0035 POST MILE					
	QUANTITIES	Rene Coria	снескер Minh Tran	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	DEGIGN BRANCH 18	43.12	SOLDIE	R PILE	WALL	DETAILS	No. 2
CTRUCTURES DECIDE DETAIL CHEET	DATE PLOTTED	=> 2-NOV-2021 TIME PLOTTE	D => 12:04 ORIGINAL SCALE		UNIT: 3603			DISREGARD PRINTS E	BEARING	REVISION DATES	SHEET OF
STRUCTURES DESIGN DETAIL SHEET (ENGLISH) (REVISION 12/13/2019)			IN INCHES FOR REDUCED PLANS	0 1 2 3	PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE: 0516000081	CONTRACT N	No.: 05-1H490	EARLIER REVISION D	ATEC	10/15/21	9 17







UNIT: 3603

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE: 0516000081


CONTRACT No.: 05-1H490


Rene Coria


TIME PLOTTED => 12:05 USERNAME => \$151020


DATE PLOTTED => 2-NOV-2021 FILE => 44-0035-q-jntdt.dgn

TRUCTURES DESIGN DETAIL SHEET ENGLISH) (REVISION 12/13/2019)









DECK REPAIR DETAIL


LOCATIONS TO BE DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER. REINFORCEMENT MAY BE ENCOUNTERED DURING DECK CONCRETE REMOVAL.


NO SCALE

DECK REPAIR TABLE							
REMOVE UNSOUND CONCRETE AND PLACE RAPID SETTING CONCRETE (PATCH)							
AND PLACE RAPID SETTING CONCRETE (PAICH)							
BRIDGE NAME	BRIDGE NUMBER	APPROXIMATE AREA DAMAGE (SQUARE FEET)	APPROXIMATE DEPTH (INCHES)				
CASTRO CANYON BRIDGE	44-0035	2%	3				

NOTE: THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL CONTROLLING FIELD DIMENSIONS BEFORE ORDERING OR FABRICATING ANY MATERIAL.

	DESIGN	BY	CHECKED	STATE OF	DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES	BRIDGE No.	
	DESTON	Rene Coria	Minh Tran	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	STRUCTURE DESIGN	44-0035	CASTRO CANYON BRIDGE
	DETAILS	BY Charait	CHECKED	CALIFORNIA	l l		
	DETAILS	Mohammad Sharif	Minh Tran		DESIGN BRANCH 18	POST MILE	MICOELLANEOUS DETAILS 4
	QUANTITIES	Rene Coria	CHECKED Minh Tran	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		43.12	MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS 1
					I		REVISION DATES SHEET OF
STRUCTURES DESIGN DETAIL SHEET (ENGLISH) (REVISION 12/13/2019)	DATE PLOTTED =		OTTED => 12:05 ORIGINAL SCALE IN INCHES FOR		UNIT: 3603		DISREGARD PRINTS BEARING
(ENGLISH) (REVISION 12/13/2019)	FILE => 44-003	5-u-miscd+01.dan USEF	RNAME => S151020 PEDITED DI ANE		PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE: 0516000081	CONTRACT	NO • 05-14490





REGISTERED CIVIL ENGINEER

MM/DD/YYYY

PLANS APPROVAL DATE

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ITS OFFICERS OR AGENTS
SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OR


COMPLETENESS OF SCANNED COPIES OF THIS PLAN SHEET.

CASTRO CANYON BRIDGE

ADDITIONAL SLAB REINFORCEMENT

DISREGARD PRINTS BEARING EARLIER REVISION DATES -

LIMITS OF EXCAVATION



LIMITS OF BACKFILL

LEGEND:

----- Indicates Existing

EXCAVATION & BACKFILL LEGEND:

Limits of Structure Excavation

Limits of Structure Backfill

Limits of Structure Backfill (95% Relative Compation)


Limits of Existing Crib Wall Removal

CONCRETE BARRIER SLAB LIMITS OF EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL

N.T.S

NOTE: THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL CONTROLLING FIELD DIMENSIONS BEFORE ORDERING OR FABRICATING ANY MATERIAL.

TRUCTURES DESIGN DETAIL SHEET ENGLISH) (REVISION 12/13/2019)



DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

DESIGN BRANCH 18

PROJECT NUMBER & PHASE: 0516000081

UNIT: 3603

STATE OF

CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CHECKED
Minh Tran

Minh Tran

снескер Minh Tran

TIME PLOTTED => 12:05 USERNAME => s151020

DESIGN

DETAILS

QUANTITIES

DATE PLOTTED => 2-NOV-2021 FILE => 44-0035-w-asr.dgn

Rene Coria

Rene Coria

Mohammad Sharif

BRIDGE No.

44-0035

POST MILE

43.12

CONTRACT No.: 05-1H490

Conceptual Visual Simulations



(Southbound Approach)



Conceptual Visual Simulations



(Southbound on Bridge)



Conceptual Visual Simulations



(Northbound Approach)



Conceptual Visual Simulations



(Northbound on Bridge)

