# Exhibit G



From: Diego Quevedo [vince459@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2017 3:49 PM

**To:** Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262

Subject: Cachagua Church

Mr Sidor,

I am writing this email, in support for the Church in Cachagua. As a former SEIU 521 Monterey County Chapter President, and Former County employee, I recognize the need in the community for organizations that will provide help to the needy, and a sense of community to the people in the upper Carmel valley area. I have seen with my own eyes the work these folks put in day after day to provide such things to those people in Cachagua and I support the plans for the permit of a church there. I don't believe there are any drawbacks for this place of worship to be located there and if there is any, I believe that the benefits this organization brings to the area far outweighs any cons.

Thank you, J. Diego Quevedo 831 250 3050

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** julie nix [nix.julie@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2017 3:55 PM

**To:** Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262

Subject: Cachagua Church

Good afternoon,

I hope this email finds you well. I am a Cachagua resident and writing in support of the Cachagua Church. I am looking forward to having a place nearby that can offer me a sense of community and a place my family can gather/worship with other families. It is very difficult living so rural, not having a place to meet. My son is disabled and there are many others that are as well and can not get into "town" to go to church, this would sure make that possible for those residents.

As a resident and mother I urge you to approve these plans for the church. This would add to our quality of life and make it more rich.

Thank you for your time,

-Julie

Julie Nix Quevedo SmileLabs Monterey Bay (831)717-4676

From: Mandy Slupinski [mslupinski@carmelunified.org]

Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2017 3:10 PM

**To:** Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262

Subject: Cachagua Church

To: Mr. Joe Sidor of the Monterey County Planning Department

Hello. My name is Mandy Slupinski. I am a born and raised Cachagua resident of over forty years. I was going to start of my letter of with who I am, what I do for a living and so forth, as a way to show my support for Cachagua Church, but then I slowly began to realize something.

This letter was oh so much more important than that. For how do you ask someone to whom you have never seen or met, and unlike yourself has the deciding power, to allow you, to give you or to forsake you, a place to worship God himself.

A place to whom you yourself have felt, have seen, have witnessed the all mighty Himself bless you with His love, for who else but God himself would bless a family, my family, with a bundle of food when we were in need?

Who else but God himself bless my spouse, bless me, and bless my children with unconditional love and support when the words cancer was spoken from my husband's doctor. Who else but the Lord himself would, after eight surgeries, two years of recovery, allow my husband to remain in this world today cancer free?

How do you ask a stranger to give you, your family, your community a place to gather together as one to praise the Lord, worship the Lord, to thank the Lord?

How do you tell your children who love God, praise God, and follow in God's teachings through Cachagua Church that here and now in the year 2016 that this stranger could simply tell them no?

When the question of support for Cachagua Church comes before you Mr. Joe Sidor how will you answer God?

Thank you for reading my simple thoughts on this. Please support our church.

"For I know the plans I have for you," declares the LORD, "plans to prosper you and not to harm you, plans to give you hope and a future." <u>Jeremiah 29:11</u>

- Mandy Slupinski

Site Director

Apple Pie School
P.O. Box 150

Big Sur, CA 93920
(831) 667-2921

The information contained in this email may be personal and confidential and is intended only for the recipients named above (and any of the recipient's authorized designees). If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient of this message or of any attachments to the message, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message, including any attachments, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message. Thank you.

From: Nicolaus Swanson [cacheswan@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, January 20, 2017 6:17 PM
To: Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262; Orville Myers

Subject: Cachagua church. {Swanson}

#### Mr Sidor.

I am writing in regards to the up coming hearing for the Cachagua church.

I have been a resident of Cachagua my entire life, 54 years.my Family has been here for well over 60 years.

I have seen the growth in the valley and the many changes that have happened here.

From a ranching community to vineyards.

Over the years a church has been given thought to being established.

This is the first time that a legitimate church has been so close to being a much needed reality in our community.

I have known and been a member of the Sanctuary church in mid valley now for over a decade. A finer community of folks you will not meet.

I have known pastor Orville Myers for quite some time as well. He has a very strong heart for our community and has truly been one to step up and help when it has been a need.

The property has already been of significant help to the community during and after the fire of this last summer. From dozer crossing, staging area, and after to distribute monies to those whose lives had been affected by the fire.

I'm sure that you are aware that the church and pastor Orville have gone above and beyond to satisfy all the necessary standards of Monterey County planning.

My family and I are in total support of this life enhancing addition to our community. Giving alternatives to other activities for kids and families.

This IS about community.

Best regards.

Nicolaus Swanson.

From: Don Bonsper [dbonsper@outlook.com]
Sent: Monday, January 23, 2017 4:48 PM

To: Martha Diehl; Hert, Luther; mduflock@gmail.com; Rochester, Don; ambrizana1@gmail.com;

Vandevere, Keith; amydroberts@ymail.com; Getzelman, Paul C.; Padilla, Cosme; Mendez,

Jose

Cc: Don Bonsper; Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262; Swanson, Brandon xx5334

Subject: Comments re permit application #140863 and hearing held on 11 January 2017

### Dear Commissioners,

I am writing as a Cachagua resident who opposes administrative permit #140863 which is seeking approval for a church at 19345 Cachagua Rd. I live at 19301 Cachagua Rd and border the subject property on the west and north. My son lives at 19309 Cachagua Rd with his family and also borders the subject property. He also opposes the permit. My property and 19345 both contain portions of Cachagua Creek as it flows to the Carmel River. This email is intended to help prepare you for the next hearing on 22 Feb. I realized at the 11 January hearing that the format does not allow for discussion or rebuttal. I was impressed with the thoughtful comments and deliberation that occurred among your group. I hope this email helps clear up some areas of possible confusion and serves as a vehicle for asking questions during the next hearing. I have included the county officials most involved with this permit on the Cc line to ensure everyone knows what I am writing. I appreciate your taking the time to read my comments.

My family and neighbors oppose any proposal that would increase the public/commercial use of the property. This could include a medical center, tasting room, day care facility, youth center, senior services facility etc. In this case we oppose a church, which at its core is a commercial enterprise. Currently 19345 Cachagua Rd is classified as resource conservation which permits "only very low intensity uses." The location is not suitable for any use that would increase the daily flow of traffic on and off the property. The location is dangerous. It is positioned between a one-way bridge and a blind curve. The road in front of the property goes uphill from the bridge to the curve. The coming and going of vehicles to a church will increase the noise from vehicles. It will also increase the level of risk for other people using the road including those on bicycles and motorcycles. Further, the location is far from the population centers of Cachagua. There are properties close to the populated areas that are already zoned for commercial use.

At the LUAC meeting on 28 October 2015, applicants for the permit talked of a limited use as a church. They stated the faith community was small and would only have two meetings a week (2 hours on Sunday and 2 hours on Wednesday). We now know there were documents that talked of many other activities but they were not presented to the community that attended the LUAC. The meeting itself was not well

publicized and had been delayed one month because of the Tassaiara Fire. The LUAC initially wanted more time to consider the proposal but eventually pressured themselves into making a recommendation. The first vote denied the application as proposed. A second vote recommended approval with restrictions on the level of operations. Opponents of the permit felt that they had at least stopped what felt like a steamroller process at the beginning of the meeting. During the months following the LUAC many residents wrote letters to the county in opposition to the permit. Initially these letters were not included in the hearing packet you received but thanks to the efforts of the planning department were made available just prior to the hearing. During the time after the LUAC it also became known that the level of activities of the church was much greater than just two meetings a week. It also included summer day camp, overnight camping, more group activities, teenager recreation, and all of the special activities and celebrations that go with a church. Clearly the level of use was going to be totally inappropriate for an RC property and was going to have serious impacts on the water and septic resources of the property. The possible threat to the precious spawning grounds of the steelhead trout is hard to predict. In addition there are questions about other intentions of the church: Homeless shelter? Shelter for victims of domestic violence? Alcohol and drug abuse counseling center?

The effort to establish a church in Cachagua comes as an outside influence. The First Baptist Church (DBA Sanctuary Bible Church, SBC) in Mid Valley bought the property for \$739K in July 2014. The money came from an anonymous donor. The small faith group that had been meeting in the Community Park and the General Store immediately began meeting at the new location. Later, signs were placed in Carmel Valley Village advertising the services of the church. The SBC promoted the church and added information to its website. When told by the county to stop advertising and calling themselves a church while the permit process was underway the website changed to call the group the Cachagua Fellowship. The group meets every Sunday. Actual attendance numbers are not known. There is an average of 8-9 vehicles on Sunday. Other activities include a Wednesday night prayer meeting and some craft groups. The support of the SBC was evident at the hearing on 11 Jan. The majority of the supporters were not from Cachagua and were associated with the SBC. The opponents at the hearing were neighbors and residents of Cachagua. John Hammond spoke of the current faith community being small but he made it clear they wanted to grow and were looking forward to when they could evangelize within the community. He said if attendance exceeded the estimates of 80-90 people and their vehicles then they would look for a larger property. The traffic study done by Pinnacle Traffic Engineering (April 2015) focused on Sunday and Wednesday meetings. It did not address the other activities involving large numbers of people this evangelical church has planned. The study concluded the remote location would not generate new traffic on the regional roadway network. At the hearing, however, Michael Jones, the former tenant of the General Store, talked of how he had 50-60 cars attend his Monday night dinners. The majority of those people came from outside Cachagua, many from the peninsula. This is proof that people will come to

Cachagua for something different. Many Cachagua residents did not like the dinners at the General Store but felt because it was zoned for commercial use there wasn't anything they could do. Driving Cachagua Rd on Monday night was always challenging as the people from outside the area tried to maneuver their way through the sharp curves. Placing a church at 19345 Cachagua Rd will have a serious impact on traffic flow and the potential dangers that come with it.

At the hearing, John Hammond read a letter of support from Jody Kueny. She is the wife of the caretaker of the property above the proposed church location, 19371 Cachagua Rd. Her husband did not know she had written the letter in Jan of 2016. The owner of the property opposes the church and will soon write a letter to that effect. His daughter lives on the property and spoke in opposition to the permit on 11 January.

This entire issue is a difficult one. We have a powerful outside group exerting influence upon residents of a rural community, a community that lives in Cachagua to get away from the normal amenities of a developed area. We have the neighbors and residents opposing the permit because of the impacts it will have on the character of their special area. Whose opinions are more important? The outsiders? The residents and neighbors? I am optimistic that the next hearing will deny this permit application.

I invite questions and comments.

Sincerely, Don

Don Bonsper Cachagua, CA 831-402-8400

#### **Dear Commissioners:**

I live at 19301 Cachagua Road on the property adjacent to the proposed church building project.

I am directly opposed to the project for the many reasons already expressed by my husband and sons and the other many members of our community. I am also concerned with the motivations of the church "minister" and the larger church, namely the Sanctuary Bible Church (SBC), which promotes the evangelization of our area. While I would object to any commercialization of the property since it is zoned as residential and not commercial, I feel the "church" is getting an unfair break simply because it is a church. Due to the law, it is almost impossible to stop the construction of a church. Even if this is the law, I feel it needs to be looked at fairly and squarely.

One of the concerns for me is the issue of getting out of paying taxes. By declaring himself a minister (with little or no theological background or training), Orville is able to use a residential property which utilizes all the county resources for his personal gain (he will be living in the house) without obligation to pay taxes. The added traffic on our road, the use of our natural resources and the need for potential services will all be utilized by Orville and his church members while they not paying a penny in property taxes.

It is also disconcerting that Orville has also expressed a desire to help people with addictions, counseling them at the church. Once again, he has no education or training in psychology and has no legal right to be a therapist in the state of California. Once again, a "break" for churches to "counsel" is pushing the law too far. I myself am a trained therapist and know the dangers of on-site counseling. People with addictions need to be treated by trained professionals in professional environments, not in a residential property with close proximity to neighboring properties with no adequate fencing or means to keep the neighbors safe.

The loop-holes which protect churches from the same requirements as individual home owners is appalling. They literally can get away with anything. I know there is nothing the commissioners can do about the law. But I would suggest you at least keep my concern in mind. The Esselen population of this area was annihilated by Christians. We don't need Christians once again using the loop holes of the law to establish themselves as missionaries who are directed by God to once again convert the heathens. And we don't need a large business organization called the Sanctuary Bible Church to enable and support unqualified people to minister to our needs.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Pam Bonsper

01/01

PAGE



# Anthony M. Frank

5 Yankee Beach Way Carmel Highlands, CA 93923

January 24, 2017

Joe Sidor Monterey County Mgt. Planning 168 W. Alisal Street, 2nd Floor Salinas, CA 93901

Dear Mr. Sidor:

This letter deals with the continued hearing on February 22, 2017 on the application for a use permit for the First Baptist Church at 19345 Cachagua Rd., in Carmel Valley.

I am the sole owner of the nearest property to the church. I built a Japanese house and a caretaker's cottage at 19371 Cachagua Road.

lask that the use permit be denied. Reasons:

- A different zoning is a drastic change that will affect my value.
- 33 to 50 parking spaces is an unbelievable adverse change.
- Frequent meetings during the week is a further activity that results in noisy disruption, in addition to Sunday services.
- Water quality problems. I have them.
- Ongoing size of the congregation cannot now be estimated.

I ask that this letter be made part of the record.

- Lank

Sincerely,

P.S. My daughter and her children have occupied the house for several years.

Home: 831-626-9538 Office: 415-974-6245 Cell: 831-236-576 200-5122 Email: shirleypeg@aol.co

From: fariekafarie [fariekafarie@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 4, 2017 10:54 AM

**To:** Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262

**Subject:** Regarding the church in cachagua!

Im writing you in hopes to help keep the church open! I do not attend the church but i do believe in freedom of religion! I know pastor Orville and he is an amazing man! He has helped us with many problems and has always been there for us! I don't think it's right that just because some people don't believe in that specific religion or not, that the church not be aloud at it's current location! They do not bother anyone! They don't push there religion on anyone and they don't harm or bother anyone! I thought that we lived in an era where religion of any kind is accepted and not judged.... please consider permitting the church to be allowed at it's current residence!

Thank you for your time, Sarah Johnson February 3, 2017

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing again about permit #140863, to allow establishment of a church on residential property in Cachagua. I am asking that you do not grant this permit. One of the remarks that stood out at the last hearing was made by Michael Jones, who rented the "General Store" building to run his little market and catering service out of. He served one meal on Sunday morning and one on Monday nights, when his business became a sit-down restaurant and not just a catering service. He noted he had over 50 cars there with no problems. I beg to differ.

Being one of the few houses in Cachagua that is right on the road, I had no less than three occasions when drivers had crashes (that they did not call the authorities on, for obvious reasons) near my house and knocked on my door late on a Monday night. One car ended up wedged behind a boulder in the woods (he and his son removed it the next day), another car went up the side of the road and hit a rock, flattening the tire (we helped her put the spare on), and the third car remained in my driveway for four days after hitting either a tree or a rock off the road and wiping out both passenger side tires! Coming home from work on Mondays was no picnic either, I can assure you, with drivers that pressed the short tempers of the local drivers with their dangerous driving on a winding mountain road they were not used to, thus creating a hazard for all of us. When Michael Jones lost his lease in Cachagua it was a positive thing for the residents here.

I never complained about the General Store because it was in a commercial building. He had the right to run a business out of there. The church is proposing to run a business out of a residential property, and one in a resource conservation area to boot. Please do not let this happen. This agenda is being pushed by a church that is outside of our area and will be nothing but an added burden to the residents in Cachagua.

We do not under any circumstances need this business in Cachagua. We do not want the added traffic, pollution, nor the lack of privacy that goes along with the running of such an enterprise. If they insist on running a church that is not welcomed by the local residents, they should have to do so out of an established commercial property. I lived in Cachagua when the area plan was written. I participated as a citizen in the formulation of the plan. I do not want to see it changed because of an unwelcome business.

I have served on the Cachagua Property Owner's Association, the Carmel School District task force to consider establishment of a pre-school in Cachagua, and have served as the clerk to the Board of Directors for the Cachagua Fire District since its inception over 20 years ago. I have lived here over thirty years and care about my community. Please let us remain the residential community we all moved here for.

Sincerely.

Jeanne Mileti

17811 Cachagua Road

From: Don Bonsper [dbonsper@outlook.com]
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2017 1:19 PM

To: Martha Diehl; Hert, Luther; mduflock@gmail.com; Rochester, Don; ambrizana1@gmail.com;

Vandevere, Keith; amydroberts@ymail.com; Getzelman, Paul C.; Padilla, Cosme; Mendez.

Jose

Cc: Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262; Swanson, Brandon xx5334; Don Bonsper; Jerry King

Subject: Opposition Letter re Admin Permit #140863

Dear Commissioners,

I have been asked to forward this email from Mr. King.

Don

Don Bonsper Cachagua, CA

Dear Commissioners, Concerning permit #140863 Cachagua Rd. Church

My name is Jerald King. I own the property at 21145 Cachagua Rd. I am next door to the Carmel Valley Tennis Camp. It only operates during the summer months. Twenty years ago the owners of CVTC duped me into coming to the Planning Commission and speaking in their behalf for their permit. I did and they were given a permit in spite of all the other neighbors not wanting it. I was their key to get a permit for a business that has caused me to not have quiet summer evenings. By the way, the people that I helped are not my friends nor good neighbors. My neighbors that were against it have a worse time than I do as they are closer to the noise. The strain on the septic system is getting obvious as the willows, alders and sycamore trees are dead or dying downstream from them.

One of my biggest concerns about the church is that property is right on the creek. I have known this creek since 1971 and seen what will be their parking lot under water many times. Especially 1982-83, 1995 and 1998 floods but also just good winters with good rain. On that very property, in 1972-73 it was owned by Pearl Taylor, we used to count the big steelhead going by the narrow there.

My other concern is the entry. My own son and I almost had a wreck there. I was going across the one lane bridge when he and his girlfriend were coming around the bend, uphill from the bridge going the other way. I could not get across the bridge in time and when she tried to stop she slid right off the road and almost into the creek, going under the bridge. The "Church" driveway is right there at the bridge. One lane bridge, two blind curves and a driveway used by too many people is an accident waiting to happen. In my 46 years in Cachagua, I have seen many people injured, paraplegic, quadriplegic and dead on the Cachagua Rd. And that has usually been someone that was used to the road.

It appears to me that there are more people that live here opposed to the project than for it. The most of the people for it do not live here and would not be affected. That alone should speak for itself. We do not need someone that is avoiding taxes by claiming religion clogging up our little road. Our road needs fixing and that comes from property tax. Not freeloaders.

Thank you. Jerald King

Received by RMA-Planning on February 7, 2017.

Kevin & Denise Dixon 19143 Cachagua Road Carmel Valley, CA 93924

February 7, 2017

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing as a Cachagua resident who opposes administrative permit #140863 which is seeking approval for a church at 19345 Cachagua Rd. I live at 19143 Cachagua Road. I have several concerns regarding the project.

First, the impact of allowing both day and overnight camping on the property will create a huge increase in both noise levels in the area, and traffic on a very narrow rural road. I have lived in the Cachagua valley for 21 years and made this my home because of the absence of noise created by large numbers of people, and lack of vehicle traffic, this operation would negate both of those wonderful features of the area.

Second, the potential impact to both the level of the water table due to a much larger demand for the water by so many more people than the original residence required, and the quality of that water due to an increase in the amount of waste that would be entering a septic system. Any venue that could potentially have such an impact on our water supply should be denied the ability to operate due to the delicate nature of these aguifers.

I respect the right of a religious organization to serve its congregation, but the prospective location of this project will have a larger negative impact on the surrounding residents right to the peace and quiet that have drawn them to this area.

Respectfully,

Denise Dixon

From: Don Bonsper [dbonsper@outlook.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2017 5:41 PM

To: Martha Diehl; Hert, Luther; mduflock@gmail.com; Rochester, Don; ambrizana1@gmail.com;

Vandevere, Keith; amydroberts@ymail.com; Getzelman, Paul C.; Padilla, Cosme; Mendez,

Jose

Cc: Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262; Swanson, Brandon xx5334

**Subject:** Status and future of permit #140863

### Dear Commissioners,

I assume you have received the latest information from the county regarding the administrative permit application to establish a church at 19345 Cachagua Road. The staff is recommending a continuation to 31 May. The staff report (continuation report) mentions three areas: scope, quality and quantity of the water supply, and parking area drainage. The staff feels the scope and parking area issues have been addressed. They are asking for a continuance concerning a potable water supply. I, along with the neighbors and many other residents of Cachagua, remain opposed to the project. Below is some food for thought. I will place quotes around the sections that are not written by me.

The following information is taken from the country documents that accompanied the Negative Declaration. The new floor plan for the main building shows three classrooms, a nursery, and a youth room in the basement. The first paragraph below provides an idea of the intended scope of the project. Could the community service activities include weddings, funerals, baptisms, and celebrations of religious holidays? Would this extensive list of activities increase the demand for water? Would they put stress on the septic system designed for a single family? Would they generate noise? Would they create more traffic on Cachagua Rd? Would young people running around the open area threaten the habitat for the steelhead while they were making noise?

"There are currently 15-20 members of the church's congregation with and expected future

increase to approximately 65. Ancillary activities associated with the church would include:

- Sunday church services outdoors on the stone patio when weather permits
- Sunday church services, weekly bible studies and prayer meetings in the Sanctuary
- Counseling services in the Pastor's office for individual members of the congregation
- Children's Church with classrooms and a nursery
- Summer day camps and overnight camping within the two-acre field
- Community service activities
- Temporary space for storage and shelter during a disaster event

- Indoor recreational space for teenagers in the basement
- Shop and training area for trade-skills in the hay barn"

The next paragraph shows the projected future capacity to be 90. It concludes there is no loss to available residential housing in Cachagua.

"The proposed church would serve a small congregation of the Cachagua community, projected to be roughly 90 members at future capacity and would not result in a population

increase in the area due to its establishment. Based on this discussion, the project would not impact the existing housing stock."

The next paragraph concludes there would be no extra demand on the water supply, in fact it would be "slightly less than the existing water demand for the single family residence."

Recalling the activities above, we find this ridiculous and impossible.

"To calculate the projected water demand, the Hydrogeologist applied the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District's (MPWMD) method of fixture unit counts and established values for square footage of a given use. To determine the water fixture unit values for existing main dwelling and caretaker unit, Table 7-3 of the 2007 California Unified Plumbing Code was utilized. Based on this methodology, the Hydrogeologist concluded that water demand for the proposed project would be slightly less than the existing water demand for the single family residence (see Table 1 found on page 4 of the report). Based on this conclusion, the project would have no impact on water supply."

The next paragraph addresses the water quality issue directly. We think this is a public water system if the public is going to be present for the extensive list of activities and thus drinking the water. Will young people obey the signage and not drink the water?

"The project has been reviewed by the Bureau of Environmental Health for drinking water protection services. Based on the proposed public and quasi-public (church) and accessory residential uses of the project, the well would be required to be permitted as a "two connection water system" and not a "public water system." Testing of the water source concluded that it was over the maximum contaminate level (MCL) for Fluoride. However, since the water source would not constitute as a public water system, installation of a fluoride treatment system is not required. Therefore, the project has been conditioned to require permanent signage for all water taps or faucets located in areas accessible to the public indicating that the water is not suitable for drinking. The project, as conditioned, would not violate the water quality standards contained in Monterey County Code Chapter 15.04 for Domestic Water Systems."

Following is the conclusion from the noise study. It did not address any noise from vehicles as they travel along Cachagua Road. It did conclude that noise would increase but to acceptable levels. We disagree. Noise coming from children playing in the field below my property is not acceptable to me and my neighbors. We live in Cachagua expecting no noise. If we can hear the multiple activities that are planned, then the noise will, of course, be excessive.

"In conclusion, implementation of the proposed project would introduce increased noise levels associated with typical church activities such as singing, playing acoustical instruments, and gathering of people; both indoors and outdoors. Although there would be an increase in noise levels, the Noise Assessment Study concludes that the projected noise would remain to be within the normally acceptable level."

Next are the details from the health report concerning the water supply. So is it a public system or not? Is a new well necessary? Who will drink this water?

- "The Environmental Health Bureau has reviewed the above referenced application and has considered the application incomplete. The following reports and/or information are needed prior to considering the application complete.
- \* The water source is over the maximum contaminate level (MCL) for Fluoride. A new source that meets the MCL will be required to serve the water system. Installation of a fluoride treatment system is not suitable since the proposed project doesn't constitute a public water system. Contact EHB Well program (831-755-4507) for more information on obtaining a new water source (new well).
- \* The October 2014 water testing was present for Total Coliform bacteria. Submit updated water results for coliform (Total and E coli) with a chlorine residual test to verify the well has been properly disinfected.
- \* Submit Water System Application materials. Application packet was distributed at the November 18, 2014 DRC meeting. Application packet materials can also be found on our website at <a href="https://www.mtyhd.org/index.php/services/environmental-health/drinking-water-protection-services-2/state-and-local/">https://www.mtyhd.org/index.php/services/environmental-health/drinking-water-protection-services-2/state-and-local/</a>"

I have sent this information both for me and you. I will not forgive myself if I don't make every effort to express my feelings and opinions about this project. I also hope this helps ensure you have some of the details in one place as you consider the future of this project.

Respectfully, Don

Don Bonsper Cachagua, CA

From: Don Bonsper <dbonsper@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 12:13 PM

To: Don Bonsper; Martha Diehl; Hert, Luther; mduflock@gmail.com; Rochester, Don; ambrizana1

@gmail.com; Vandevere, Keith; amydroberts@ymail.com; Getzelman, Paul C.; Padilla,

Cosme; Mendez, Jose

**Cc:** Swanson, Brandon xx5334; Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262

Subject: Letter to Commissioners - permit #140863

### Dear Commissioners,

Thanks to you, especially Ms. Diehl, we have a statement about the scope of the application for a church at 19345 Cachagua Rd. For me the scope of activities and level of intensity are way beyond what was initially presented at the LUAC meeting and what served as the basis for the environmental studies that were done in 2015. The Cachagua community never heard the real plans for the church and the negative declaration concerning environmental impact does not reflect the high intensity use. Further, I sincerely believe the establishment of a church at this location is totally inappropriate for a rural, residential parcel in the Cachagua community.

The description of activities as outlined in Condition 30 of the application shows a combination of weekly, annual, and unscheduled activities. Using the numbers in the application, there will be an average of 141 people coming to and from the property every week. This means more than 70 cars. Some weeks it will be much higher. The minimum in a week is 125 people plus any counseling and special groups. All activities are expected to grow. The weekly activities will grow in numbers of people. The annual events will grow in terms of the number of events. All revenue producing events will be expected to grow. Add to this the number of people who will have to come and go from the property for maintenance, meetings, general management, and non-church related activities. The impact of this high use intensity will be severe in terms of its demand for water and septic resources, creation of noise and congestion, and overall safety for traffic and fire.

The planned counseling activities are a concern for many people. Who will be counseled? Will there be sex offenders, drug users, domestic abuse offenders? Will the neighbors be notified?

All immediate neighbors and a large majority of Cachagua residents oppose this project. The main support for the project comes from outside Cachagua. The enormous financial resources behind the project were able to buy the property and now hire an expensive lawyer to help push it through the permit application process. How valuable is the voice of the people who will have to live with this hub of activity that contradicts everything Cachagua is trying to maintain?

We respectfully request this application be denied. It has been delayed and continued twice. Now is the time to just end the process.

Sincerely,

Don

Don Bonsper Cachagua, CA 831-402-8400

From: Nickerson, Jacquelyn x5240
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 2:18 PM

**To:** Sidor, Joe (Joseph) x5262

Subject: FW: Permit #140863 - a church at 19345 Cachagua Rd.

Hi Joe,

Please include this in your project file as correspondence.

**From:** Don Bonsper [mailto:dbonsper@outlook.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 1:43 PM

**To:** Nickerson, Jacquelyn x5240 < <u>NickersonJ@co.monterey.ca.us</u>> **Subject:** Fw: Permit #140863 - a church at 19345 Cachagua Rd.

Jackie,

I should have included you on this message but forgot. I also made a mistake with the address of Commissioner Vandevere which I have corrected. Time is running out on this permit application and it doesn't look good.

Don

## Don Bonsper Cachagua, CA

From: Don Bonsper < <a href="mailto:dbonsper@outlook.com">dbonsper@outlook.com</a> Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 1:34 PM
To: Martha Diehl; Keith Vandevere

Subject: Permit #140863 - a church at 19345 Cachagua Rd.

Good Afternoon Ms. Diehl and Mr. Vandevere,

I attended the hearing this morning and had considered asking the commissioners to extend the continuance to allow for another LUAC now that we have a real idea of the scope of the church activities. The county had told me that it would not be appropriate to do so today but to do it at the 9 Aug hearing. They pointed out that the idea of another LUAC had already been denied at the Jan hearing.

I am writing to you as the District 5 Commissioners who have the best understanding of Cachagua and its vision. I see the establishment of an evangelical church as a first step in the over development of Cachagua. I feel the community has not had a chance to discuss this issue and to consider its consequences. A second LUAC could determine just how much support or opposition there is from the residents, not the proponents from outside the area. Lacking a second LUAC you will have only the pile

of emails and letters that have been sent over the many months. I hope we have a good turnout at the 9 Aug hearing but am not optimistic. This is not because the people don't care, but rather they just can't get to the hearing.

Respectfully,

Don

Don Bonsper Cachagua, CA