### JOB ORDER CONTRACTING (JOC)

### CONTRACTOR EVALUATIONS REPORTING PERIOD: OCTOBER 1, 2016 – DECEMBER 31, 2016

### FACILITIES 2014 - PUEBLO CONSTRUCTION

<u>Contract # Project Job Order #s Job Order Title</u> No evaluations this reporting period.

#### FACILITIES 2014 - SEAPAC ENGINEERING

Contract #ProjectJob Order #Job Order TitleNo evaluations this reporting period.

#### FACILITIES 2015 - 01 PUEBLO CONSTRUCTION

Contract #	Project	Job Order #s	Job Order Title
JF01-15-19	8580	00/01	1200 Aguajito ADA Improvements Phase 3 - Entries
JF01-15-20	8510	00	1200 Aguajito road - Tenant Improvements
JF01-15-17	8510	00	1200 Aguajito road - Drainage Improvements

#### FACILITIES 2015 - 02 SEAPAC ENGINEERING

Contract #ProjectJob Order #Job Order TitleNo evaluations this reporting period.

### FACILITIES 2015 - 03 STAPLES CONSTRUCTION

Contract #	Project	Job Order #s	Job Order Title
JF03-15-13	8577	00	NMC Building 700 Construction Office
JF03-15-19	8595	00/01/02/03	1590 Moffett Street - IT Reroof
JF03-15-12	8510	00/01/02	1410 & 1412 Natividad - Roof Repairs
JF03-15-23	8427	00	20 W. Market Warming Shelter Improvements
JF03-15-16	8479	00	San Lorenzo Park Barn Disaster Cleanup and Repairs

### FACILITIES 2016 - 01 SEAPAC ENGINEERING

<u>Contract # Project Job Order # Job Order Title</u> No evaluations this reporting period.

### FACILITIES 2016 - 02 STAPLES CONSTRUCTION

Contract #ProjectJob Order #sJob Order TitleNo evaluations this reporting period.

### FACILITIES 2016 - 03 AUSONIO CONSTRUCTION

<u>Contract # Project Job Order #s Job Order Title</u> No evaluations this reporting period.

### ROADS & BRIDGES 2014 - 01 SEAPAC ENGINEERING

<u>Contract # Project Job Order # Job Order Title</u> No evaluations this reporting period.

#### ROADS & BRIDGES 2014 - 02 THE GRANITEROCK COMPANY

Contract #	Project	Job Order #	Job Order Title
JR02-14-29	8425	00/01	San Ardo Landfill Cap Repair
JR02-14-38	5100	00	Hall Road and Pino Road Slope Repair

### ROADS & BRIDGES 2015 - 01 SEAPAC ENGINEERING

<u>Contract # Project Job Order # Job Order Title</u> No evaluations this reporting period.

#### ROADS & BRIDGES 2015 - 02 THE GRANITEROCK COMPANY

Contract #	Project	Job Order #	Job Order Title
JR02-15-09	5200	00/01	Laureles Grade Shoulder/Slope Repair II
JR02-15-17	5511	00/01	Porter Bridge and Werner Road Asphalt Repair

### ROADS & BRIDGES 2015 - 03 GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

Contract #	Project	Job Order #	Job Order Title
JR03-15-14	1723	00	222 Hall Road Storm Drain Repair
JR03-15-22	1723	00	Las Lomas Drainage-Hydro seeding
JR03-15-16	5100	00	Echo Valley Rd. Storm Drain Investigation and Repair

### ROADS & BRIDGES 2016 - 01 THE GRANITEROCK COMPANY

Contract # Project Job Order # Job Order Title No evaluations this reporting period.

#### ROADS & BRIDGES 2016 - 02 GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

Contract #ProjectJob Order #Job Order TitleNo evaluations this reporting period.

### ROADS & BRIDGES 2016 - 03 NEWTON CONSTRUCTION

<u>Contract # Project Job Order # Job Order Title</u> No evaluations this reporting period.



Total

### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 10/14/16 Department RMA-Public Works and Facilities Division Architectural Services Project Manager D. Pratt Job Order Title 1200 AGUAJITO ADA IMPROVEMENTS PHASE 3 - ENTRIES Job Order # JF-01-15-19-J8580-00 Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction Contractor Pueblo Construction

### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	1	2	2
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	1	3	3
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	1	2	2
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	1	2	2
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	2	3	6
G	Superintendent Performance.	1	4	4
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	2	3	6
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	I Score:	107
		Possible Tota		164
		Performance	/	65%
Eval	uator's Signature	Date	10/1	4/15



### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 12/04/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Facilities Project Manager T. Benson Job Order Title 1200 Aguajito - Phase 1 Sheriff's Office TI Job Order # JF01-15-20-J8510-00 Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction Contractor Pueblo Construction

#### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

			Weight	Total Weighted
Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	<b>Contractor's proposal was submitted on time.</b> [" <i>On time</i> " defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	na standarda - Analysis Analysis and Analy	Tota	al Score:	152
	Maximum Poss	ible Tota	al Score:	164
	Perfe	ormance	Rating:	93%
Eval	uator's Signature	Date	12/04/1	6



### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 12/04/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Facilities Project Manager T. Benson Job Order Title 1200 Aguajito - Site Drainage Improvements Job Order # JF01-15-17-J8510-00 Contract # FAC2015-01 Pueblo Construction Contractor Pueblo Construction

### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N) Value</u>: Yes = 4 No = 1 <u>Grade (G) Value</u>: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1 <u>Percent (%) and Time (T) Values</u>: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
province and the				
Y/N	<b>Contractor's proposal was submitted on time.</b> [" <i>On time"</i> defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	2	3	6
G	Superintendent Performance.	3	4	12
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	l Score:	136
	Maximum Poss	sible Tota	l Score:	164
		ormance	Rating:	83%
Eval	uator's Signature	Date	12/04/	16

S.



### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 10/11/16 Department RMA-Public Works and Facilities Division Architectural Services Project Manager D. Pratt Job Order Title NMC Bldg 700 Construction Office Job Order # JF03-15-13-J8577-00 Contract # FAC2015-03 Staples Construction Contractor Staples Construction

### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	e 4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time <u>Rating value scale</u> : 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	2	3	6
G	Superintendent Performance.	2	4	8
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	2	3	6
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
<sup>0</sup> ⁄0	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			al Score:	144
		Possible Tot		164
Eval	luator's Signature	Performance Date	e Rating: 	88%



### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 12/04/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Facilities Project Manager T. Benson Job Order Title 1590 Moffett Street - IT ReRoof Job Order # JK03-15-19-J8595-00, 01, 02, 03 Contract # FAC2015-03 Staples Construction Contractor Staples Construction

### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

			Weight	Total Weighted
Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	<b>Contractor's proposal was submitted on time.</b> [" <i>On time"</i> defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time <u>Rating value scale</u> : 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	. 1	4	4
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
		Tota	al Score:	128
	Maximum Po	ssible Tota	al Score:	164
Eval	luator's Signature	rformance Date	Rating: 12/04/10	78% 6
	8		A* 65.10 (16.2) (19.2)	



### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 12/04/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Facilities Project Manager T. Benson Job Order Title 1410 & 1412 Natividad - Adult Rehab and New Jail Roof Repairs Job Order # JF03-15-12-J8510-00, 01, 02 Contract # FAC2015-03 Staples Construction Contractor Staples Construction

### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N) Value</u>: Yes = 4 No = 1 <u>Percent (%) and Time (T) Values</u>: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value	e		
Y /IN	<\$125K due in 1 wk; 2)>\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	al Score:	152
	Maximum P			164
Eval	uator's Signature	erformance Date	Rating: 12/04/1	<b>93%</b> 6



### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

#### Date 10/26/16

Department RMA-Public Works and Facilities Division Architectural Services

Project Manager D. Pratt

Job Order Title 20 W Market Warming Shelter Improvements

Job Order # JF03-15-23-J8427-00

Contract # FAC2015-03 Staples Construction

**Contractor Staples Construction** 

#### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

			Weight	Total Weighted
Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	<b>Contractor's proposal was submitted on time</b> . [" <i>On time</i> " defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
Ģ	Quality of construction.	3	3	9
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	3	3	9
0/0 /0	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
⁰⁄₀	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
0/0	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	Il Score:	158
	Maximum Po	ssible Tota	I Score:	164
Eval	uator's Signature	formance Date	,  ,  /	96%



Tatal

### **JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION**

Date 06/20/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Architectural Services Project Manager T. Benson Job Order Title Emergency Project - San Lorenzo Park Barn Disaster Cleanup and Repairs Job Order # J103-15-16-J8479-00 Contract # FAC2015-03 Staples Construction Contractor Staples Construction

### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N) Value</u>: Yes = 4 No = 1 <u>Percent (%) and Time (T) Values</u>: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	<b>Contractor's proposal was submitted on time.</b> [" <i>On time</i> " defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4 *	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2_40%=1	4	4	16
			l Score:	152
	Maximum Po			164
Encl		rformance	0	93%
L VAI	uator's Signature	Date	06-2	0-16



# JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2012 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 12/15/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Roads & Bridges Project Manager J. Mesa Job Order Title San Ardo Landfill Job Order # JR02-14-29-J8425-00,01 Contract # R&B2015-02 The GraniteRock Company Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Job Completed

#### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	<b>Contractor's proposal was submitted on time</b> . ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
0/ /0	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
%	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
⁰∕₀	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	1	4	4
0 70	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	l Score:	140
	Maximum Possi	ble Tota	l Score:	164
		rmance		85%
Eval	uator's Signature <u>JUWN</u> PESH	Date_	12/1	5/16



### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 12/15/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Roads & Bridges Project Manager J. Mesa Job Order Title Laureles Grade Shoulder Repair Job Order # JR02-15-09-J5200-00,01 Contract # R&B2015-02 The GraniteRock Company Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Job Completed 11/30/2016

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Турс	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
9.6	Submittals were provided on time <u>Rating value scale</u> : 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
a.j	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
9. 10	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	1	4	4
9. <sub>0</sub>	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
0/a	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	3	4	12
		Tota	l Score:	136
	Maximum Pos			164
Fuel		formance	-	83%
Eval	iator's Signature JOAN MESH	Date	17/17	17016



## JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 12/15/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Roads & Bridges Project Manager J. Mesa Job Order Title Porter Bridge & Werner Road Asphalt Repairs Job Order # JR02-15-17-J5511-00,01 Contract # R&B2015-02 The GraniteRock Company Contractor The GraniteRock Company

Job 10mpleted 17/15/2016

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
	Submittals were provided on time <u>Rating value scale</u> : 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	석	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
Ĝ	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
4." 0	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
07 20	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	1	4	4
	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Total	Score:	140
	Maximum Poss	ible Total	Score:	164
<b>r</b> 1	Perf	ormance l		85%
Evalu	ator's Signature DOAN MELA	_ Date."_	17/	15/20/6



Select Data Only From Drop-Down When Down Arrow Displays

### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 12/15/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Roads & Bridges Project Manager J. Mesa Job Order Title 222 Hall Road - Las Lomas Storm Drain Replacement Job Order # JR03-15-14-J1723-00 Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Job 60 mileted 11/30/2016

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

		17-1	Weight	Total Weighted
Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	(1-4)	Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	석	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
0./ 24	Submittals were provided on time <u>Rating value scale</u> : 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	<sup>~</sup> 8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
87 20	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initiai JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	2	4	8
₿.; 740	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	1	4	4
u. ∕a	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	. 1	4	4
0/ /0	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	l Score:	132
	Maximum Poss	ible Tota	l Score:	164
	Jurn Mesa 12/15/90/E	ormance	Rating:	80%



### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 06/13/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Roads & Bridges Project Manager J. Mesa Job Order Title Hall Road -(Place Temporary K-Rail) Job Order # JR02-14-38-J5100-00 Contract # R&B2015-02 The GraniteRock Company Contractor The GraniteRock Company

#### Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N) Value</u>: Yes = 4 No = 1 <u>Grade (G) Value</u>: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1 <u>Percent (%) and Time (T) Values</u>: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	<b>Contractor's proposal was submitted on time.</b> [" <i>On time"</i> defined: 1) Proposal Valu <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	e 4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
%	Submittals were provided on time Rating value scale: 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	1	2	2
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
0% 70	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
%	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
0/0	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	1	4	4
%	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
			al Score:	146
	Maximum	Possible Tot	al Score:	164

JUAN MESH 06/13/7016 Performance Rating:

89%



### JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 12/15/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Roads & Bridges Project Manager J. Mesa Job Order Title Las Lomas Drainage - Hydroseeding Job Order # JR03-15-22-J1723-00 Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Job Completed

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

Туре	Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score
Y/N	Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K&<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8
Y/N	Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12
Y/N	Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8
	Submittals were provided on time <u>Rating value scale</u> : 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8
Y/N	Construction started on time.	4	4	16
Y/N	Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8
G	Quality of construction.	4	3	12
G	Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16
G	Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12
0/0 - 0	Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. Rating value scale: 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16
	Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	4	4	16
	Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
	Percentage of Local Employ. Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1	4	4	16
		Tota	l Score:	164
	Maximum Poss			164
Evalı	nator's Signature JUAN MELA	Date	Rating:  Z/	100%



# JOB ORDER CONTRACT 2015 - CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Date 12/15/16 Department RMA-Public Works Division Roads & Bridges Project Manager J. Mesa Job Order Title Echo Valley Rd. SD Investigation and Repair Job Order # JR03-15-16-J5100-00 Contract # R&B2015-03 The Granite Construction Company Contractor The Granite Construction Company

Joh lomelated 11/20/70/6

Instructions: For each category below, enter a score value (1 - 4) following defined rating values below

<u>Yes-No (Y/N)</u> Value : Yes = 4 No = 1Grade (G) Value: Excellent=4 Good=3 Satisfactory=2 Poor=1 Percent (%) and Time (T) Values: Use the unique rating value scale provided in the category below

Type Evaluation Category	Value	Weight (1-4)	Total Weighted Score	
VN Contractor's proposal was submitted on time. ["On time" defined: 1) Proposal Value <\$125K due in 1 wk; 2) >\$125K &<\$500 due in 2 wks; 3) due in agreed time]	4	2	8	
YN Initial proposal was accurate per Scope; with only minor revisions required.	4	3	12	
YN Proposal revisions submitted within three days.	4	2	8	
% Submittals were provided on time <u>Rating value scale</u> : 90%=4 80%=3 70%=2 60%=1	4	2	8	
YN Construction started on time.	4	4	16	
Y-N Project close-out documents completed within 30 days of Substantial Completion.	4	2	8	
G Quality of construction.	4	3	12	
G Superintendent Performance.	4	4	16	
G Demonstrated conscientious safety awareness.	4	3	12	
Supplemental Job Orders do not exceed Initial JO by more than 5%. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 1%=4 2%=3 3%=2 4%=1	4	4	16	
Percentage of Job Order work using Local Subcontractors. <u>Rating value scale</u> : 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1 (If no subcontractors=4)	1	4	4	
<ul> <li>Percentage of Job Order work using Small Local Businesses.</li> <li>Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1</li> </ul>	1	4	4	
<ul> <li>% Percentage of Local Employ.</li> <li>Rating value scale: 70%=4 60%=3 50%=2 40%=1</li> </ul>	4	4	16	
		Score:	140	
Maximum Possible Total Score:				
Juan Messa 17/15/70/6	ormance	Rating:	85%	

This page intentionally left blank