Attachment J Notice of Appeal

California-American Water Company PLN120817



NOTICE OF APPEAL

JAN-22 2013

Monterey County Code Title 19 (Subdivisions) Title 20 (Zoning) Title 21 (Zoning)

No a _l	opeal n	ill be accepted until a written decision is given. If you wish to file an appeal, you must do
so on	or bef	ore 1.22.13 (10 days after written notice of the decision has been mailed to the
applic	cant).	Date of decision 1.7.13
1.	Pleas	e provide the following information:
	a)	Your name Constance Murray Phone Number 831.659.0147
	b)	Phone Number 831.659.0147
	c)	Address 10 Oak Meadow Lane, Carmel Valley, CA 93924
	d)	Appellant's name (if different)
2.	Indic	ate appellant's interest in the decision by checking the appropriate box:
		Applicant
		Neighbor
٠	k	Other (please state) Owner of the real property subject of the application
3.	If you	are not the applicant, please give the applicant's name:
	_Ca	lifornia American Water
4.	What	is the file number of the application that is the subject to this appeal?
		ate the file number of the application that is the subject of the appeal and the decision making body Zoning Administrator, Director of Planning, Minor Subdivision Committee).
	a)	File Number PLN120817
	b)	Decision Making Body Monterey County Planning Department
		Appellant has attached the application subject of the appeal.

٥.	wnat	is the nature of the appear?
	a)	Is the appellant appealing the approval \square or the denial \square of an application? (Check appropriate box)
	b)	If the appellant is appealing one or more conditions of approval, list the condition number and state the condition(s) being appealed. (Attach extra sheets if necessary).
		Not applicable
6.	Check	the appropriate box(es) to indicate which of the following reasons form the basis for the appeal:
	X.	There was a lack of fair or impartial hearing; or
	X	The findings or decision or conditions are not supported by the evidence; or
		The decision was contrary to law.
		th condition and the basis for your appeal. (Attach extra sheets if necessary). Attachment 6
7 .	(Direct appearsheets	rt of the application approval or denial process, findings were made by the decision making body ctor of Planning, Zoning Administrator, or Minor Subdivision Committee). In order to file a valid l, you must give specific reasons why the appellant disagrees with the findings made. (Attach extra if necessary).
8.	its fac	Frail Hand CAM 120
	ELLANT EPTED	SIGNATURE 6.1 forms, all my or constant llumy DATE 1.13 DATE 1/22/13

ATTACHMENT 6

JAN 2 2 2013

Lack of Fair of Impartial Hearing

The notice requirements set forth in the Monterey County Code were not followed. Ms. Murray never received notice from the County or from the applicant, California American Water.

California American Water filed the application for the permit without notifying Ms. Murray although she was the owner of the real property subject of the application and it was fully aware that the antenna was the subject of a pending legal action. California American Water was served with a Complaint, Monterey County Case No. M120057 on October 19, 2012 which included causes of action seeking to have the antenna removed from the easement in question. California American's legal counsel had communicated with Ms. Murray's counsel after the filing of the Complaint and at no point was Ms. Murray's counsel advised that it had applied for a permit for the antenna on December 7, 2012. On November 30, 2012 California American Water did file a demurrer to the Complaint stating that the County of Monterey, the agency issuing the Compliance Order, was an indispensable party to the lawsuit. Despite this contention, it failed to notify the County of the lawsuit.

Accordingly, although Ms. Murray is the owner of the real property subject of the application, she received no notification of the application from the County of Monterey or from California American Water. In this regard, the application was misleading as California American Water signed the application as the "Property Owner/Agent" when in fact it was neither.

As Ms. Murray had no knowledge of the application until after it had been approved she was entirely denied of any hearing on the application. Clearly, Ms. Murray as the owner of the real property subject of the easement should have input into the installation or design of any structure built on her property.

For these reasons, the application should be denied.

The Decision to Approve the Application Is Not Supported By The Evidence

The real property burdened by the easement is also burdened by a Scenic Easement, which was created on September 16, 1980 by a Conservation and Scenic Easement Deed recorded on September 19, 1980. The County of Monterey is the grantee of the Scenic Easement. The installation of the monopole antenna in the easement violates the restrictions of the Scenic Easement. A review of the application file reveals that no determination was made by the County as to the Scenic Easement. As the installation of the monopole pole violates the Scenic Easement, the application should be denied.

The May 31, 2011 Compliance Order issued by the County, Case Number 11CE00134 states that the antenna violates Monterey County Code Sections 18.02.130 and 21.84.040 as the monopole antenna was installed without permits. The stated required corrective action is to 1. Submit a permit application

and plans to obtain a construction permit for the antenna or remove and restore the property to its approved use, size and configuration and 2. Apply for and obtain a Design Approval for the antenna. The Design Permit subject of this appeal was obtained without first obtaining a construction permit as set forth in the Compliance Order issued by the County. For this reason, the application be denied.

The application should further be denied as it does not conform to the design requirements of location, size, configuration, etc. set forth in the Monterey County Code, does not conform with the 2010 Monterey County General Plan and is inconsistent with Title 21 of the Monterey County Code.

The Decision Was Contrary to Law

The real property burdened by the easement is also burdened by a Scenic Easement, which was created on September 16, 1980 by a Conservation and Scenic Easement Deed recorded on September 19, 1980. The County of Monterey is the grantee of the Scenic Easement. The installation of the monopole antenna in the easement violates the restrictions of the Scenic Easement. A review of the application file reveals that no determination was made by the County as to the Scenic Easement. As the installation of the monopole pole violates the Scenic Easement, the application should be denied.

The May 31, 2011 Compliance Order issued by the County, Case Number 11CE00134 states that the antenna violates Monterey County Code Sections 18.02.130 and 21.84.040 as the monopole antenna was installed without permits. The stated required corrective action is to 1. Submit a permit application and plans to obtain a construction permit for the antenna or remove and restore the property to its approved use, size and configuration and 2. Apply for and obtain a Design Approval for the antenna. The Design Permit subject of this appeal was obtained without first obtaining a construction permit as set forth in the Compliance Order issued by the County. For this reason, the application be denied.

The application should further be denied as it does not conform to the design requirements of location, size, configuration, etc. set forth in the Monterey County Code, does not conform with the 2010 Monterey County General Plan and is inconsistent with Title 21 of the Monterey County Code.

Finally, the application must be made by the property owner or her agent. As California American Water is neither the owner of the property or an agent of the owner, it cannot apply for the application in its sole capacity as the easement owner.

JAN-**22** 2013

There were no findings made by the Director of Planning. However, Appellant disagrees with the approval of the application for the reasons set forth in Attachment 6.

Notice of Approved Design Approval

Director of Monterey County RMA-Planning Department

Project Title:

MURRAY CONSTANCE TR (CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER)

Project File No.

PLN120817

Project Location:

10 OAK MEADOW LN CARMEL VALLEY

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Monday, January 7, 2013 the Director of the Monterey County Resource Management Agency – Planning Department approved the above referenced application for a Design Approval. The project allows the following development: Design Approval to correct a code enforcement violation (11CE00134) to allow the placement of a 20 foot high wireless communication facility. Colors and materials consist of: aluminum and grey (pole). The property is located at 10 Oak Meadow Lane, Carmel Valley (Assessor's Parcel Number 187-031-025-000), Carmel Valley Master Plan.

A COPY OF THIS DECISION WAS MAILED TO THE APPLICANT ON Wednesday, January 9, 2013.

Note: This project is not located in the Coastal Zone. It may be appealed to the Monterey County Planning Commission.

If anyone wishes to appeal this decision, an appeal form must be completed and submitted to the Secretary of the Planning Commission, along with the appropriate filing fee on or before 5:00 PM on Tuesday, January 22, 2013.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONTACT:
Ashley Nakamura, Land Use Technician
(831) 755-5892 or nakamuraa@co.monterey.ca.us

Monterey County Resource Management Agency - Planning Department 168 West Alisal St 2nd Floor, Salinas, CA 93901 (831) 755-5025



MONTEREY COUNTY RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

168 West Alisal, 2nd Floor, Salinas, CA 93901 Telephone: (831) 755-5025 Fax: (831) 757-9516 http://www.co.monterey.ca.us/planning DEC 0.7 2012

MONTEREY COUNTY
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

PLANNING DEPARTMENT DESIGN APPROVAL REQUEST FORM ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER: 187-031-025 PROJECT ADDRESS: 10 OAK MEADOW LANE , CARNEL VALLEY CA (EASEMENT) APPLICANT AGENT PROPERTY OWNER: CALIFORNIA AME Telephone: 83 1 6 4 6 3230 Address: 511 Fofest LOGE RD.
City/State/Zip: PACIFIC GROVE CA Fax: \$31375 4367 julio, gonzalez communter am OWNER OF APN MUPPAY CONSTANCE Tellephone: Address: 10 DAY MEAR Fax: City/State/Zip: CAMPL VALL Email: AGENT: Telephone: Address: Fax: City/State/Zip:_ Email: Mail Notices to: W Owner ☐ Applicant ☐ Agent (Check only one) CORPERTION OF VIOLATION - INSTITUTION PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Attach Scope of Work) COMMUNICATION ANTENNAE (TO PEET TALL BASE ONSTRUCTED TO CALTRANIS STDS MATERIALS TO BE USED: COLORS TO BE USED: l acknowledge that I will need a building permit and must comply with the Monterey County Building Ordinance. Additionally, I acknowledge that the Zoning Ordinance states that no building permit be issued, nor any use conducted, otherwise than in accordance with the conditions and terms of the permit granted or until ten days after the mailing of notice of the granting of the pennit, I further acknowledge that this approval is for design of the structures and compliance with zoning regulations only. 1 J. AMAN PROPERTY OWNER/AGENT SIGNATURE: (FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION GENERAL/AREA PLAN: ADVISORY COMMITTEE RELATED PERMITS: DAPPROVAL DENIAL PLANNER: LUAC REFERRAL: □ YES □ NO Against: ___ _Abstain: __ YES II NO DOES THIS CORRECT A VIOLATION? WITHIN ARCH BUFFER ZONE? ☐ YES MO Was the Applicant Present? ☐ YES ☐ NO I YES I NO ON SEPTIC SYSTEM? (REFER TO EHB) DECISION;

ADMINISTRATIVE

PUBLIC HEARING Recommended Changes: LEGAL LOT: ☐ YES ☐ NO GIVEN OUT BY: DATE; ACCEPTED BY: VI. Zdațe: COMMENTS: (1) APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING DONING ADMINISTRATOR PLANNING COMMISSION
DAPPROVED DENIED APPROVED ACTION: ☐ DENIED CONDITIONS:

A NEW rest Scence of say official form or document of Monterey County. DA Request Form (Inland) Rev. 07-19-2012

A Previous conversations wil Directors of planning.

OR

MAILED WA

☐ IN PERSON

COPY TO APPLICANT:



GENERAL PLAN POLICY CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST FOR DESIGN APPROVALS (Inland Only)

1850	To be completed by Applicants				
LAND USE DESIG	CNATION: APN: C- AP / PLANNING AUMOBER;				
120	65/0017/4/ 18/00/02 PLN/208/				
AREA PLAN: Cachagu	PRÓJECT DESCRIPTION:				
	Valley Master Plan Salinas Valley Area Plan				
	Valiey Maiser Plan Salinas Valiey Area Plan I Monterey Peninsula Area Plan Solinas S				
	Monterey Peninsula Area Plan Salinas Area Plan				
	County Area Plan An A				
	County Area Plan				
☐ Toro Are	ture & Winery Corridor Plan				
	Party Content of the state of the state of the design to the design of the design of the state o				
	The project is for:				
	#Residential use ☐ Commercial use ☐ Agricultural use ☐ Public or Quasi-Public use ☐ Industrial use				
☐ Yest No	The project proposes a cell-site, telcom (digital) communication facility/site? WHTEL UTLINY COMMUNICATION				
X Yes No	The project includes the construction of a new structure? The project includes the enlarging, altering, repairing, moving, improving, or removing of existing structures?				
☐ Yes K No	If "yes", describe				
☐ Yes 1 No	The project includes demolition work? If "yes", describe				
Yes No	Project includes the use of roofing materials that are different in type and/or color from the original materials? Project includes replacement and/or repair of (50%) or more of the exterior walls of a structure?				
Yes W No	Project includes replacement and/or repair of (50%) or more on the exterior wans or a structure? Project includes historical structure or a structure more than fifty (50) years old?				
Yes No	Project includes an accessory structure(s)? If "yes", describe:				
☐ Yes No	Project includes the placement of a manufactured home, mobile home, modular or prefabricated unit?				
☐ Yes 🗗 No	Private property Park installation (mobile home park) Project includes retaining walls?				
Yes No	Project involves new, change or modifications to existing utilities and/or power lines?				
Yes W No	Project is change or modification to an approved application.				
☐ Year No	Does the project propose a lot line adjustment or subdivision?				
☐ Yes ☑ No	Does the project include subdivision creating five or more lots, or new commercial/industrial use that creates intensity equal to or greater than five residences?				
☐ Yes M No	Is the project located near an incorporated area (City)?				
Yes No	Is the project located within a Community Area or Rural Center?				
Yes No	Is the project located within ¼ mile of a public airport?				
Yes ANo					
Yes PNo	Does the project propose a secondary unit? Would native vegetation be removed with this project?				
☐ Yes 🔯 No					
Yes No	Does the project propose any tree removal? If "yes" Type Size Number				
Yes No	Project includes grading, dirt importation, dirt removal, and/or drainage changes. Would the project be connected to an existing well or private water system?				
Yes Da No					
X Yes □ No	Project is associated with a new or improvements to a water system.				
L. `	CAL-AM water system = 30 number of connections				
Yes No	Does the project include a new individual or existing wastewater system (e.g. septic)? The project includes constructing, enlarging, altering, repairing, moving, improving or removing a septic tank/system?				
Yes No					
☐ Yes 🖾 No					
☐ Yes ☑ No	Project is located within 100 feet of seasonal or permanent drainage, lake, marsh, ocean, pond, slough, stream,				
Yes M No	wetlands. If "yes", describe Does the project include cultivation of land that is currently not cultivated?				
Yes KNo					
☐ Yes ☑ No	Is the project located within the winery corridor?				
Yes No	Would any portion of the proposed development be visible from a public road, designated vista point, or public park?				
☐ Yes ☑ No	if yes, is a located on a slope of hear the top of a min/ 1 Yes at No				
Yes No					
Yes No	Is the project located within a Special Treatment Area?				
Yes No					
Yes Navo					
I, the unders	signed, have authority to submit application for a permit on the subject property. I have completed this questionnaire				
accurately ba	ased on the proposed project description. It is my interpretation that the project is consistent with the 2010 Monterey leral Plan. I understand that Monterey County may require project changes or some other permit/entitlement if the project				
is found to b	teral Fight. I understand that Monterey County may require project changes or some other permittentitlement if the project be inconsistent with app General Plan policy.				
	2011				
Signature C					
Print Name:	1. AMAN GOULANEZ				

SCOPE OF WORK: ATPROVAL OF A DO FOOT RADIO ANTENNA PEQUIPED TO

PROVIDE "EMMUNICATION BETWEEN BOD DK PUMPS AND


A WATER STORAGE THOUK, ONLY LOCATION ABLE TO PROVIDE

SAID COMMUNICATION.

DEGETVED

JAN 07:2013

MONTEREY COUNTY
PLANNING DEPARTMENT



		∌ ₽ROV	ED PU	HSUAN	TTO	17
me	n eniif	rion N	0.02	LN/-	208	// \
l cc	NDITI	ON NO	1+1	111-	nl	21
AF	PROV	ED EN	241	1/5	4	1
171	TLE:4	M	911	7/4	013	
p	ATE: .		1	James James Sandar	***************************************	

PLN120817