# JOB ORDER CONTRACTING (JOC)

## **CONTRACTOR EVALUATIONS**

REPORTING PERIOD: APRIL 1, 2016 – JUNE 30, 2016

## FACILITIES 2014 - PUEBLO CONSTRUCTION

Contract #	Project	Job Order #s	Job Order Title
JF01-14-04	J8857	00/01	Energy Efficiency Measures Phase 1 EMS Controls
JF01-14-14	J8864	00/01	East West Wing Selective Demolition

#### FACILITIES 2014 - SEAPAC ENGINEERING

Contract #	Project	Job Order #s	Job Order Title	
JF02-14-05	J8862	00/01/02/03/04/0	06 Schilling Place	Tenant Improvement Re-Roof

#### FACILITIES 2015 - PUEBLO CONSTRUCTION

Contract #	Project	Job Order #s	Job Order Title
JF01-15-05	J8567	00/01/02	1441 Constitution Boulevard Bldg 151 Health Dept TI
JF01-15-01	J8568	00	1200 Aguajito Interior ADA Improvements Phase 2
JF01-15-02	J8855	00/01/02	Schilling Place Warehouse Reroof
JF01-15-07	J8864	00	East West Wing Selective Demolition Phase 2
JF01-15-13	J8866	00	Big Sur Library Mold Remediation – Emergency
JF01-15-14	J8866	00/01	Big Sur Library Renovation- Interior and Exterior Repairs
JF01-15-09	J8510	00	1422 Natividad Probation Ceiling Tile Replacement
JF01-15-12	J8510	00	230 Church Street - Mod 2 DA Adm Misc Repairs
JF01-15-11	J8510	00	230 Church Street - Mod 3 Superior Court Misc Repairs
JF01-15-03	J8595	00	855 E Laurel Drive - Security Upgradges
JF01-15-03	J8595	00	1292 Olympia Ave Reroof

#### FACILITIES 2015 - STAPLES CONSTRUCTION

Contract #	Project	Job Order #s	Job Order Title
JF03-15-16	J8479	00	San Lorenzo Park Barn Disaster Cleanup and Repair
JF03-15-15	J8862	00	Schilling - Purlin Repair
JF03-15-04	J851074	00	168 W. Alisal Shuttle Canopy Repairs- Emergency
JF03-15-10	J851078	00	230 Church St. Mod 1 HVAC Replacement

#### ROADS & BRIDGES 2014 - SEAPAC ENGINEERING

Contract #	Project	Job Order#	Job Order Title
No evaluatio	ns this repo	orting period.	

## ROADS & BRIDGES 2014 - THE GRANITEROCK COMPANY

Contract #	Project	Job Order#	Job Order Title
JR02-14-30	J5200	00/01	Calle Quien Sabe
JR02-14-36	J8150	00/01	Lower Carmel River Notch
JR02-14-27	J8150	00	CSA 50 - Mission Fields Drain Outlets
JR02-14-35	J8548	00	Temporary Fencing at San Lucas Branch Library Site
JR02-14-26	J8690	00/01	HWY 1 Potholing

## ROADS & BRIDGES 2015 - GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

Contract #	Project	Job Order #	Job Order Title
JR03-15-13	J8382	00	Las Lomas Sewer Line Repair
JR03-15-02	J1733	00	HWY 156 Radar Sign
JR03-15-06	J5100	00/01	San Jerardo Ditch Repair
JR03-15-07	J5100	00	Hatton Ave. Pothole Repair – Spreckles
JR03-15-10	J5100	00	596 Echo Valley – Dewatering
JR03-15-11	J5100	00	Blanco Road Shoulder Repair
JR03-15-09	J5200	00	620 River Road Dewatering
JR02-15-13	J5200	00	East Alisal Shoulder Repair
JR03-15-08	J5200	00	555 River Road Shoulder Repair
JR03-15-01	J8147	00	CSA 34 Drainage Culvert Repairs
JR03-15-04	JB162	00	CSA 62 Silt Removal at Via Las Encinas

## ROADS & BRIDGES 2015 - THE GRANITEROCK COMPANY

Contract #	Project	Job Order #	Job Order Title
JR02-15-02	J5200	00	Carmel Valley & Rio Rd-Sink Hole Repair
JR02-15-03	J5200	00	Calle de la Cruz - Storm Drain Repair
JR02-15-05	J8145	00	CSA 45 - Oak Hills Radar Sign

Select Data Only From Drop-Down When Down Arrow Displays

#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/27/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager Tyler Benson

Job Order Title Energy Efficiency Measures Phase 1: Energy Management System Controls

Job Order # JF01-14-04-J8857-00, 01

Contract # FAC2014-01 Pueblo Construction

**Contractor Pueblo Construction** 

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) > \$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	. 4	3	. 12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	3	2	6
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	2	4	8
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	2	4	8
		12770/27/000	al Score:	128
	Maximum Pos			164
Eval	luator's Signature	formance Date	Kating:	78%
Lyan	macos s dignature	Date		



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT

#### CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 07/06/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager T. Benson

Job Order Title East West Wing Selective Demolition

Job Order # JF01-1464-J8864-00, 01

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Type	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	e 3	2	6
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	1	2	2
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	<u> </u>	Tota	l Score:	138
	Maximum P	ossible Tota	l Score:	164
		erformance	Rating:	84%
Eval	uator's Signature	Date	07-06-1	6

Select Data Only From Drop-Down When Down Arrow Displays

#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/27/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager Judy Jeska

Job Order Title Schilling Place Tenant Improvement - ReRoof

Job Order # JF02-14-05-J8862-00, 01, 02, 03, 04, 06

Contract # R&B2014-01 Sea Pac Engineering

Contractor Sea Pac Engineering

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	1	3	3
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	1	2	2
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	1	2	2
Y/N	Construction started on time.	1	4	4
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	1	3	3
G	Superintendent Performance.	1	4	4
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	1	3	3
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
		Tota	al Score:	59
	Maximum Pos	sible Tota	al Score:	164
**		formance	0	36%
Eya	luator's Signature	Date		6/27/2016



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/06/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager D. Pratt

Job Order Title 1441 Constitution Boulevard Bldg 151 Health Department Tenant Improvements

Job Order # JF01-15-05-J8567-00,01,02

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	1	2	2
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	1	3	3
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	1	2	2
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	1	2	2
Y/N	Construction started on time.	2	4	8
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	2	2	4
G	Quality of construction.	2	3	6
G	Superintendent Performance.	1	4	4
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	2	3	6
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	वे	16
			l Score:	101
	Maximum Po			164
Eval	nator's Signature	erformance Data	Rating:	62%

Evaluator's Signature



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager D. Pratt

Job Order Title 1200 AGUAJITO INTERIOR ADA IMPROVEMENTS PHASE 2

Job Order # JF-01-15-01-J8568-00

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	2	2	4
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	2	3	6
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	2	2	4
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	1	2	2
Y/N	Construction started on time.	1	4	4
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	3	3	9
G	Superintendent Performance.	1	4	4
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	2	3	6
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	d Score:	105
	Maximum Po	ssible Tota	d Score:	164
		rformance	Rating:	/ 64%
Eval	uator's Signature	Date	6/17	116



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/03/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager T. Benson

Job Order Title Schilling Place Warehouse ReRoof

Job Order # JF01-15-02-J8855-00, 01, 02

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

**Contractor Pueblo Construction** 

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

	eight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time] 4	2	8
Y/N Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	3	12
Y/N Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	2	8
% Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1 4	2	8
Y/N Construction started on time. 4	4	16
Y/N Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion. 4	2	8
G Quality of construction. 4	3	12
G Superintendent Performance. 4	4	16
G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness. 4	3	12
% Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	16
% Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	16
% Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4
% Percentage of Local Employ. 4	4	16
Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	-20	
Total S		152
Maximum Possible Total S Performance R		164 93%
Evaluator's Signature Date	aung.	6/3/2016



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 07/06/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager T. Benson

Job Order Title East West Wing Selective Demolition - Phase 2

Job Order # JF01-15-07-J8864-00

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

**Contractor Pueblo Construction** 

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N) Value</u>: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	3	2	6
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	1	2	2
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			ıl Score:	138
	Maximum Po			164
Fuel	uator's Signature Pe	rformance	Rating: 07-06-1	84%
Lval	uator s signature	Date	07-00-1	0



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 05/16/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager T. Benson

Job Order Title Emergency Project - Big Sur Library Mold Remediation

Job Order # JF01-15-13-J8866-00

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
Quality of construction.	4	3	12
Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	2	4	8
Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	Tots	ıl Score:	156
Maximum Po			164
			95%
	Date	_	5/16/2016
		Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value  <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time] 4  Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required. 4  Proposal revisions submitted within three days. 4  Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1 4  Construction started on time. 4  Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion. 4  Quality of construction. 4  Superintendent Performance. 4  Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness. 4  Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. 4  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1  Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. 4  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1  Percentage of Local Employ. 4  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1  Percentage of Local Employ. 4  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1  Total Maximum Possible Total Performance	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\s\125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\s\125K &<\s\500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/27/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager T. Benson

Job Order Title Big Sur Library Renovation

Job Order # JF01-15-14-J8866-00, 01

Contract # FAC2015-0. | Pueblo Construction

**Contractor Pueblo Construction** 

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score		
	Contractorio nuonocal mas submitted on time [110, time] defend 1) December 1					
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8		
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12		
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8		
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8		
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16		
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8		
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12		
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16		
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12		
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16		
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	2	4	8		
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4		
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	2	4	8		
	3	Tota	l Score:	136		
	Maximum Poss	ible Tota	l Score:	164		
		rmance	Rating:	83%		
Evaluator's Signature Date						



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 07/06/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager P. Lopez

Job Order Title 1422 Natividad Road - Probation Lobby Ceiling Replacement

Job Order # JF01-15-09-J8510-00

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Т	`уր։	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
,	Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
,	Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
	Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
	%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	1	2	2
	Y/N	Construction started on time.	1	4	4
•	Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
	G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
	G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
	G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
	1%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	3	4	12
	%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
	%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			Tot	al Score:	130
			Possible Tot		
		1/01/11/1	Performance	_ , _	f*
1	RAB	luator's Signature MMMM HOLL	Date	7/4	116



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 07/06/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager P. Lopez

Job Order Title 230 Church Street - Modular 2 District Attorney Misc Repairs

Job Order # JF01-15-12-J8510-00

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

#### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

			Weight	Total Weighted
Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
9/9	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	3	4	12
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
9/0	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	al Score:	148
	Maximum	Possible Tota	ıl Score:	164
		Performance	4/0	90%
Eval	uator's Signature Pall May A WWW	Date	7/6/	116

Satricia Japan



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 07/06/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager P. Lopez

Job Order Title 230 Church Street - Modular 3 Superior Court Misc Repairs

Job Order # JF01-15-11-J8510-00

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

## Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	3	4	12
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
9/0	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	n di		al Score:	148
	Maximum	Possible Tota	al Score:	164
17	TOTAL STATE OF THE	erformance	Karinge	90%

Evaluator's Signature



#### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 06/21/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager P. Lopez

Job Order Title 855 East Laurel Drive - Security Cameras

Job Order # JF01-15-06-J8595-00 & 01

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score <u>value</u> (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	3	2	6
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
0/0	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	3	2	6
Y/N	Construction started on time.	3	4	12
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
9/0	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	3	4	12
0/0	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	4	12
0/0	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	4	12
		Tota	al Score:	132
	Maximum F			164
Evo	Postor's Signature 100 NAMA 1150 9 3	erformance	1/2	/80%

Evaluator's Signature



## **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 06/21/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager P. Lopez

Job Order Title 1292 Olympia Avenue - Reroof

Job Order # JF01-15-03-J8595-00

Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction

Contractor Pueblo Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes =  $4 N_0 = 1$ 

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Val	lue	W	<del></del>
Υ/N	<\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	3	2	6
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	3	2	6
Y/N	Construction started on time.	3	4	12
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4.	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.	3	4	12
	Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1			
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1	4	4	16
		Tot	al Score:	140
	Maxima	ım Possible Tot		
Evo	Justor's Signature	Performanc	q,	85%

Evaluator's Signature



#### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 06/20/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager T. Benson

Job Order Title Emergency Project - San Lorenzo Park Barn Disaster Cleanup and Repairs

Job Order # J F62-15-16 J8479-00

Contract # FAC2015-03 Staples Construction

**Contractor Staples Construction** 

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N) Value</u>: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	e 4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	. 4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			ıl Score:	152
	Maximum P			164
171		erformance		93%
LVai	uator's Signature	Date	06-2	20-16



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/20/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager T. Benson

Job Order Title Schilling Place Tenant Improvement - ReRoof - Purlin Repair

Job Order # JF03-15-15-J8862-00

Contract # FAC2015-03 Staples Construction

Contractor Staples Construction

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score	
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8	
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12	
· Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8	
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8	
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16	
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8	
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12	
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16	
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12	
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16	
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4	
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4	
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4	
	6		ıl Score:	128	
	Maximum Poss			164	
		ormance	_	78%	
Evaluator's Signature Date 06-20-16					



#### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 06/20/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager T. Benson

Job Order Title Emergency Project - 168 W. Alisal Shuttle Canopy Repairs

Job Order # JF03-15-04-J8510-00

Contract # FAC2015-03 Staples Construction

**Contractor Staples Construction** 

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Town Evaluation Catagony	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Type Evaluation Category	varue	(1-4)	30016
Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
$_{\mbox{\scriptsize Y/N}}$ Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	1	3	3
Y/N Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
% Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
% Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
% Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
% Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
% Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	Tota	al Score:	143
Maximum Pos	sible Tota	al Score:	164
$\omega$	formance	_	87%
Evaluator's Signature	_ Date		6/20/2016



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 04/15/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Facilities

Project Manager T. Benson

Job Order Title 230 Church St. Mod 1 HVAC Replacement

Job Order # JF03-15-10-, 78510-00

Contract # FAC2015-03 Staples Construction

**Contractor Staples Construction** 

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

			Weight	Total Weighted
Type	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
		Tota	al Score:	128
	Maximum F	ossible Tota	al Score:	164
	₽ P	erformance	Rating:	78%



Eval	luator's	Signa	ture
LYCL	uatui 3	Olena	Luic

Date

4/15/2016



Select Data Only From Drop-Down When Down Arrow Displays

#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT

#### - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title Calle Quien Sabe - Drainage Improvements

Job Order # JR02-14-30-J5200-00&01

Contract # R&B2014-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	3	2	6
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	JUAN MESA 06/13/7616 Maximum Po	Tota	I Score:	150
	Trianmun 1			164
	Pe	rformance	Kaung:	91%



Select Data Only From Drop-Down When Down Arrow Displays

#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT

#### CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title Lower Carmel River Notch

Job Order # JR02-14-36-J8150-00&01

Contract # R&B201 \$02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score	
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8	
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisious required.	4	3	12	
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8	
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8	
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16	
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2	
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12	
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16	
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12	
0,0	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16	
70	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16	27
0.4	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16	
	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16	
	UAN MOSA 06/13/2016 11	Tota	l Score:	158	
Josef 1	UAN Mesa O6/13/2016 Maximum Possil	ole Tota	I Score:	164	

Performance Rating:



Monterey County 168 W. Alisal Street, Salinas CA 93901

#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 01/08/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager Juan Mesa

Job Order Title CSA 50 - Mission Fields

Job Order # JR02-14-27-J8150-00

Contract # R&B2014-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Evaluator's Signature

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
0/0	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
0/	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	4	12
0/0	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	4	12
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			l Score:	156
	Maximum Possib	ie Lota	I Score:	164

Select Data Only From Drop-Down When Down Arrow Displays

## JOB ORDER CONTRACT - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/27/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Architectural Services

Project Manager Florence Kabwasa- Green

Job Order Title Temporary Fencing at San Lucas Library Site

Job Order # JR02-14-35-J8548-00

Contract # R&B2014-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%-4 80%-3 70%-2 60%-1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			ıl Score:	164
		Possible Tota		164
	C+ 110 ()	Performance	Rating:	100%



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT \_\_\_\_ CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/14/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title JR02-14-26-J8690-00&01

Job Order # HWY 1 - Potholing

Contract # R&B20[4-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Tum	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Гурс	Evaluation Category			-
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	- 12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.	4	4	16
	Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1			
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.	. 1	4	4
	Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)			
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.	1	4	4
	Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1			
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	Nathing value state. 1070-4 0070-5 5070-2 4070-1	Total	al Score:	140
	Marinum	Possible Tota		164
		erformance		85%
	DD/14/1016	of for mance	manng:	00/0

## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/08/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title Emergency Project - Las Lomas Drive Sewer Line Repair

Job Order # JR03-15-13-J8382-00

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Τ	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Туре	Evaluation Category	7 4140	(1-7)	50010
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	3	2	6
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	. 2	4	8
		Tota	al Score:	142
	Maximum 3	Possible Tota	al Score:	164
	1	Performance	Rating:	87%

JUAN MesA 06/08/7016



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title JR03-15-02-J1733-00

Job Order # HWY 156 -Speed Radar Signs

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			l Score:	140
	JUAN MESA 06/13/06 6 Maximum F	ossible Tota erformance	l Score: Rating:	164 85%



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title San Jerardo Ditch Repair & Supp. #1

Job Order # JR03-15-06-J5100 &01

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1 (If no subcontractors-4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			al Score:	152
	Maximum Pose			164
	1.100 Mars 26/13/7016 Peri	formance	Rating:	93%

JUAN MEJA

06/13/7016



#### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title Hatton Ave. Sink Hole Repairs - Spreckles

Job Order # JR03-15-07-J5100-00

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1 (If no subcontractors-4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			d Score:	152
	JUAN MESA 06/13/72/6 Perf	ible Tota ormance	Il Score: Rating:	164 93%



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title 596 Echo Valley Road - Dewatering

Job Order # JR03-15-10-J5100-00

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		(55)	al Score:	
	JUAN Mesta 06/13/70/6 Per		tal Score: e Rating:	



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title Blanco Road - Shoulder Repair

Job Order # JR03-15-11-J5100-00

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Type	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			d Score:	152
	JUAN Mesa 06/13/7016 Perfo	ble Tota rmance		164
	TOMAN INEXE DEVISION Leur	пинсе	ranng:	93%



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/08/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title Emergency Project - Dewatering of 620 River Road

Job Order # JR03-15-09-J5200-00

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			l Score:	152
	JUAN Mesa 15/08/70/16 Peri	sible Tota ormance		164 93%



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title East Alisal Shoulder Repair

Job Order # JR02-15-13-J5200-00

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
9/0	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			I Score:	152
	Maximum Pos  () 13/70/6 Per	sible Tota formance		164 93%

UUBN IXIEJA

06/13/7016

Performance Rating:

93%



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title 555 River Rd. Shoulder Repair

Job Order # JR03-15-08-J5200-00

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
9/a	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%-4 60%-3 50%-2 40%-1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			l Score:	152
	JUAN MESA 06/13/70/6 Porto	ole Tota	l Score:	164

Total



#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/08/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

CSA 34 - Drainage Culvert Repairs

Job Order # JR03-15-01-J8147-00

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

		W.L.	Weight	Total Weighted
Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	3	4	12
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	l Score:	148
	Maximum Possi	ble Tota	d Score:	164
	Perfo	rmance	Rating:	90%





#### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/08/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title CSA 62 - Silt Removal at Via Las Encinas

Job Order # JR03-15-13-J8382-00 04-78/62-00

Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company

Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
PHINADALLE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	3	2	6
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	l Score:	150
	Maximum Poss	sible Tota	l Score:	164

JUAN MesA

06/08/9016 Performance Rating:

Attachment C - Page 37

91%



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title Carmel Valley & Rio Vista Rd - Sink Hole Repair

Job Order # JR02-15-02-J5200-00

Contract # R&B2015-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
-				
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	1	Tota	l Score:	146
	JUAN MESA DE/13/2016 Maximum Pos			164
	Per	formance	Rating:	89%

# JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/14/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title Calle de la Cruz - Storm Drain Repair

Job Order # JR02-15-03-5200-00

Contract # R&B2015-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%.  Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
	Percentage of Local Employ.  Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			l Score:	146
1	Maximum Pos			164
[]	JAN MEIA OB/14/76/6 Per	ormance	Rating:	89%

## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16

Department RMA-Public Works

Division Roads & Bridges

Project Manager J. Mesa

Job Order Title CSA 45 - Oak Hills - Radar Sign

Job Order # JR02-15-05-J8145-00

Contract # R&B2015-02 The GraniteRock Company

Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Yes-No (Y/N) Value: Yes = 4 No = 1

Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1

e	the state of the s	
4	2	8
		12
4	-	8
4		8
4	4	16
1	2	2
4	3	12
4	4	16
4	3	12
4	4	16
4	4	16
1	4	4
2	4	8
Tota	al Score:	138
		164 84%
	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 7 7 Cossible Total	4 2 4 3 4 2 4 4 1 2 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 1 4