Attachment G

This page intentionally left blank.

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., GOVERNOR

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE

CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE 725 FRONT STREET, SUITE 300 SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 PHONE: (831) 427-4863 FAX: (831) 427-4877 WEB: WWW.COASTAL.CA.GOV



October 1, 2018

Anna Quenga Monterey County Resource Management Agency 141 Schilling Place, 2nd Floor Salinas, CA 93901

Subject: Mitigated Negative Declaration (PLN 160851); SCH 2018091005 46821 Highway 1, Big Sur (Morgenrath)

Dear Ms. Quenga:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the proposed use of the property at 46821 Highway 1 in Big Sur. As we understand it, the proposed development includes the establishment of a commercial business operation including a new office building, workshop, storage unit, and onsite storage of equipment, trucks, fuel, cement, and related development on a 2.55-acre parcel in Big Sur Valley. The site has a Rural Community Center (RCC) land use designation and is zoned for Visitor-Serving Commercial (VSC).

As you are aware, lands designated RCC are very limited and are intended to serve the needs of residents and visitors to the Big Sur coast. More specifically, the Big Sur Land Use Plan (LUP) identifies the appropriate land use activities for RCC-designated parcels as those found in the Outdoor Recreation, Recreational, Visitor-Serving Commercial, and Public and Quasi-Public classifications, which include a host of typical visitor-serving uses (e.g., restaurants, grocery stores, arts and crafts galleries, inns, hostels, service stations, campgrounds, etc.) as principally permitted uses. The LUP further identifies conditional uses that may also be permitted (e.g., administrative, management, and maintenance facilities for public agencies, fire stations, clinics, community halls, churches, post office, libraries, and schools). Residential use may also occur in this land use designation under limited circumstances. However, given the limited availability of land that is designated RCC in the Big Sur area and the increasing numbers of visitors to Big Sur, we believe that RCC-designated land ideally should be reserved for essential/priority visitor-serving uses.

Based on the LUP description of the priority uses, the proposed use for the site and related development does not appear to comport with the requirements of the underlying RCC designation, but rather appears to be more aligned with General Commercial uses, as defined in the Local Coastal Program (LCP). While we can appreciate the need for engineering and construction-related services provided by the prospective tenant, there may be other more suitable locations for such operations that are not located on RCC-designated land.

Anna Quenga Morgenrath (PLN 160581; SCH 2018091005) October 1, 2018 Page 2

Additionally, we disagree with the MNDs interpretation of LUP Policy 5.3.2 (i.e., "any use allowed in any zone is appropriate for rural community centers") as allowing general commercial and/or any other uses within RCC designated lands. Clearly, the LUP does not stand for the allowance of a military or agricultural use, or other similarly inappropriate (i.e., not visitor-serving, public, quasi-public, or recreation) uses at this site. Likewise, LUP Policy 5.4.3 E.1 directs new visitor-serving commercial development to RCC-designated lands in Big Sur Valley. Furthermore, Monterey County's Implementation Plan (i.e. coastal zoning) is explicit with regard to principal and conditional uses allowed under the Visitor Serving Commercial zone district (Title 20, Chapter 20.22, VSC). Contractor yards and storage facilities are *not* authorized as either a principally permitted or conditional use in the VSC zone. These uses are, however, explicitly identified as conditional uses in the County's General Commercial zone districts.

The project further involves development within the critical viewshed, which also cannot be supported under the LUP. Specifically, the LUP allows exceptions for development on RCC-designated land that would be located in the critical viewshed if such development uses vegetative screening where possible to soften the impact on the viewshed. In this case, the proposal includes the parking and staging of construction vehicles, trucks, and bulldozers, etc., within an existing parking area that is located within the critical viewshed. The additional traffic and vehicles associated with the development likely would result in significant visual impacts. As proposed, the project includes construction of six-foot high solid wood fencing along the Highway 1 frontage to "screen" vehicles and facilities on site. Staff notes that solid wood fences are themselves development with their own visual impacts. Thus, the proposal does not actually screen development from public viewing, but rather trades one visual impact for another. The proliferation of fences along the Big Sur coast is concerning and we would not be supportive of any project that includes solid fencing within the critical viewshed.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the MND for the proposed use and development of the Morgenrath property in Big Sur Valley. We hope that these comments prove useful as the County evaluates the project for consistency with the LCP. If you have any questions or would like to further discuss this matter, please don't hesitate to contact me at (831) 427-4898.

Regards,

minut

Mike Watson Coastal Planner Central Coast District Office