Exhibit D



Exhibit D-1

MINUTES

Big Sur Land Use Advisory Committee Tuesday, February 12, 2019

Meeting called to order by	Mary Trotter	at 9:05 am p-m.
Roll Call		
Members Present: Mary Trotter, Steve Bec	k, Dave Smiley, Dick I	Ravich, Marcus Foster
Members Absent:		
0		
Approval of Minutes:		
A	minutes	
Motion:		(LUAC Member's Name)
Second:		(LUAC Member's Name)
Ayes:		
Noes:		
Absent:		
Abstain:		
		ublic comment on non-agenda items that are within the of individual presentations may be limited by the Chair.
None		
Scheduled Item(s)		
Other Items:		
A) LUAC member nor	minated for Secretary:	
Motion:		(LUAC Member's Name)
-		

Ayes:	
Noes:	
Absent:	
Abstain:	
B) Pre	eliminary Courtesy Presentations by Applicants Regarding Potential Projects
None	
C) An	nnouncements
Non	е
Meeting A	adjourned: 11:30 am p .m .
utes taken by:	: Dick Ravich

Monterey County RMA Planning 1441 Schilling Place 2nd Floor Salinas CA 93901 (831) 755-5025

Advisory Committee:	Big Sur LU	AC					
			T. 1	2010			
Please submit your recomme	ndations for th	his application by:	February 15,	2019			
Project Description:							
. Project Nan			ULTIVATION	PILOT PROGRAM			
File Numb							
Project APN							
Project Plann							
Area Pla							
Project Description				E DEVELOPMENT OF THE			
			CULTIVATION	ON PILOT PROGRAM			
	ORDINA						
Recommendation T	o: PLANNI	NG COMMISSION	N & BOARD C	OF SUPERVISORS			
Please include the name See attached list Vas a County Staff/Repr PUBLIC COMMENT:			g? <u>Craig S</u> p	pencer, John Dugan (Name)			
_							
Name		Site Neighbor?		Issues / Concerns (suggested changes)			
		YES	NO	(suggested changes)			
Comments taken and re	ecorded by						
County Staff							
County Stall							

LUAC AREAS O	OF CONCERN			•		
Concerns (e.g. site layout, compatibility; vis	s / Issues , neighborhood	Po		nnce Referen nown)	ıce	Suggested Changes - to address concerns (e.g. relocate; reduce height; move road access, etc)
ADDITIONAL L	UAC COMMENT	TS.				
RECOMMENDA	ATION:					
Motion by:	Dave Smiley			(LUAC M	lember'	s Name)
Second by:	Marcus Foster			(LUAC N	Membei	r's Name)
χ Suppo	ort Project as propos	sed				
Suppo	ort Project with char	nges				
Conti	nue the Item					
Reaso	on for Continuance:					
Con	tinue to what date:					
Ayes:	Mary Trotter, Ste	eve Be	eck, Dave Sr	niley, Dick R	avicn,	Marcus Foster
Noes:	0					
Absent:	0					
Abstain:	0					

NAME

JOHN DUGA Kyle Schröder Ryan McGilloway ONUID RADON Ordine Gorton Ondine, Gorton Katy Newman John Deflora BODIAK Torre Stromberger BIG SUR TACK Conor MC BREHDAN WAHL Errilo Erre Mike Linder MARTHA KARSTENS Montes Eastway JALI MORGENRATH Crave Spencer BRIAN AZEREDO

E mail

diganja co. Monterey, ca. us puschader 110 gmail.com FYENDMIGINOWAY OF S. Mail. Lom
RABONDE CO. MONTENEY. CAUS Ondine 22 Qattinet bigsurtarmers agmail Katte Redshift com John Chiqsurcannabatanicals, com Kodiakgreenwoodegnall.com Torre. Stromberger @ gmail.com BIGGUE TACKE GMAIL COM hiconor @ hotmail . cam 13. WAITL CONSTRUCTION OGMAN COM empo is the of grand. 10-Bigsurlau Egmail, com MICARSIENS 78008 GMAIL.COM pearls III & qual com monica, Edstuage yator Con JACT BIGSURQ JAHOO.COM Spencer CD Co. monterey . Ca. US azeredobjaco menterey ca us

Exhibit D-2

MINUTES

Carmel Valley Land Use Advisory Committee Tuesday, February 19, 2019

Janet Breni	nan; Judy MacClelland; David Burbidge; Janes Kendall (4)	FER 2 1 2019
Members A Charles Fra	bsent: anklin; John Anzini (2)	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENC LAND USE DIVISION
Approval of	f Minutes:	
A. Janu	nary 22, 2019 minutes	
Motion:	David Burbidge (LUAC Member's	Name)
Second:	Judy MacClelland (LUAC Member's	Name)
Ayes:	Janet Brennan; Judy MacClelland; David Burbidge; Janes Kend	dall (4)
Noes:	0	
Absent:	Charles Franklin; John Anzini (2)	
Abstain:	0	
Public Con purview of	mments: The Committee will receive public comment on non-age the Committee at this time. The length of individual presentation	enda items that are within the as may be limited by the Chair.
None		

Preliminary Courtesy Presentations by Applicants Regarding Potential Projects
Announcements
A

7. **Meeting Adjourned:** 7:20 pm

Minutes taken by: David Burbidge



Monterey County RMA Planning 1441 Schilling Place 2nd Floor Salinas CA 93901 (831) 755-5025



Advisory Committee:	Carmel Valley

1.	Project Name:	OUTDOOR CANNABIS CULTIVATION PILOT PROGRAM
	File Number:	
	Project APN:	000-000-000
	Project Planner:	
	Project Description:	
	Recommendation To:	PLANNING COMMISSION & BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Not a	pplicable se include the names of	Representative present at meeting? YES NO
IV/A		
Was a	a County Staff/Represe	entative present at meeting? Craig Spencer (Name)
PUBI	LIC COMMENT:	

Name	Site Neighbor?		Issues / Concerns (suggested changes)	
	YES NO		(ouggeored enunges)	
Eric Sands		X	Use Carmel Valley Master Plan for reference	
Tory, Big Sur Farming Association		X	Want fair chance to get license	
Jenifer, Attorney		X	No state regulations. State AG regarding COOPs for prior cultivators	
Sam Registara		X	General concerns	
Kyle Eurate		X	Medical shut down by new law & effects on us	

LUAC AREAS OF CONCERN

Concerns / Issues (e.g. site layout, neighborhood compatibility; visual impact, etc)	Policy/Ordinance Reference (If Known)	Suggested Changes - to address concerns (e.g. relocate; reduce height; move road access, etc)
Cost to get license		Make clear
July 1st, CEQA to go away	i	
ADDITIONAL LUAC COMMENT	ΓS	
None		
		BEOEWED !
		MEGEIVEU
RECOMMENDATION:		FEB 21 2019
#1 – Review of pilot plan at specified to	ime frame	MONTEREY COUNTY RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY LAND USE DIVISION
Motion by: Judy MacClelland	(LUAC Member's	s Name)
Second by: James Kendall	(LUAC Member	's Name)
Support Project as propos	sed	
X Support Project with char	nges (See above)	
Continue the Item		
Reason for Continuance:		
Continue to what date:		
Ayes: Janet Brennan; Juc	dy MacClelland: David Burbidge; James	Kendall (4)
Noes: 0		
Absent: Charles Franklin;	John Anzini (2)	
Abstain: 0		

RECOMMENDATION:

#2 - Support pilot project for local growers

Motion by:	James Kendall	(LUAC Member's Name)		
Second by:	David Burbidge	(LUAC Member's Name)		
Suppo	ort Project as proposed			
X Suppo	ort Project with changes (See above)			
Conti	nue the Item			
Reaso	on for Continuance:			
Con	tinue to what date:			
Ayes:	Janet Brennan; Judy MacClelland: Dav	id Burbidge; James Kendall (4)	_	×
Noes:	0			
Absent:	Charles Franklin; John Anzini (2)			
Abstain:	0			



Exhibit D-3 A

MINUTES

Cachagua Land Use Advisory Committee Wednesday, February 27, 2019

Members A	Absent:	
Approval o	f Minutes:	
A. Mar	rch 28, 2018 minutes	
Motion:	Sarah Hausserman	(LUAC Member's Name)
Second:	Jack Galante	(LUAC Member's Name)
Ayes:	Shea, Hausserman, Miller, Heb	erman, Galante (5)
Noes:	0	
Absent:	0	
Abstain:	_	
	mments: The Committee will rec	eive public comment on non-agenda items that are within the ength of individual presentations may be limited by the Chair
Kathie Lar	ne expressed desire for better notif	ication about LUAC meetings and pertinent zoning issues, i.e.
posting at	the Jamesburg News Sign, mail bo	exes, etc. and possibly mailings.
1 8 44	8 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	

	UAC member nominated for Chairperson:	Sarah Haassonhan
Motion:	Jack Galante	(LUAC Member's Name)
Second:	Diane Miller	(LUAC Member's Name)
Ayes:	Shea, Hausserman, Miller, Heberman, G	Falante (5)
Noes:	0	
Absent:	0	
Abstain:	0	
B) LU	JAC member nominated for Secretary: <u>N</u>	Matthew Shea
Motion:	Kathy Heberman	(LUAC Member's Name)
Second:	Sarah Hauserman	(LUAC Member's Name)
Ayes:	Shea, Hausserman, Miller, Heberman, G	alante (5)
Noes:	0	
Absent:	_0	
Absent: Abstain:	_	
Abstain:	0	
Abstain: C) Prel	_	
Abstain:	0	
Abstain: C) Prel	0	
Abstain: C) Prel	0	
Abstain: C) Prel None	0	
Abstain: C) Prel None	0 iminary Courtesy Presentations by Applica	
Abstain: C) Prel None D) Ann	0 iminary Courtesy Presentations by Applica	
Abstain: C) Prel None D) Ann	0 iminary Courtesy Presentations by Applica	
Abstain: C) Prel None D) Ann None	iminary Courtesy Presentations by Applica	
Abstain: C) Prel None D) Ann None	0 iminary Courtesy Presentations by Applica	

6.

Other Items:

Monterey County RMA Planning 1441 Schilling Place 2nd Floor Salinas CA 93901 (831) 755-5025



(Name)

Advisory Committee:	Cachagua	
		_

		The state of the s
		,
1.	Project Name:	OUTDOOR CANNABIS CULTIVATION PILOT PROGRAM
	File Number:	REF150048
	Project APN:	000-000-000
	Project Planner:	CRAIG SPENCER
	Provide input to Staff on the development of the outdoor Cannabis Cultivation Pilot	
		Program Ordinance
	Recommendation To:	PLANNING COMMISSION & BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Was	the Owner/Applicant/Rep	presentative present at meeting? YES X NO
(DI		4
(Plea	ise include the names of th	te those present)
Cra	ig Spencer, Supervising Pla	nner
	<u> </u>	
-		

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Was a County Staff/Representative present at meeting?

Name	Site Neighbor?		Issues / Concerns (suggested changes)	
	YES	NO	(suggested changes)	
	X		Taxation	
Kathie Lane	X		Submitted a written list of concerns (Refer to attachment)	
	X		Thank you to County for pilot program	
	X		Concern about bad actors	
Tory Straumberg		X	Big sur resident, said lights must be covered.	

Craig Spencer

LUAC AREAS OF CONCERN

Concerns / Issues (e.g. site layout, neighborhood compatibility; visual impact, etc)	Policy/Ordinance Reference (If Known)	Suggested Changes - to address concerns (e.g. relocate; reduce height; move road access, etc)
Odors, views, lighting		Develop standards for air monitoring, lighting
Traffic, Public Safety		Vetting of Employees, Dedicated Law enforcement, Proper notification upon permit request to neighbors.
Lack of notification to Community		Wider distribution of posting for LUAC meetings and other Land Use issues, email list.
AG 40, Existing Farmland, and Resource Conservation Zoning		Cachagua is unique to big Sur and the rest of the county and permits should be allowed for these areas.
ADDITIONAL LUAC COMMENTS		
Resource Conservation Zoning areas and Exis	ting Farmland should be allowed to partic	ipate.
Consider larger canopy size for lots greater that	an 10 acres.	
Lower acreage lots should be considered for p	articipation	

Public safety is a big concern. Vetting of employees is recommended. Perhaps a dedicated law enforcement officer.

Concern that this process is being rushed and the community has not had enough time to study and be involved.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Water systems should not be used. Water is a big issue.

Motion	n by:	Matthew Shea	(LUAC Member's Name	e)
Second	l by:	Jack Galante	(LUAC Member's Nam	ne)
X	Support	Project as proposed Project with changes The the Item		RECEIVED MAR 0 1 2019
	Reason	for Continuance:		MONTONE
	Conti	nue to what date:		RESOURCE MANAGEMONT AGENCY LAND USE DIVISION
Aye	es:	Jack Galante, Matthew Shea (2)		
Noe	es:	Kathy Hebberman, Sarah Haussern	nan, Diane Miller (3)	

Motion Failed

Absent:

Abstain:

It was decided to have a special meeting on March 12, 2019 at 6:00 pm to allow more time for community out reach and study of the issue before making a recommendation.

Monterey County RMA Planning 1441 Schilling Place 2nd Floor Salinas CA 93901 (831) 755-5025

Advisory Committee: Cachag	MAR 0 1 2019	
		MONTERE LECUPES : RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY
3	CHURCH JOHN P (AT&T MOBILITY)	LAND USE DIVISION
	PLN180544	
	97-161-037-000	
3	STEVE "TED" LOPEZ	
a r 1	Jse Permit to allow the installation of new antenum existing cell tower. The applicant is proposing monopole height from approximately 41 feet to a ocated at 17114 Cachagua Road, Carmel Valley 137-000), Cachagua Area Plan.	g to increase the Crown Castle approximately 51 feet. The property is
	DIRECTOR OF RMA PLANNING	
Was the Owner/Applicant/Rep	-	X NO
Tom Johnson, TSJ Consulting		
Was a County Staff/Represent	tative present at meeting? Craig Spencer	(Name)
PUBLIC COMMENT:		

Name	Site Neighbor?		Issues / Concerns (suggested changes)	
	YES	NO	(ouggested enanges)	
Kathy Lane		X	Better coverage	
Alfred Heberman	X		Tower is unsightly and reflective. Wants changes to include camouflage, or green paint.	
Multiple residents	X		Concern was expressed for greater coverage and back up power to eliminate coverage outages.	

LUAC AREAS OF CONCERN

Concerns / Issues (e.g. site layout, neighbor compatibility; visual impa	пооц	icy/Ordinance Reference (If Known)	Suggested Changes - to address concerns (e.g. relocate; reduce height; move road access, etc)
Visual Impact			Paint the tower green, use non reflective materials
		!	
ADDITIONAL LUAC CO	MMENTS		
RECOMMENDATION:			
Motion by: Matthew S	hea	(LUAC Member	s Name)
Second by: Jack Gala	nte	(LUAC Membe	r's Name)
Support Project of Support Proje			RECEIVED
Continue the Iter	-	`	WAD 0.1 2010
Reason for Conti			MAR 0 1 2019
Continue to wh	nat date:		MONTERER I CONTY RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY LAND USE DIVISION
Ayes: Haussern	nan, Miller, Galan	te, Shea (4)	
Noes: 0			
Absent: 0			
Abstain: Heberma	n (1)		

Wednesday, February 27, 2019

Outdoor Cannabis Cultivation Pilot Program

Pilot Program Ordinance

Questions: Is this ordinance the one put in place in 2015? Is it still in place? Is this to see if it needs to be extended for the required time of 45 days/15 day extend and sometime a year extension? Why has it taken 4 years to get to our unincorporated area? Why wasn't the initial ordinance at least sent to all Land Advisory Committees so we could have ways to keep up with the progress of RMA gathering of information.

Concerns to consider before final regulation and laws are put in place

The concerns we have are as follows and reiterate some of the RMC's noted issues made in their own documents in reference to this ordinance put in place to prohibit anyone going forward in the cultivation of Cannabis until the county can implement regulations in the industry. We want to start out with a statement first before we list our concerns. The statement is...Why in the world would you even consider adding this industry in the middle of a neighbor/community???

Concerns:

- 1. Crime The industry of growing cannabis legal or illegal has always had an element of crime associated with it. This is a high value crop which entices that criminal element. Hence the need for higher security, fences, etc to protect the crop. In our community since we are far out 'unincorporated' getting law enforcement out here even now is an issue. Can take them an hour to arrive when we need help. So, the county will need to ready to appropriate funds to increase law enforcement in our area along with an in-resident sheriff. We have had our share of drug related issues in the past and we don't need to add to that issue. Our community has worked hard to make this area safer. We want our county to step up here and protect us!!!
- 2. Water This is a BIG issue in this area. We have a lot of wineries and grazing already in the area that requires a large amount of water. We don't need additional industry that would require even more water putting an impact on our watershed. We all get really nervous during the drought times and fire seasons. The possibly of having even less water to go to fighting off the fires is enough on its own not to introduce another industry in our community. Please help by not allowing this depletion of our natural resource. Will the county be ready in the future to put in pipes that will pump water from somewhere else to each of the homes and



- industries in our community? You could be faced with a situation like this by adding industry that could speed up the depletion of our resources.
- 3. Odor This is a BIG issue too! No amount of odor mitigation will work. The setbacks want help because of the wonderful winds that flow through our valley. these winds will bring the odor throughout our community. We moved out here to enjoy the breezes, views, the night sky, the quietness, and be able to sit out on our decks to enjoy what our location provides.
- 4. <u>Light Pollution and Fire Hazards</u> The cultivation of Cannabis requires some extra light requirements. To get this needed requirement extended lighting is needed. This pollutes our night sky beauty. We already have some small growers in the area and the lighting ruins the views I paid for moving out here. These extra grow intensity lights are also fire hazards. Believe me we don't need anything else to add to the possibilities of more fire hazards.

5. Location Issues

- 1. This should also bother each and every one of us and that is the lost of home values. We have lived here for 9 years now and have worked very hard every year to improve our property. we have been doing this too because we want to make sure our property is clean and easy for our firefighters to do their jobs. We have done everything they have ask us to do to improve the property for fires. We have spent thousands of dollars and to have that all wiped away by loosing property values is unfair to us as well as to all of the residents. JUST NOT COOL!!! Would you want this to happen to your community?
- 2. It will also change the character of the neighborhood. A lot of us have worked hard to make the community safe and visually appealing to enhance the value of our properties and have something very special out here.
- 3. Private Road Use is an issue. Some of our private roads are now being used to park heavy trucks in areas that are dangerous to our neighbors coming and going to their homes.



Summary:

To address a few more issues mentioned in the RMA memorandum. We do not support, a NO, on allowing someone to come back after 2015 would just open other concerns. Validation for example...would you just take their word, possibility of an opportunity to increase production, how would residents know about some growers falling into some of the acreage components mentioned in your memorandum, how can we be sure even today that some of the growers have already increased their production before regulations are in place which more of the night lighting has already be observed, the small growers in our area already should stay in the Speciality Cottage category.

I want to go on record that i am not against the Cannabis Industry but this industry does need to be tightly regulated and not allowed in an already existing neighborhood mainly for the criminal element which is unfortunate but a fact because of the large dollar value of the crop and being a cash only business right now opens our neighborhood, our homes endangering our children for those who might be looking for this high value crop and hidden cash.

Kathie Lane
21900 Parrott Ranch Road
Carmel Valley, CA 93924



MINUTES

Cachagua Land Use Advisory Committee Tuesday, March 12, 2019

SPECIAL MEETING



1.	Meeting calle	ed to order by	Sarah Hausserma	ın	at _6:01	pm	LAND USE DIVISION	upto del Pido Co
2.	Roll Call							
	Members P Jack Galar		rmann, Diane Mill	er, Matt Shea	, Sarah Haussern	mann (5)		
	Members A	Absent:						
						2		
3.	Approval of	f Minutes: ruary 27, 2019	minutes					
	Motion:	Matt Shea		(LUAC Member's	s Name)		
	Second:	Jack Galante		(LUAC Member's	s Name)		
	Ayes:	5 (Galante, H	erbermann, Miller,	Shea, Hausse	rmann)			
	Noes:	0						
	Absent:	0						
	Abstain:							
l.			ommittee will recent this time. The le				that are within the mited by the Chair.	
	Don Bonsp	er – Community	needs better notifi	ication of LU	AC meetings			
	Alfred Heb	berman – Urges	County and reside	nts to push fo	r greater camouf	flage of AT&	&T tower	
	Heidi Park	- Expressed con	ncern over state of	Cachagua Ro	ad especially the	newly repai	red slipout	
5.	Scheduled 1	[tem(s)						
ó.	Meeting Ad	ljourned: 8:15	5 pm					
	ites taken by:	Matthew Shea						

Monterey County RMA Planning 1441 Schilling Place 2nd Floor Salinas CA 93901 (831) 755-5025

R	ECEIVED
	MAR 1 3 2019
	MONTEREY COUNTY DURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY LAND USE DIVISION

Advisory Committee: Cachagua

1.	Project Name:	OUTDOOR CANNABIS CULTIVATION PILOT PROGRAM
	File Number:	REF150048
	Project Planner:	CRAIG SPENCER
	Project Description:	Provide input to Staff on the development of the outdoor Cannabis Cultivation Pilot
		Program Ordinance
	Recommendation To:	PLANNING COMMISSION & BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Was t	he Owner/Applicant/Rep	presentative present at meeting? YES X NO

(Please include the names of the those present)				
Conin Consessed	(Name)			
	Craig Spencer			

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Name	Site Neighbor?		Issues / Concerns (suggested changes)
	YES	NO	(Suggested changes)
Susan Reader	X		Questions why there is no EIR
Kathie Lane	X		Wants density(# of) of permits considered especially if RC zoning approved.
JoAnne Payne	X		Questions length of pilot program and total acreage.
Heidi Park	X		Strong support for program.

Name	Site Neighbor?		Issues / Concerns
	YES	NO	(suggested changes)
Don Bonsper	X		Wants to know if Cannabis Industry lobbying for Pilot Program has local members.
Tony Scardina RECEIVED MAR 1 3 2019	X		In favor, wants total allowed acreage controlled, wants only legal property owners approved, wants Fencing and setbacks, wants different permit process for open land, favors a property percentage over set square feet allowed.
Walt Monterey county RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY LAND USE DIVISION	X		Wants to know ccriteria for evaluating Pilot Program
Steve Proulx	X		Question regarding Legacy Growers, Medicinal, and Collective
Orville Meyers	X		Thinks process is being rushed
Tori		X	Thinks process is being rushed
Jack Willis		X	In Support, Believes community wants to be legal and do it right.
Sean Cramer	X		Water use
Torre Stromberger		X	Emphasized State Regulations are tough and will further limit qualified applicants.
Sanya Ramirez	X		Wants Fairness, think other projects have not gotten same consideration.
Tom Gaino	X		Wants setback for public right of ways.
Miles	X		In Favor, Believes program will benefit community
Mike Newton	X		Wants to be informed of future Cannabis Meetings
Beth Wheeler	X		Question over Federal laws and crossover
Dennis Lane	X		Concern over speed of process. Thinks EIR is necessary.
Unidentified person	X		Thinks RC zoning should be approved
Brian	X		Wants RC zoning approved
Rick Smith			Question of whether permit follows land or operator

Name	Site Neighbor?		Issues / Concerns (suggested changes)
	YES	NO	(Suggested changes)
Kathie Lane	X		Concern over law enforcement, wants dedicated sheriff deputy
Tom Gaino	X		Wants timeline for next meetings
Greg Martin	X		Question about hoop houses
Sanya Ramirez	X		Wants tax revenue for community projects
JoAnne Fitzpatrick	X		Strong support for dedicated tax dollars for local projects.

LUAC AREAS OF CONCERN

Concerns / Issues (e.g. site layout, neighborhood compatibility; visual impact, etc)	Policy/Ordinance Reference (If Known)	Suggested Changes - to address concerns (e.g. relocate; reduce height; move road access, etc)
Tax Revenue for Community		Dedicated tax dollars for Cachagua Community Improvement. Cachagua Communty Park Soccer Field in particular.
Zoning		Add RC Zoning, Add F40 zoning, Consider removal of RDR zoning
Water		No shared Water systems, possible monitoring of overall regional water amount and impact from program
Law enforcement and security		Dedicated Sheriff Deputy. Strong vetting during permitting process. No operators with criminal records.
Pilot Program Review		Include LUAC and Community in evaluation of program



ADDITIONAL LUAC COMMENTS

- 1) Matt Shea In favor. Rigorous permitting, a process that keeps outside interests out and keeps it local, security measures, and easier enforcement make this a good program. The program as proposed alleviates most of the concerns of the audience. Tax revenue should include local projects.
- 2) Diane Miller Agrees with Matt and adds WATER is serious issue and the county should look into ways of measuring the impact.
- 3) Jack Galante Legalization and permitting will mimimize impact on community, F40 and RC zoning are better suited and should be added. Dedicated Tax dollars to community should be required.
- 4) Kathy Herbermann Against "RDR" zoning being allowed. Wants more clarity on sunset of project or evaluation process.
- 5) Sarah Haussermann Re-stated all points made in previous meeting and today's meeting: No permits to operators with criminal records, more dedicated law enforcement, concern for shared roads and parking, No lighting, No shared water usage, water is huge concern especially on shared systems, grows should have onsite operator, review of program should include LUAC and Community, no outside interests being able to move into area, concern over permit being attached to operator and not land. Believes strong enforcement of size should be enforced and not allowed over size of previous medicinal permitting size. Reiterated dedicated tax dollars to community.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve Pilot program with comments and concerns of public and LUAC noted.

Motion by:	Jack Galante	(LUAC Member's Name)
Second by:	Diane Miller	(LUAC Member's Name)
	ort Project as proposed ort Project with changes	RECEIVED
Conti	nue the Item	MAR 1 3 2019
Reaso	on for Continuance:	MONTEDEN COUNTY
Con	ntinue to what date:	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY LAND USE DIVISION
Ayes:	5 (Galante, Herberma	ann, Miller, Shea, Haussermann)
Noes:	0	
Absent:	0	
Abstain	0	

The following information is provided in <u>draft</u> form. Agricultural Advisory Committee April 25, 2019, Meeting Minutes Excerpt



C. Outdoor Cannabis Cultivation Pilot Program

Brandon Swanson, RMA Interim Chief of Planning

Project Title: Outdoor Cannabis Cultivation Pilot Program

Planning File No.: REF150048

Project Location: Big Sur, Cachagua and Carmel Valley Areas

Project Description: Draft ordinances which would amend Title 20 and Title 21 of the Monterey County Code to establish a five-year pilot program for permitting and regulation of limited outdoor cannabis cultivation only in certain zoning districts of the Big Sur Land Use Plan area, Carmel Valley Master Plan Area, and Cachagua Area Plan of unincorporated Monterey County. The purpose of the pilot program is to gather data and gain experience for future consideration of long-term regulations for outdoor cultivation at the end of the five-year period.

Motion: After discussion and amendment, a motion by Committee Member Violini was adopted to recommend approval to the Planning Commission of the pilot program's draft ordinances with the following mitigations: 1) maintain ten-acre minimum; 2) comply with county slope ordinance; 3) require on-site residency; 4) include discussion to limit permitting to existing medical permit holders and existing holders of Operator Identification Numbers obtained through the Agricultural Commissioner's Office; 5) recommend discussion by the Planning Commission, Board of Supervisors and or County Counsel to include the addition of RC and F40 zoning in the Cachagua land use area, and 6) a portion of revenues collected to be invested in the program community.

AYES: Bridson, Eastman, Heacox, Huntington, Shea, Storm, Violini and

Williams

NOES: Lipe and Sites

ABSENT: Darington, Davis, Gollnick

This page intentionally left blank