Attachment H



7.

3

4

5

ಕ

В

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27 28 Michael W. Stamp, State Bar \$72785 LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL W. STAMP 500 Camino El Estero, Suite 200 Monterey, CA 93940-3200 Telephone: (408) 373-1214

NOV 2 8 1995

Jane Haines, State Bar #126751 LAW OFFICES OF JANE HAINES 614 Lighthouse Avenue, Suite G Pacific Grove, CA 93950 Telephone: (408) 372-6665

Attorneys for Potitioners and Plaintiffs Alliance to Enforce Mandates and David H. Green

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF MONTEREY

ALLIANCE TO ENFORCE MANDATES)
GOVERNING PROJECT REVIEW)
PROCEDURES AND WATER AND TRAFFIC)
STANDARDS, AND DAVID H. GREEN,)

No. 102344

BETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION FOR JUDGMENT; JUDGMENT

Petitioners and Plaintiffs,)

V5.

COUNTY OF MONTEREY; BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY; ROBERT SLIMMON, JR., DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND SUILDING INSPECTION, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY; DOES 1 - 100,)

Respondents and Defendants.

COMMUNITY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT SYSTEM & PLANNING ASSOCIATION, INC., DOES 101 - 200,

Real Parties in Interest.

This Settlement Agreement and Stipulation for Judgment is made and entered into this _____ day of November, 1995, by and butween Alliance to Enforce Mandates Governing Project Review Procedures and Water and Traffic Standards, and David H. Green (collectively

Exhibit "C" 1

95, 11 4, 1

1 2

3

4 5

> 6 7

9

10

12

13

15 16

17

18

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

7

11

]] []

28

referred to as Petitioners); the County of Monterey, and Rubert slimmon; Jr. (collectively referred to as County); and Community Housing Improvement System and Planning Association, Inc. (CHISPA).

Recitals

- 1. On December 20, 1994, the County adopted resolutions approving combined development permits for the Moro Cojo Standard Subdivision Development and the Moro Cojo Senior Housing Development projects, and certifying the environmental impact report for those projects. The project approvals contain 103 terms and conditions of approval ("conditions of approval").
- 2. On January 20, 1995, Petitioners filed suit in Montarey County superior court, challenging the approvals for the project.

 Petitioners filed amended Petitions on February 17, 1995, and June 5, 1995.
- 3. The parties have participated in settlement discussions at various times since February, 1995.
- 4. The parties, in order to avoid protracted litigation and for the purpose of settling the disputes which currently exist, have agreed to settle this litigation upon the terms and conditions contained in this Settlement Agreement and Stipulation for Judgment.

In consideration of the foregoing recitals and the mutual covenants and promises of the parties as contained in this Settlement Agreement and Stipulation for Judgment, the parties agree as follows:

2

2

3

4

5

6

7

Ħ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

38

19

20

21

2 2

23

24

25

26

. 27

OUT 117 RODO 1 - FIDA FI

AGREEMENT

1. The 103 conditions of approval for the projects are relffirmed and shall be made part of the judgment herein, and shall not be deleted, altered, modified, or revised, except as specifically provided herein.

- The parties stipulate that the County shall interpret the conditions of approval to provide that the projects have been approved for 175 single family homes for low income (80% of median income) families, 90 multi-family rentals for very low income (average of 50% of median income) families and a maximum of 100 affordable rental units for seniors.
- paragraph 2 of this Settlement Agreement, shall be a permanent deed restriction on the project parcels, and shall not be subordinated to any financing, encumbrance, loan, development agreement, contract, lease or other document.
- 4. The parties stipulate that voter approval for the projects is not required under Article 34 of the California Constitution.
- 5. Petitioners, through their counsel, will receive thirty (30) days' actual notice of any public hearing of the County Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission or other County public body on any matter relating to the approval of the final map, or any condition of approval, or any modification of any condition of approval.

 Failure to give such notice shall render voidable any County action taken which does not confirm with this paragraph.
- 6. In regard to any application or request for any modification of approval, the parties agree as follows:

2₿

2

3

4

5

6

7

Ü

9

10

11

12

13

. 14

15

16

17

18

1,9

20

21

2.2

23

24

2,5

26

27

28

UU1 111 WUDU 1.0000

A. The County shall not initiate any modification of any condition of approval;

- B. Should the applicant request any modification of any condition of approval, the applicant shall have the burden of producing substantial evidence to support its request for said modification;
- C. Where appropriate under the California Environmental Quality Act, any proposed change shall receive an initial review of its environmental effects.

Any decision made by the County pursuant to this Agreement shall be reviewable in the Superior Court in the manner permitted by law. The Superior Court expressly retains jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter in order to effectuate the terms and purposes of this Settlement Agreement.

- 7. Petitioners, County, and CHISPA release each other from any and all claims, causes of action, and demands arising out of this litigation, including any claims for attorney's fees or costs, except as specifically provided in this Agreement.
- 6. The parties agree that this is a negotiated settlement, and is not an admission by any party of anything.
- g. CHISPA agrees to pay Petitioners the sum of \$10,000 within fifteen (15) days of the entry of judgment pursuant to this settlement Agreement and Stipulation for Judgment. In all other respects and amounts, all parties waive any claims they may have against any other party for attorneys' fees and costs, and each party agrees to bear its own costs and fees.
- 10. The parties stipulate that the Superior Court may enter judgment on the terms and conditions contained herein.

| 1 | 11. All notices to be given | pursuant to this Agreement shall be |
|------|---|---|
| 2 | given by first class mail or | by personal delivery to the following |
| 3 | persons: | |
| 4 | For Petitioners: | Michael W. Stamp LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL W. STAMP |
| 5 | • | 500 Camino El Estero, Suite 200 Monterey, CA 93940 |
| 6 j | | and |
| 7 | 14 | Jane Haines |
| 8 | The Galette | LAW OFFICES OF JANE HAINES 614 Lighthouse Avenue, Suite G Pacific Grove, CA 93950 |
| 10 | For County: | Board of Supervisors |
| 11 | , | County of Monterey 240 Church Street. Salinas, CA 93902 |
| 12 | | and |
| 13 | | Office of the County Counsel |
| . 24 | | County of Monterey Post Office Box 1587 |
| 15 | | Salinas, California 93902-1587 |
| 16 | For CHISPA: | Executive Director Community Housing Improvement |
| 17 | | System and Planning Association, Inc. 600 E. Market Street |
| 15 | | Salinas, CA 93905 |
| 20 | | and |
| 21 | | Anthony Lombardo & Associates |
| 22 | | Post Office Box 2119 Salinas, California 93902 |
| 23 | DATED: November 30, 1995 | Alliance to Enforce Mandated |
| 24 | DATED: November 70, 1995 | Governing Project Roview Procedures and Water and Tradic Standards |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | By: U.S. Itaa |
| -27 | N in the second | Authorized Representative |
| 28 | - ₩ | |

| 1 2 | DATED: | November <u>20</u> , 1995 | David Green |
|-----|--------|---------------------------|--|
| 3 | | | County of Monterey |
| 4 | DATED: | November <u>2/</u> , 1995 | Lounty of Mondelley |
| 5 | | • | By: Jom techno |
| 6 | | | Chair of the Board of Supervisors |
| 7 | | | Chair or one source of experience |
| 9 | İ | 10 | Shit Venna - |
| 9 | DATED: | November <u>20</u> , 1995 | Robert Slimmon, Jr. |
| 10 | | 1 D | |
| 11 | DATED: | November <u>20</u> 1995 | Community Housing Improvement System and Planning Association, Inc. (CHISPA) |
| 12 | | | Association, Inc. (Chisek) |
| 13 | | | X (|
| - 4 | } | | ponald Ozenbaygh Or |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | 3 | |
| 17 | | | |
| 1,8 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | • |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | • |
| 23 | | | * |
| 24 | 1 | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |

| }} | • |
|----------|---|
| ı | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| ة | DATED: November 20 1995 |
| 3 | $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$ |
| 4 | Michael W. Stamp |
| 5 | LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL W. STAMP Attorney for Petitioners |
| 6 | DATED: November 75, 1995 |
| 7 | |
| В | Dane Haire |
| 9 | Jane Haines LAW OFFICES OF JANE HAINES |
| 10 | Attorney for Petitioners |
| 11 | DATED: November 20, 1995 |
| 7.5 | - Jan Blow |
| 13 | Doug Yas C. Holland |
| 14 | COUNTY COUNSEL Efren M. Iglesia |
| 15 | SENIOR DEFUTY COUNTY COUNSEL Attorneys for Respondents |
| 36 | DATED: November 20 1995 |
| 17 | landing to the |
| 18 | MANAGE TRIVING |
| 1.9 | Anthony L Lombardo ANTHONY LOMBARDO & ASSOCIATES Attorneys for CHISPA |
| 20 | Wedorney's Tot American |
| 21 | JUDGMENT |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 23 | DATED: NOV 2 81995 |
| 24 25 | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT |
| 25 | HARKIDON P. W. |
| 7 | |
| 28 | |
| | 7 |