

HEARING SUBMITTAL	
PROJECT NO./AGENDA NO.	PLN170612/03#2
DATE RECEIVED:	12/5/18
SUBMITTED BY/VIA:	STATE
DISTRIBUTION TO/DATE:	
DATE OF HEARING:	12/5/18

project excavations and a standard recommendation for the inadvertent discovery of human remains.

~~A third archaeological report was made available by the applicant on November 20, 2018 (Source 41). The retained archaeologist, Susan Morley (M.A.), provided a brief review of the record and conducted auger testing. On the subject parcel, two shovel test pits were excavated and analyzed to depths between 103 cm (3 feet) and 182 cm (6 feet). According to the report, the auger was abandoned at both points because a rock halted its progress. During the second auger test hole (#3), one fragment of a Franciscan chert biface was recovered, however, according to Morley, it is considered an "isolate," and does not provide context or have enough integrity to be considered archaeologically significant. Morley concludes by stating: "As a result of these findings it is recommended that there is no reason to delay the project due to concerns about cultural resources." The report then lists recommendations and mitigation measures.~~

Formatted: Font color: Red, Strikethrough

~~A third archaeological report was made available by the applicant on November 20, 2018. The retained archaeologist, Susan Morley (M.A.), provided a brief review of the record and conducted auger testing. On the subject parcels, two shovel test pits were excavated for each parcel and analyzed to a depth of 305 cm (10 feet); no cultural or shell material was encountered. Morley concludes by stating: "As a result of these findings it is recommended that there is no reason to delay the project due to concerns about cultural resources." The report then lists recommendations and mitigation measures.~~

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red

CEQA (Section 15064.5, Source 9) defines the term "historic resource" as the following:

1. A resource listed in, or determined to be eligible by the State Historical Resources Commission, for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources.
2. A resource included in a local register of historical resources, meeting the requirements of the Public Resources Code, shall be presumed to be historically or culturally significant.
3. Any object, building, structure, site, area, place, record, or manuscript which a lead agency determines to be historically significant or significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, military, or cultural annals of California may be considered to be an historical resource, provided the lead agency's determination is supported by substantial evidence in light of the whole record. Generally, a resource shall be considered by the lead agency to be "historically significant if the resource meets the criteria for listing on the CA Register of Historical Resources including the following:
 - a. Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California history and cultural heritage.
 - b. Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past;
 - c. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values; or
 - d. Has yielded or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.
4. The fact that a resource is not listed in, or determined to be eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, not included in a local register of historical resources of the Public Resources Code, or identified in an historical resources survey of