CONTRACTOR EVALUATIONS

REPORTING PERIOD: JULY 1 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

FACILITIES 2013 - WILLIAM A. THAYER CONSTRUCTION

Contract #	Fund	Job Order#	Job Order Title
JF02-13-22	J8595	00/01/02	1200 Aguajito Interior Stairwell Railing Repair

FACILITIES 2014 - PUEBLO CONSTRUCTION

Contract #	Fund	Job Order#	Job Order Title
JF01-14-10	J8510	00	855 E Laurel Dr-Bldg E Repair Sewer Drain/Floor/Toilet Women's Restroom

FACILITIES 2014 - SEAPAC ENGINEERING

Contract #	Fund	Job Order #	_Job Order Title
JF02-14-01	J8510	00/01	1200 Aguajito De-Energized Service and Electrical Repairs

ROADS & BRIDGES 2014 - SEAPAC ENGINEERING

Contract #	Fund	Job Order#	Job Order Title
JR01-14-04	J5600	00/01	Cachagua Road Bridge #529 and #530 Maintenance Project

ROADS & BRIDGES 2014 - THE GRANITEROCK COMPANY

Contract #	Fund	Job Order#	Job Order Title
JR02-14-15	J8476	00	Repairs to Barloy Canyon Road
JR02-14-16	J5711	00	Cooper Road Diesel Spill AC Repair
JR02-14-07	J8167	00/01	CSA 67 Corral De Tierra Drainage Pond Repair
JR02-14-13	J8510	00	Laurel Yard Bldg E Waterline Repair



JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 07/23/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager Dave Pratt

Job Order Title 1200 AGUAJITO INTERIOR STAIRWELL RAILING REPAIR

Job Order # JF-02-22-J8595-00, 01, 02

Contract # FAC2013-02 William Thayer Construction

Contractor William Thayer Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Type Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal '<\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	Value	2	2
Y/N Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	1	3	3
Y/N Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	1	2	2
% Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	1 1	2	2
Y/N Construction started on time.	1	4	4
Y/N Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completio	on. 4	2	8
G Quality of construction.	3	3	9
G Superintendent Performance.	2	4	8
G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	3	3	9
% Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	1	4	4
% Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
% Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	2	4	8
% Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		al Score:	91
Maxi	mum Possible Tot		164
Evaluator's Signature	Performance Date	Rating:	55% 23-Jul-15

JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2014 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 09/17/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager P. Lopez

Job Order Title Emergency Project-Laurel Yard Bldg E Women's Restroom Sewer Line Repair

Job Order # JF01-14-10 J8510-00 (851065)

Contract # FAC2014-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisious submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%-4 2%-3 3%-2 4%-1	4	4 .	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
Evaluat	Maximum P		e Rating:	164 164 100%



JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2014 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 09/02/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager S. Laird

Job Order Title 1200 AGUAJITO RD DEENERGIZED SERVICE & ELECTRICAL REPAIRS

Job Order # JF02-14-01-J8510-00(60), 01

Contract # FAC2014-02 Sea Pac Engineering

Contractor Sea Pac Engineering

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%-4 80%-3 70%-2 60%-1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	2	3	6
G	Superintendent Performance.	2	4	8
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tot	al Score:	144
	1 ' 1	Possible Tot		
Eva	luator's Signature	Performanc Date	e Rating:	

Total

Date 09/08/15



Monterey County 168 W. Alisal Street, Salinas CA 93901

JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 09/08/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager Juan Mesa

Job Order Title Cachagua RoadBridge #529 and #530 Maintenace Project

Job Order JR01-14-04-J5600-00,01

Contract # R&B2014-01 Sea Pac Engineering

Contractor Sea Pac Engineering

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	3	3	9
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	3	3	9
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	4	16
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	3	3	9
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	4	16
G	Quality of construction.	3	4	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	3	3	9
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	3	9
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	3	9
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	3	9
	Maximum	Tot Possible Tot	al Score: al Score:	
		Performance		

Evaluator's Signature



JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager Juan Mesa

Job Order Title Repairs to Barloy Canyon .

Job Order # JR02-14-15-J8476-00

Contract # R&B2014-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N) Value</u>: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Y/N Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value \$125 K due in 1 wk; 2) \$125 K & \$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time] 3 4 12 Y/N Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required. 3 4 16 Y/N Proposal revisions submitted within three days. 4 4 16 Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1 3 3 9 Y/N Construction started on time. 3 4 12 Y/N Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion. 3 3 9 G Quality of construction. 3 3 9 G Quality of construction. 3 3 9 Superintendent Performance. 4 4 16 Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness. 3 3 9 Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. 4 4 16 Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1 Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. 1 1 1 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Percentage of Local Employ. 3 3 9 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Total Score: 131 Maxhmum Possible Total Score: 131 Performance Rating: 80% Date OR 11 1 1	Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N Proposal revisions submitted within three days. 4 4 16 % Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1 3 3 9 Y/N Construction started on time. 3 4 12 Y/N Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion. 3 3 9 G Quality of construction. 3 3 9 G Superintendent Performance. 4 4 16 G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness. 3 3 9 % Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. 4 4 16 Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1 1 1 1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. 1 1 1 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 3 3 9 Percentage of Local Employ. 3 3 9 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	3	4	12
% Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1 3 3 9 Y/N Construction started on time. 3 4 12 Y/N Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion. 3 3 9 G Quality of construction. 3 3 9 G Superintendent Performance. 4 4 16 G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness. 3 3 9 % Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. 4 4 16 Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1 4 4 16 Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. 1 1 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 1 1 Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. 1 1 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 3 3 9 Percentage of Local Employ. 3 3 9 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	3	4	12
Y/N Construction started on time. Y/N Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion. 3	Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	4	16
Y/N Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion. 3 3 9 G Quality of construction. 3 3 9 G Superintendent Performance. 4 4 16 G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness. 3 3 9 % Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 % Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	3	3	9
G Quality of construction. G Superintendent Performance. G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness. G Demonstrated cons	Υ/N	Construction started on time.	3	4	12
G Superintendent Performance. 4 4 4 16 G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness. 3 3 9 % Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%-4 2%-3 3%-2 4%-1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1 % Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	3	3	9
G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness. 3 3 9 % Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 % Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	G	Quality of construction.	3	3	9
% Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%-4 2%-3 3%-2 4%-1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1 % Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. 1 1 1 1 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 1 1 1 1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. 1 1 1 1 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 3 3 9 Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	3	3	9
Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 % Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 % Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	%	taran da antara da la companya da antara	4	4	16
Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 % Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	%		1	1	1
Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Total Score: 131 Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	%		. 1	1	1
Maximum Possible Total Score: 164 Performance Rating: 80%	%	• • •	3	3	9
Performance Rating: 80%					
Flored to a feet the CO and the CO and the Control of the Control					
Date 1 Da	Eva				がる シント



JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 09/02/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager Juan Mesa

Job Order Title Cooper Road Diesel Spill A.C. Repair

Job Order JR02-14-16-J5711-00

Contract # R&B2014-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	3	2	6
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	3	3	9
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	3	3	9
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	4	16
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	4	16
G	Quality of construction.	4	4	16
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	4	16
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	1	1
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	1	1
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	al Score:	154
	Maximum P			164
Eva	luator's Signature	erformance Date	. 7	z/15



JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 08/11/15

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager Juan Mesa

Job Order Title CSA 67 - Corral de Tierra Oaks Drainage Repair

Job Order JR02-14-07-J8167-00,01

Contract # R&B2014-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	3	12
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	3	3	9
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	4	16
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	4	16
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	4	16
G	Quality of construction.	4	4	16
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	4	16
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	1	1
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	1	1
%	Percentage of Local Employ.	3	3	9
	Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 Maximum Po		al Score; al Score;	160 164
17 1	Per	formance	Rating:	/98%
Eval	luator's Signature	Date	<u> </u>	



JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date September , 2015

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager Sam Laird

Job Order Title Emergency Project - Building E Laurel Yard Waterline Repair

Job Order # JR02-14-13-J8510-00

Contract # R&B2014-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N) Value</u>: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Va <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	alue 4	2	8
Υ/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
Ġ	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
·G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	- 4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Total Score:		164
Maximum Possible Total Score			164	
Evaluator's Signature Performance Rating: Para 9/2 1 to			100%	
Evaluator's Signature Date 9/2/15				Ľ _n