# ATTACHMENT C ATTACHMENT B

JOB ORDER CONTRACTING CONTRACTOR EVALUATIONS  $3^{RD}$  QUARTER



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 03/24/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager Sam Laird

Job Order Title Jail Emergency Water Leak

Job Order # JF02-09-JC8510.36 & Supplemental JF02-12-J8510-01

Contract # FAC2013-02 William Thayer Construction

**Contractor William Thayer Construction** 

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

			Weight	Total Weighted
Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	al Score:	152
	Maximum Pos	sible Tota	al Score:	164
		formance	_	93%
Eva	luator's Signature	_ Date		3/24/2015



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 03/24/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager Sam Laird

Job Order Title Carpet Tile Installation at New Military Veterans Affairs Office

Job Order # JF02-20-J8510-00

Contract # FAC2013-02 William Thayer Construction

Contractor William Thayer Construction

#### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

			Weight	Total Weighted
Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value			
1111	<\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ.	4	4	16
	Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	<b></b>		
	Maximum Poss		al Score:	152 164
			Rating:	93%
Eval	luator's Signature	Date	_	3/24/2015



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 03/24/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager Sam Laird

Job Order Title Modular Buildings 1-4 Ramp Resurfacing, Bathroom Floor Repair

Job Order # JF02-09-J8510-00

Contract # FAC2013-02 William Thayer Construction

Contractor William Thayer Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

T	Evaluation Catagory	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Type	Evaluation Category	value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	e 4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tot	al Score:	152
	Maximur	n Possible Tot	al Score:	164
		Performanc	e Rating:	93%
Eva	luator's Signature	Date		3/24/2015



### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 03/24/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager Sam Laird

Job Order Title Schilling Place South Building Damaged Underground Fire Line Emergency Repair

Job Order # JF02-19-J8510-00

Contract # FAC2013-02 William Thayer Construction

Contractor William Thayer Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

			Weight	Total Weighted
Type	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	2 10/0 I	Tot	al Score:	152
	Maximum	Possible Tota		
		Performance		
Eva	luator's Signature	Date		3/24/2015



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 03/24/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager Sam Laird

Job Order Title Sheriff's Office Cement Floor Crack Repair, Carpet, Exterior Drainage Emergency Work

Job Order # JF02-23-J8510-00

Contract # FAC2013-02 William Thayer Construction

Contractor William Thayer Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ.	4	4	16
	Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1			
			al Score:	152
		Possible Tot		164
Evo	luator's Signature	Performance	_	93% 3/24/2015
Eva	idator 5 Signature	Date		2/24/2012



### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 03/24/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager Sam Laird

Job Order Title Reroof CID Building at Ft Ord

Job Order # JF02-10-J8595-00

Contract # FAC2013-02 William Thayer Construction

Contractor William Thayer Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tot	al Score:	152
		Possible Tot		
		Performance	O	
Eva	luator's Signature	Date		3/24/2015



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 03/24/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager Oga Carranza

Job Order Title Castroville Bike/Pedestrian Path & Railroad Crossing Project - Tree Removal

Job Order # JF02-17J8622-00

Contract # FAC2013-02 William Thayer Construction

**Contractor William Thayer Construction** 

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N) Value</u>: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

<u>Percent (%) and Time (T) Values</u>: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	3	12
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	3	12
%	<b>Submittals were provided on time</b> Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	3	12
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	3	3	9
G	Superintendent Performance.	2	4	8
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	2	3	6
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			al Score:	
	Maximum F			
Performance Rating: 97%				

Evaluator's Signature

Construction Manager

Date 3/25/15

## MONTEREY COUNTY RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Carl P. Holm, AICP, Acting Director

Michael Novo, AICP, Director of Planning Robert K, Murdoch, P.E., Director of Public Works



168 W. Alisal Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Salinas, CA 93901 www.co.monterey.ca.us/rma

#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date: March 4, 2015
Department: RMA-Public Works

Division: Operations Maintenance Project Manager: Ogarita Carranza

Job Order Title: Rico Street Sidewalk Improvements

Job Order # JR02-07-JC1572.01

Contract # RB-2013-02

Contractor: The Don Chapin Company, Inc.

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes - 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туро	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time!	4	3	12
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	2	8
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	3	3	9
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%-4 80%-3 70%-2 60%-1	3	4	12
YN	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion,	3	3	9
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness,	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 19=4 29=3 39=2 49=1	4	4 .	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	3	9
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Locat Businesses, Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	3	9
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Maximum Possible Perform	Total Score: e Total Score: nance Rating:	156 164 95%

Evaluator's Signature

m

Date March 4, 2015

## **MONTEREY COUNTY** RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Carl P. Holm, AJCP, Acting Director

Michael Novo, AICP, Director of Planning Robert K. Murdoch, P.E., Director of Public Works



168 W. Alisal Street, 2nd Floor Salinas, CA 93901 www.co.monterey.ca.us/rma

#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date: March 3, 2015

Department: RMA-Public Works

Division: Operations Maintenance

Project Manager: Juan Mesa

Job Order Title: Bayview Ave. Sinkhole Repair

Job Order # JR02-12-5200-00

Contract # RB-2013-02

Contractor: The Don Chapin Company, Inc.

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K &<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	. 4	3	12
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	3	3	9.
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scalg: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	<u>,</u> 3	3	9
Y/N	Construction started on time,	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	3	2	6
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4 ·	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value segle: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Jub Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	2	6
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	3	9
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		0.1	Total Score:	151
		1aximum Possible	10000 0000	164
	Jr 17	Parfam	ones Dother	020/

Performance Rating:

Evaluator's Signature



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 03/24/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager Sam Laird

Job Order Title Juvenile Hall Waste Line Repair Emergency Work

Job Order # JR02-14-02 J8510-00

Contract # R&B2014-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	al Score:	164
	Maximum P	ossible Tota	al Score:	164
		erformance		100%
Eval	luator's Signature	Date		3/24/2015



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager Juan Mesa

Job Order Title Rio Road Cores

Job Order # JR02-14-04-J1725-00

Contract # R&B2014-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	3	12
V/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.			
	•	4	3	12
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	3	3	9
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	3	12
G	Quality of construction.	4	٠ 4	16
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	4	16
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	1	1
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	1	1
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	l Score:	155
	Maximum Possil			164
		rmance		95%
Eval	uator's Signature Juan Mesa	Date	3	/24/2015