## Exhibit D



#### Policy No. NCLUP-ML-2.1 (General Policy)

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
Infrastructure improvements necessary to	Infrastructure improvements necessary to	Infrastructure improvements necessary to
accommodate new development shall not	accommodate new development shall not be	accommodate new development shall not be the
burden the exiting community and shall	the financial responsibility of burden the	financial responsibility of the existing
be funded by those development(s) at the	existing community and shall be funded by	community and shall be funded by the new
time of construction.	newthose development(s) at the time of	development at the time of construction.
	construction.	

**Narrative**: Time of construction will be explained in the CIP. <u>Clarify Community wanted the policy</u> to address direct impacts v cumulative impacts. <u>It was Written</u> broadly to accommodate both project and cumulative; <u>CIP will lay out details for both</u>. GP 2010 PS 1.1 has similar language.

Staff will provide regulatory provisions in the CIP that include details where the community sought more clarity.

Community Input: Community suggests that the demand of improvements on properties owned by "others" is addressed. I.e. Only allowed pursuant to Subdivision Map Act, which outlines the procedure. Marc will provide draft policy. The Harbor District will provide draft policy.

Suggests-"Accommodate new development" should be clarified to address impacts resulting from the development. Staff will also clarify in CIP. "Necessary" could be replaced with "required", but necessary is broader.

No consensus on policy language was reached.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing Community

Toncy 110: 11CECT -11E-2.2		
2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
Light Commercial and Recreation and Visitor-	Light Commercial and Recreation and	New development in Light Commercial and
Serving Commercial uses shall be developed in	Visitor-Serving Commercial uses shall be	the Recreation and Visitor-Serving
the Moss Landing Community Plan area in	developed in the Moss Landing	Commercial <u>land use designations</u> shall
accordance with the following provisions.	Community Plan area in accordance with	be <u>allowed</u> developed in accordance with
a. Permit a total of up to 150 hotel/motel units	the following provisions:	the following provisions:
counting from the date of certification of the	a. Permit a total of up to (120-	a. Permit a total of up to (1120-
original 1982 Land Use Plan based on	Captain's Inn Units) 150 hotel/motel units	Captain's Inn Units) hotel/motelovernight
available land and wastewater collection	counting from the date of certification of	accommodation unitsafter plan
system capacity. These shall generally be	the original 1982 Land Use Plan based on	<u>certification</u> . These Hotel and Motel units
provided by several smaller establishments	available land and wastewater collection	shall be provided by several smaller
not exceeding 30 units each.	system capacity. These shall generally be	establishments not exceeding 30 units each.
b. Encourage the expansion and improvement	provided by several smaller	Hotel and Motel units shall not be
of existing recreation and visitor-serving	establishments not exceeding 30 units	concentrated in any area of the community.
facilities (are these defined? Did this mean	each.	Bed and Breakfast facilities shall be limited
to include more than hotel/motel units? Like	b. Encourage the expansion and	to no more than ten guest rooms.
kayak, fishing, bird watching, whale	improvement of existing recreation and	b. Encourage— <u>(revise to include all</u>
watching, and active recreation	visitor-serving facilities consistent with	development) iImprovement of existing
opportunities. Clarify and bring over to	the community character.	recreation and visitor serving commercial
<u>changed policy</u> ).	c. Design and locate new commercial	facilities shall be prioritized consistent with
c. Design and locate new commercial visitor-	visitor-serving facilities to minimize	the community character
serving facilities to minimize traffic and	traffic and natural resource impacts.	c. Design and locate new commercial
natural resource impacts.	d. Encourage the development of low	visitor serving facilities (add all
d. Encourage the development of low and	and moderate-cost commercial recreation	<u>commercial uses</u> ) to minimize traffic and
moderate-cost commercial recreation and	and visitor-serving facilities in preference	natural resource impacts. (see note on "a"
visitor-serving facilities in preference to	to high cost facilities.	<u>re distribution)</u>
high cost facilities.	e. Encourage mixed use commercial	d. Encourage the development of low
e. Encourage mixed use commercial	development that includes housing units.	and moderate-cost commercial recreation
development that includes housing units.	f. Encourage development of commercial	and visitor-serving facilities in preference
f. Encourage development of commercial	uses that provideing necessary goods and	to high cost facilities.
uses providing necessary service to coastal	services to coastal¬ dependent industries	

dependent industries such as commercial fishing, aquaculture, and energy production, and commercial facilities providing goods and services related to the use of local recreational opportunities.

and such as commercial fishing, aquaculture, and energy production, and commercial facilities providing goods and services related to the use of local recreational uses opportunities.

- e. Encourage mixed use commercial development that includes housing units.
- f. Encourage development of commercial uses that provide necessary goods and services to coastal¬ dependent industries and local recreational uses.

Narrative: Staff updated the units to reflect the current number of hotel/motel units and cleaned up the language to be more precise. Captain's Inn permitted for 10 units. CIP regulations would only permit B&Bs in Light Commercial and hotel/motels in Visitor Serving Commercial zoning districts. Community asked if the CIP will include a master list of permitted/tracking list of hotel/motel uses? Staff's response: Yes, we could develop a tracking list for ML's permitted uses.

Staff intent is to allow only B&B uses in Light Commercial and hotel/motels in VSC...in CIP.

Community Input: Community requested, and staff concurred, that permitted units would be tracked. Community inquired whether there should be a cap on hotel/motel units if there's no limitation to wastewater?

The community's intent was to ensure that visitor lodging units are included in the North Harbor area to avoid impacts to the community's roads. This was addressed by changes to "a" and the intent to limit hotels/motels to VSC.

Community asked if there's no limitation to wastewater, should we have a cap on hotel/motel units? Staff's response: Yes to maintain the scale of the community.

Community concern with commercial uses expanding without traffic considerations (Condition of Moss Landing Road and LOS of roads. First, SR1. Second, condition of ML Rd. Third, intersection (back up) of Pieri Court. Historical knowledge from Del Piero...traffic and spreading out of potential units "point impact". Also wastewater allocation.). All addressed by changes to "a" and intent to limit hotels/motels to VSC.

**Area Subject to Policy:** Light Commercial and Recreation and Visitor-Serving Commercial land use designations in Moss Landing Community

#### Policy No. NCLUP-ML-2.3

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey may provide	The County of Monterey may shall provide	The County of Monterey shall-may provide
economic development incentives when	economic development incentives when	economic development incentives when
available to coastal-dependent and	available to coastal-dependent and _related	available to coastal-dependent and -related
related industrial uses that expand	industrial uses that: 1. expand within existing	industrial and commercial uses that: 1. expand
within existing industrial sites and/or	industrial sites; and/or 2.that reuse by-	within existing industrial sites; and/or 2. reuse
that reuse by-products such as waste	products such as waste heat, water, exhaust	by-products from within the Moss Landing
heat, water, exhaust gas, or other	gas, or other resources from adjacent	Community; and/or 3); support job growth or
resources from adjacent industrial	industrial processes within the Moss Landing	sustainability.
processes.	Community.	

**Narrative**: Staff revised the policy to be more directive to support job growth or sustainable industrial uses. Converting intensive uses to coastal dependent/related uses.

Community Input: The community came close to agreement on the language, but consensus not yet reached.

Close, but consensus not yet reached.

Area Subject to Policy: Industrial Land Use Areas in Moss Landing Community

#### Policy No. NCLUP-ML-2.4

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey shall encourage the	The County of Monterey shall encourage	The County of Monterey shall encourage the
efficient use of existing industrial areas by	the efficient use of existing industrial areas	efficient use of existing industrial areas by
permitting new or expanded coastal-	by permitting new or expanded coastal-	permitting new or expanded coastal dependent
dependent industrial facilities, consistent	dependent industrial facilities, consistent	industrial facilities provided:
with Coastal Act §30260 and provided:	with Coastal Act §30260 and	a. Alternative locations are infeasible or
a. Alternative locations are infeasible or	provided facilities provided:	more environmentally damaging;
more environmentally damaging;	a. Alternative locations are infeasible	b. To do otherwise would adversely
b. To do otherwise would adversely affect	or more environmentally damaging;	affect the public welfare; and
the public welfare; and	b. To do otherwise would adversely	c. Adverse environmental effects are
c. Adverse environmental effects are	affect the public welfare; and	mitigated to the maximum extent feasible.
mitigated to the maximum extent	c. Adverse environmental effects are	[Research Coastal Act]review consistency
feasible	mitigated to the maximum extent feasible.	with previous policy 2.3

Narrative: Language used is from the Coastal Act Section 30260. Appears to be in conflict with previous 2017 draft Policy NCLUP ML2.3, especially a & b. Appears to be in conflict with Policy NCLUP-ML-2.3, especially a & b. Delete due to Coastal Act redundancy. — Revise the section consistent with the Coastal Act.

Community Input: Some suggested to delete due to Coastal Act redundancy; others suggested to revise the policy to be consistent with the Coastal Act. Consensus not yet reached.

Consensus not yet reached.

Area Subject to Policy: Industrial Land Use Areas in Moss Landing Community

## Policy No. NCLUP-ML-2.5

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input	
The County of Monterey shall require the submittal	The County of Monterey shall require the submittal and	The County of Monterey	
and approval of a General Development Plan prior	approval of a General Development Plan prior to	shall require the -approval	
to considering future expansion, improvement, or	considering future expansion, improvement, or other	of a General	
other development of heavy industrial facilities	development of heavy industrial facilities within the	Development Plan prior	
within the Moss Landing Community Plan. This	Moss Landing Community Plan. This policy shall not	to considering future	
policy shall not be construed to require disclosure in	be construed to require disclosure in the General	expansion, improvement,	
the General Development Plans of trade secrets,	Development Plans of trade secrets, proprietary or	or other development of	
proprietary or confidential information, but only	confidential information, but only location of buildings	industrial facilities within	
location of buildings and other land use matters	and other land use matters necessary for planning	the Moss Landing	
necessary for planning purposes.	<del>purposes.</del>	Community Plan.	
Normative Ctoff assume and a CDD for all industrial facilities and just be any industrial facilities. The last contains that was deleted will be			

**Narrative**: Staff recommends a GDP for all industrial facilities, not just heavy industrial facilities. The last sentence that was deleted will be addressed in the CIP.

Community Input: No comments received. <u>Unclear is consensus was reached.</u>

Area Subject to Policy: Industrial Land Use Areas in Moss Landing Community

#### Policy No. NCLUP-ML-2.6

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey shall limit development west	Delete Policy.	The County of Monterey shall limit development west of
of State Route 1 and east of the Moss Landing Harbor		State Route 1 and east of the Moss Landing Harbor to
to improvements or modifications that are compatible		improvements or modifications that are compatible with
with the road right-or-way and visual character of the		the road right or way and visual character of the
community.		community.

Narrative: The area west of State Hwy 1 and east of ML harbor is considered within the scenic highway corridor of the NCLUP. Therefore, NCLUP Policy No. 2.2.4 (3) and (4) applies and this should be deleted to reduce redundancy.

For reference, see the following policy language: NCLUP Policy No 2.2.4 (3) states: "Areas within or immediately adjacent to designated scenic highways, routes, and waterways should be zoned with a district that allows only visually compatible uses and development. No uses or development except as otherwise provided should be allowed that are visually intrusive and detract from the scenic character of the scenic corridor." NCLUP Policy No 2.2.4 (4) states: "Highway I from Marina to the County line at the Pajaro River should be officially designated as a State Scenic Highway and the visual character of the adjacent scenic corridor should be preserved, and where feasible restored."

The area west of State Hwy 1 and east of ML harbor is considered within the scenic highway corridor, therefore, NCLUP Policy No. 2.2.4 (3)(4) applies and this should be deleted to reduce redundancy. For reference, see the following policy language: NCLUP Policy No 2.2.4 (3) Areas within or immediately adjacent to designated scenic highways, routes, and waterways should be zoned with a district that allows only visually compatible uses and development. No uses or development except as otherwise provided should be allowed that are visually intrusive and detract from the scenic character of the scenic corridor. (4) Highway 1 from Marina to the County line at the Pajaro River should be officially designated as a State Scenic Highway and the visual character of the adjacent scenic corridor should be preserved, and where feasible restored.

Community Input: Consensus reached. Some members requested that Caltrans designate the portion of Hwy 1 in the MLCP area as a State Scenic Highway.

Consensus reached but community requests Caltrans designate Hwy 1 portion in plan area as a State Scenic Highway.

Area Subject to Policy: N/A

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey shall work with	The County of Monterey shall work with the	The County of Monterey shall prohibit a ensure
he California Public Utilities	California Public Utilities Commission to	that any future development of a transmission
Commission to ensure that any future	ensure that any future development of a	line from the Moss Landing Power Plant across
development of a transmission line from	transmission line from the Moss Landing	Elkhorn Slough. [include in NCLUP Policies] is
he Moss Landing Power Plant across	Power Plant across Elkhorn Slough is	compatible with the research and educational us
Elkhorn Slough is compatible with the	compatible with the research and educational	of the Elkhorn Slough National Estuarine
research and educational use of the	use of the Elkhorn Slough National Estuarine	Research Reserve and permitted only if:
Elkhorn Slough National Estuarine	Research Reserve and permitted only if:	a. Alternative locations are infeasible or
Research Reserve and permitted only if:	a. Alternative locations are infeasible or	more environmentally damaging;
	more environmentally damaging;	b. To do otherwise would adversely affect
a. Alternative locations are infeasible	b. To do otherwise would adversely	the public welfare; and
or more environmentally damaging;	affect the public welfare; and	c. Adverse environmental effects are
	c. Adverse environmental effects are	mitigated to the maximum extent feasible.
b. To do otherwise would adversely affect the public welfare; and	mitigated to the maximum extent feasible.	
<b>c.</b> Adverse environmental effects are mitigated to the maximum extent feasible.		

Narrative: Should be moved to overall LUP as it affects areas mostly outside the ML community.

Community Input: Community suggests to prohibit future development of a transmission line.

Area Subject to Policy: North County

## Policy No. NCLUP -ML-2.8

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey shall not permit	The County of Monterey shall not permit	No The County supports limiting new [existing
construction of new cooling water	construction of No new cooling water	and/or new]cooling water discharge outfalls shall
discharge outfalls in Elkhorn Slough. If	discharge outfalls shall be allowed in	be allowed in all Elkhorn Sloughs to protect
the existing discharge rate is to be	Elkhorn Slough. If the existing discharge	<u>natural resources</u> . If the existing discharge rate
increased, environmental studies should	rate <u>from the Power Plant</u> is to be increased,	from the Power Plant is to be increased,
be undertaken to determine the effect.	environmental studies shallould be	environmental studies shall be undertaken to
	undertaken to determine the effect.	determine the effect.

**Narrative**: This is a carry-over policy from the currently approved MLCP (Policy No. 5.5.3.3). There is one existing Staff knows of the cooling outfall from the power plant, however, are there other cooling outfalls? Need to clarify baseline for existing facilities.

Community Input: Consensus not reached. Violates PC Act? Research

**Area Subject to Policy:** Moss Landing Community

#### Policy No. NCLUP - ML-2.9

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
Development in the Moss Landing	Development that intensifies uses in the	Development that intensifies the need for
Business Park shall include a pedestrian	Moss Landing Business Park shall include a	pedestrian uses in the Moss Landing Business
connection between Moss Landing	pedestrian connection between Moss	Park shall include a pedestrian connection
Business Park and the west side of State	Landing Business Park and the west side of	between Moss Landing Business Park and the
Route 1. The pedestrian connection shall	State Route 1. The pedestrian connection	west side of State Route 1. The pedestrian
be designed to be consistent with adopted	shall be designed to be consistent with	connection shall be designed to maintain the
design guidelines and to otherwise	adopted design guidelines and to otherwise	visual quality of the community.
maintain the visual quality of the	maintain the visual quality of the community	
community to the extent feasible.	to the extent feasible.	

Narrative: Changes were made to provide more clarification and be more precise. Details will be added in CIP.

Community Input: Property owner suggests deleting policy due to inability to obtain permission from Caltrans. Would be open to pay fair share portion of expense if designed and approved. Be cautious of using term "development" because it is such a broad term in the coastal zone. Intensification of pedestrian use in this case. Should historic use be considered as baseline? Obtain clear statement from Caltrans on ability to do some connection. Needed by community to get to east side. Broaden out to full community need?

Community consensus to develop a policy requiring highway connection and new projects will fund fair share...desires Caltrans to install connection as component of any modification to highway 1.

Area Subject to Policy: Highway One between Business Park and Downtown

#### Policy No. NCLUP-ML-2.10

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
Development in the Moss Landing	Total Development development in the Moss	Total development in the Moss Landing
Business Park shall be limited to 190,000	Landing Business Park shall be limited to	Business Park shall be limited to 1,000,000
square feet of structure(s) and 25,000 gpd	190,000 1,000,000 square feet of structure(s)	square feet of habitable structure(s) or 25,000
wastewater.	and or 25,000 gpd wastewater, whichever is	gpd wastewater, whichever is more restrictive-
	more restrictive.	

**Narrative**: The site, under the current LCP, can have approximately 4,000,000 square feet of structural coverage. Multi-floor structures could cause this number to greatly increase. For purposes of limitation and to establish a level of development to analyze in the EIR, a limit of  $\frac{2,000,000}{1,000,000}$  square feet would be established by this policy. The existing structural development on the site is over 300,000 square feet.

Most of the site is outside the area that would be subject to sea level rise and other impacts associated with climate change.

The 2009 Citizens committee recommended 25,000 gpd of wastewater for the business park (recommendation No. 12). The wastewater limitations in the area would allow use of up to 25,000 gallons per day from this site without imposing limitations on the uses in the rest of the Community Plan area. The calculations for water use in the business park is 0.02 gpd/square foot. Therefore, water use would be approximately 40,000 20,000 gpd. Wastewater is estimated to be 90% of water use; therefore, wastewater demand would be 36,000 gpd. This 11,000 gpd increase is within the physical limitation of the wastewater system and within the calculated wastewater needs for planned community buildout.

Property owner desires 2M square feet of floor area. According to the owner, non habitable structures are also on the site currently...approximately half a million square feet. The owner requested flexibility in the policy to exceed 2M square feet of FAR and that any additional wastewater demand would be funded by the property owner.

Property owner desires 1.3M square feet, not 1.0M, of coverage. Non-habitable structures are also on the site currently...approximately half a million square feet. Include 1.3M limitation only for purposes of MLCP EIR. Also, requests exception as authorized by a subsequent GDP and permitting and additional environmental review. Any additional wastewater demand would be funded by the property owner.

Community Input: Some desire to keep cap; others allow more flexibility. No consensus on policy language was reached.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing Business Park

## Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.11

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey shall, in	The County of Monterey shall, in	The County of Monterey supports the
consultation with the Moss Landing	consultation with the Moss Landing Harbor	development of appropriate recreation uses and
Harbor District, promote the	District, promote supports the development of	visitor-serving uses in the Harbor area and the
development of recreation and visitor-	appropriate recreation uses and visitor-	improvement of public recreational boating
serving commercial uses in the North	serving <del>commercial</del> uses in the <del>North</del> Harbor	facilities.
Harbor area and the improvement of	area and the improvement of public	
public recreational boating facilities.	recreational boating facilities.	

**Narrative**: The policy has been modified to reflect the County's intent to support, rather than promote, appropriate uses. Also, this policy is expanded to all harbor areas.

**Community Input:** FANS requested prohibiting jet skis, but Harbors and Navigation Code preclude us from regulating watercraft. Consensus not yet reached on final language.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing Harbor

## Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.12

·		
2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey shall, in	The County of Monterey shall, in	The County of Monterey encourages the use of
consultation with the Moss Landing	consultation with the Moss Landing Harbor	existing piers for water access and recreational
Harbor District, encourage the use of	District, encourages the use of existing piers	purposes when compatible with commercial
existing piers for access and recreational	for water access and recreational purposes	fishing uses.
purposes when compatible with	when compatible with commercial fishing	
commercial fishing uses.	uses.	

Narrative: Modified to reflect the County's action.

Community Input: Unclear if No consensus reached.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing Harbor

#### Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.13

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey shall, in	The County of Monterey shall, in	The County of Monterey supports full use of
consultation with the Moss Landing	consultation with the Moss Landing Harbor	harbor facilities to accommodate appropriate
Harbor District, encourage the full use of	District, encourage the supports full use of	maritime activities, such as commercial fishing,
harbor facilities to accommodate	harbor facilities to accommodate appropriate	recreational boating, and visitor-serving
maritime activities, commercial fishing,	maritime activities, such as commercial	accommodations.
recreational boating, and visitor-serving	fishing, recreational boating, and visitor-	
accommodations—all while protecting	serving accommodations—all while	
environmentally sensitive habitat areas.	protecting environmentally sensitive habitat	
	areas.	
NT /8 T		1 0 1 1 111

**Narrative**: Last portion deleted because it is covered in existing policies. Modified to reflect the County's action. Procedures will be included in the CIP, including consultation with all applicable agencies.

Community Input: FANS suggests keeping this last portion of last sentence for clarification. Check ESHA maps for harbor facility areas. This may also justify deletion of portion of sentence. Consensus not yet reached.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing Harbor

#### Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.14

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey shall, in consultation	The County of Monterey shall, in consultation	The County of Monterey supports
with the Moss Landing Harbor District,	with the Moss Landing Harbor District, promote	structural bulkheading, not including
promote structural bulkheading, not including	supports structural bulkheading, not including	rip rap, where necessary to prevent
rip rap, where necessary to prevent erosion and	rip rap, where necessary to prevent erosion and	erosion and protect the Harbor
to maximize use of available shoreline in the	to maximize use of available protect the Harbor	shoreline.
Harbor.	shoreline in the Harbor.	

**Narrative**: Modified to reflect the County's action. Procedures will be included in the CIP, including consultation with all applicable agencies.

**Community Input:** Consensus reached.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing Harbor shoreline

## Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.15

Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey, in consultation	The County of Monterey supports the
with the Moss Landing Harbor District and	development of a public parking facility at a
private property owners, shall promote	location near the northwest end of the Island.
supports the development of a public parking	
facility at a location near the northwest end	
of the Island.	
	The County of Monterey, in consultation with the Moss Landing Harbor District and private property owners, shall promote supports the development of a public parking facility at a location near the northwest end

Narrative: Modified to reflect the County's action. Where could the parking area go? What about "weekend" parking? Would this qualify?

**Community Input:** Consensus reached.

Area Subject to Policy: Island Neighborhood

#### Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.16 2017 Version **Staff's Changes Community Input** The County of Monterey supports the Harbor Due to the limited capacity of State Due to the limited capacity of State Route 1, Route 1, the County of Monterey, in <u>t</u>The County of Monterey<u>, in coordination</u> District's plans to curtail expansion of Moss with the Moss Landing Harbor District, Landing's in-water harbor facilities Moss coordination with the Moss Landing Landing Harbor south of Sandholdt Bridge. This Harbor District, shall curtail expansion of shall supports the Harbor District's plans to Moss Landing Harbor south of Sandholdt curtail expansion of Moss Landing Harbor policy shall not limit development of land-based

harbor facilities on Assessor's Parcel Number

133 212 009 000 (APN XXX-XXX-XXX-XXX) owned by the Harbor District.

**Narrative**: Modified to reflect the County's position to protect the Old Salinas river channel environment consistent with the Harbor District's master plan.

south of Sandholdt Bridge.

Community Input: Change colors for Figure ML 6 to distinguish land based harbor facility and h2o and water facilities. Should the policy include intent? Already covered in other policies (which ones?). Harbor District stated that boats cannot get under the bridge.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing Harbor (south area)

Bridge.

#### Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.17

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey, in coordination	The County of Monterey, in coordination	The County of Monterey shall ensure that the
with the Moss Landing Harbor District,	with the Moss Landing Harbor District, shall	proposed development use of existing land based
shall ensure that the use of existing land-	ensure that the use of existing land-based	facilities-that supports commercial boating does
based facilities that support commercial	facilities that support commercial boating do	not <u>diminish or compromise</u> <del>jeopardize</del> public
boating do not jeopardize the protection	not jeopardize the protection of public access	access.
of public access to the shoreline.	to the shoreline.	

**Narrative**: Modified to reflect the County's action. NOTE: Check Public Access Policies to see if we need to delete this policy. Intent to provide equal weight to both commercial boating and public access.

Staff's recommendation for the 2/7/19 Community meeting is to delete as this policy is covered under Policy 6.4.A.3, which states "Siting and design of development proposals in order to protect public access opportunities will be required in the permit process. Modifications to a project may be required if access cannot be otherwise protected. Existing access ways or trails can be rerouted or improved when formally dedicated in order to provide flexibility to the property owner in the use of his land, provided the rerouting does not diminish reasonable public use, enjoyment, and is consistent with policy D-1 and H-1 of this section."

Community Input: Intent to provide equal weight to both commercial boating and public access.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing Harbor.

#### Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.18

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
New development shall avoid environmental	Delete.	New development shall avoid environmental
damage to the extent feasible that would		damage to the extent feasible that would
otherwise result from construction and		otherwise result from construction and
deconstruction activities on lands adjacent to		deconstruction activities on lands adjacent to
Moss Landing Harbor, including pile driving,		Moss Landing hHarbor, including pile driving,
sheet pile installation, and the physical		sheet pile installation, and the physical
disturbance of potentially contaminated		disturbance of potentially contaminated marine
marine sediments. Where avoidance is not		sediments. Where avoidance is not feasible,
feasible, developments shall implement		developments shall implement measures to
measures to reduce environmental damage.		reduce environmental damage.

Narrative: Redundant with requirements of CA Environmental Quality Act. Staff recommendation was to delete because policies within NCLUP Sections 2.3 and 2.4 protect these resources.

Community has two positions: delete or keep. Keep language: When CCC takes jurisdiction the County's determination is a nullity. CCC would review the LUP policies and makes its determination based on these policies. Delete policy since it calls out the harbor district and its facilities to the exclusion for all others making an application. What is CCC position: Only looked at 2017 version (not staff's recommended changes). Will need to review updated version.

#### Community Input: There were two main positions; delete or keep.

- Keep: When CCC takes jurisdiction the County's CEQA determination is a nullity. CCC would review the LUP policies and makes its determination based on these policies.
- Delete: This policy calls out the harbor district and its facilities to the exclusion of all others making an application. Policies under NCLUP Sections 2.3 and 2.4 require protection of environmentally sensitive habitat and the protection of resources related to diking, dredging, filling and shoreline structures.

**Area Subject to Policy:** Moss Landing Harbor and adjacent lands

#### Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.20

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
Optimum use of the existing harbor area	Optimum Monterey County supports	Monterey County supports use of the existing
should be compatible with conservation of the	use of the existing harbor area should	harbor area that is compatible with conservation
most sensitive and viable wetlands.	bethat is compatible with conservation	of the most sensitive wetlands.
	of the most sensitive and viable	
	wetlands.	Monterey County supports use of the existing
		harbor area that is compatible with conservation
		of the most sensitive wetlands.

**Narrative**: Modified to reflect the County's action. Staff recommends deletion because policies within NCLUP Sections 2.3 and 2.4 protect these resources.

Community Input: Harbor District Comment: Conflicts with Harbor District Statute, recommends deletion.

What is the defined harbor area?

Where and what type of sensitive wetlands?

This policy may be redundant as amended and can be deleted.

Keep or expand to all areas?

What is the purpose, are there unintended consequences to this policy?

Is Policy intended to protect harbor or wetlands?

No community consensus.

Revised policy language during community discussion: Monterey County supports development and use that is compatible with conservation and preservation of sensitive wetlands.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing

## Policy No. NCLUP ML-2.21

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
If the Moss Landing Harbor District	If the Moss Landing Harbor District	The County of Monterey supports exploring
proposes additional berthing facilities,	proposes additional berthing facilities,	<u>alternative methods to encourage improving tidal</u>
the County of Monterey will participate	the The County of Monterey will participate	flow and sediment transport from the North
in evaluating methods to improve tidal	in evaluating methods to supports	Harbor as a means of improving capacity of the
flow and sediment transport from the	improveing-tidal flow and sediment	North Harbor to minimize the need for dredging.
North Harbor as a means of improving	transport from the North Harbor as a means	
capacity of the North Harbor to minimize	of improving capacity of the North Harbor to	
the need for dredging. One possible	minimize the need for dredging. One	
method would be expansion of the	possible method would be expansion of the	
existing culvert under Jetty Road.	existing culvert under Jetty Road.	
Nametive: Medified to reflect the County	a action Last contange acreared by North Count	v. I. I.ID Dollov, 2. 4. 4. 2

Narrative: Modified to reflect the County's action. Last sentence covered by North County LUP Policy 2.4.4.3

Community Input: Some community members recommend deletion of policy as natural processes have not worked in the past. Some community members recommend editing policy and pursue natural alternative methods/developing natural techniques using the scientific community. No community consensus.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing Harbor

Formatted: Font: Bold

#### Policy No. NCLUP ML 2.22

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
When the County of Monterey reviews	Delete.	When the County of Monterey reviews
applications or environmental documents		applications or environmental documents from
from the Moss Landing Harbor District		the Moss Landing Harbor District to upgrade or
to upgrade or develop recreational		develop recreational boating support facilities,
boating support facilities, the County		the County shall consider methods to conserve
shall consider methods to conserve		sensitive mudflat habitats.
sensitive mudflat habitats.		

Narrative: Covered by North County LUP Policies listed under 2.3.2 and Policy 2.3.3.b.

**Community Input:** MBARI/Harbor District agrees with deleting. Harbor District recommends adding a separate policy addressing restoration of wetlands by State agencies (scour of slough and erosion).

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing

## Policy No. NCLUP ML 2.23

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
The County of Monterey shall work with	The County of Monterey shall work	The County of Monterey shall work
property owners to preserve and maintain	withsupports efforts by property owners to	with supports efforts by property owners to
all fish handling and processing facilities	preserve and maintain all fish handling and	preserve and maintain all fish handling and
on the Island.	processing facilities on the Island.	processing facilities on the Island.

Narrative: Modified to reflect the County's action.

**Community Input:** Replace with 5.3.1. Review list in 5.3.2 and 5.3.3 5.6.3.1 to see they are still needed. Remove 5.3.3.6. If we keep 5.3.3.1, add reference to MBARI & MLML. NOTE: Harbor District will work with MBARI on list review.

Area Subject to Policy: Island Neighborhood

## Policy No. NCLUP ML 2.24

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
Monterey County shall require new development on the Island to provide either on-site parking or a dedicated offsite parking facility. Shared parking may be considered where it can be reserved for the use.	No change.	Monterey County shall require new development on the Island to provide either on-site parking or a dedicated off-site parking facility. Shared parking may be considered where it can be reserved for the use.

**Narrative:** Discuss with community. This is essentially part of old policy 5.3.3.9 with flexibility to provide off-site or shared parking.

Area Subject to Policy: Island Neighborhood

## Policy No. NCLUP ML 2.25

2017 Version	Staff's Changes	Community Input
For any new development project where	For any new development project where	For any new development project where exterior
exterior lights are proposed to be	exterior lights are proposed to be installed	lights are proposed to be installed along wharfs,
installed along wharfs, piers, docks,	along wharfs, piers, docks, approach trestles,	piers, docks, approach trestles, or buildings
approach trestles, or buildings adjacent to	or buildings adjacent to or located on wharfs	adjacent to or located on wharfs or piers, exterior
or located on wharfs or piers, exterior	or piers, exterior lighting shall be limited to	Exterior lighting shall be limited to fully
lighting shall be limited to fully shielded,	fully shielded, low voltage, narrow	shielded, lights that protect marine life, and
low voltage, narrow-wavelength band	wavelength band-lights that protect marine	direct light away from aquatic habitat and the
lights that protect marine life, and direct	life, and direct light away from aquatic	sky.
light away from aquatic habitat and the	habitat and the sky.	
sky.	-	

Narrative: Allow flexibility for other lighting solutions-that protect marine life.

Community Input: "Fully shielded" should be clarified.

Area Subject to Policy: Moss Landing

# This page intentionally left blank