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# Pinnacle Traffic Engineering <br> 831 C Street <br> Hollister, California 95023 <br> (831) 638-9260 • PinnacleTE.com 

March 2, 2020
c/o: Alvaro Gonzalez
Mr. Edmundo Duran
135 Allison Street
Watsonville, CA 95076
RE: Duran Cold Storage Expansion Project (PLN190077); Monterey County, CA Project Traffic Assessment

Dear Mr. Duran,
Pinnacle Traffic Engineering (PTE) is pleased to submit the traffic assessment for the proposed cold storage expansion project in Monterey County. The project site is located on the southwest corner of the San Juan Road and Allison Road intersection in the unincorporated area east of Pajaro (135 Allison Road). The existing cold storage facility (7,410 SF) operates between 8:00 AM and 10:00 PM with 5 employees ( 7 employees during peak season). It's estimated the existing operations accommodate 1012 trucks per day. The project includes the construction of additional cold storage and warehouse space $(+/-7,000 \mathrm{SF})$ and a new office building ( $+/-1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ). The project description indicates there are no proposed changes to the existing operations, with a possible increase of 2-3 employees and 24 daily trucks. The general location of the project site is shown on Figure 1.

The Monterey County Resource Management Agency (RMA) has requested a traffic assessment to evaluate the potential impacts associated with the proposed project (letters dated Nov. 25 \& 27, 2019). The traffic assessment scope was developed in consultation with County staff (Raul Martinez). The traffic assessment focuses on peak hour traffic operations and access at the San Juan Road / Allison Road intersection.

## Existing Conditions

San Juan Road is an east-west Major Road (G-11) with a single lane in each direction. San Juan Road extends east from Main Street - Porter Drive to US 101 north of Prunedale. San Juan Road has a twoway left turn lane west of Allison Road with a 45 miles per hour (mph) speed limit. San Juan Road east of Allison Road has a 55 mph speed limit. There is a shared left-through-right lane on each approach at the San Juan Road / Allison Road intersection and Allison Road is stop sign controlled on the northbound approach at San Juan Road. Allison Road is a rural collector roadway extending south of San Juan Road to Railroad Avenue.

New traffic count data was collected at the San Juan Road / Allison Road intersection to document existing conditions (7-9 AM \& 4-6 PM). The data collection also included the appropriate truck traffic data. Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) data for San Juan Road and Allison Road was referenced from the County's 2018 traffic count book. The existing traffic volumes are illustrated on Figure 2. Copies of the traffic count data are attached.

Various "level of service" (LOS) methodologies are used to evaluate traffic operations. Operating conditions range from LOS "A" (free-flowing) to LOS "F" (forced-flow). A brief description of the LOS values is attached. Monterey County has adopted the LOS D standard as the lower limit for acceptable operations. The evaluation of "peak hour" intersection operations is based on vehicle "control" delay (Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition). Vehicle delays at un-signalized intersections are estimated for the overall peak hour operations (average delay) and each "critical" movement (e.g. stop sign controlled approaches \& main line left turn). The intersection LOS analysis was conducted using the Synchro 10 software. The appropriate "peak hour factor" (PHF) parameters and percentage large trucks were applied to the analysis to accurately reflect existing conditions. The results of the existing intersection LOS analysis are presented in Table 1. Copies of the vehicle delay criterion for un-signalized intersections and the Synchro 10 LOS worksheets are attached.

Table 1 - Existing Intersection LOS Analysis

| Study Intersection | Average Delay - LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| San Juan Rd. / Allison Rd. | $0.9-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.0-\mathrm{A}$ |
| NB Approach (a)- | $(16.7-\mathrm{C})$ | $(14.7-\mathrm{B})$ |

(a) Highest stop controlled approach delay in parenthesis

Average vehicle delays and delays on the northbound approach of Allison Road are within acceptable limits, as defined by Monterey County (LOS C or better).

A sample of vehicle speeds on San Juan Road was recorded under "free-flowing" conditions. Average speeds in both the east and westbound directions was 50 mph , with an $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 54 mph (both directions). A copy of the vehicle speed data is attached. The evaluation of access on San Juan Road included a review of sight distance at Allison Road. The Caltrans sight distance criterion are described in the Highway Design Manual (HDM). Stopping sight distance is the minimum distance required by a driver to bring a vehicle to a complete stop after an object on the road has become visible (Table 201.1). Corner sight distance is the minimum time required for a waiting vehicle (e.g. on a side street) to either cross all lanes of through traffic or cross the near lanes and turn left or right without requiring the through traffic to radically alter their speed (Table 405.1A).

San Juan Road has a relatively straight east-west horizontal alignment and level vertical alignment near Allision Road. Therefore, east and westbound vehicles on San Juan Road have sufficient stopping sight distance at Allison Road. There are no fixed objects that obstruct visibility looking east from the
northbound approach on Allison Road. Therefore, the corner sight distance looking east at westbound vehicles on San Juan Road is sufficient for vehicles on Allison Road.

The controlling line-of-sight from the northbound approach on Allison Road looking west at eastbound vehicles on San Juan Road is an existing wood fence (around the project site). As requested by County staff, the evaluation of access at the San Juan Road / Allison Road intersection considers the future improvements identified in the Active Transportation Plan for Monterey County (TAMC, June 2018). Class II bike lane improvements are proposed on San Juan Road. Therefore, the corner sight distance evaluation looking west was conducted using a 15 ' setback from the existing south curb line west of Allison Road ( $+/-30^{\prime}$ south of the eastbound shoulder line east of Allison Road). The corner sight distance was measured at $+/-200^{\prime}$, which is adequate for only $20-25 \mathrm{mph}$. The corner sight distance required for 50 mph is 550 ' (Caltrans HDM, Table 405.1 A ). The corner of the existing fence should be relocated to the south (at least 5-10') to improve the line-of-sight looking west from the northbound approach on Allison Road. The existing sight distance conditions are shown on Figure 3.

The evaluation of access at the San Juan Road / Allison Road intersection includes a review of the County's left turn lane warrant (Guide for the Preparation of Traffic Impact Studies, 2014). The existing volumes on San Juan Road (Figure 2) were referenced for the left turn lane warrant analysis. The County's left turn lane warrant is a function of the peak hour left turn volume and total daily traffic on the main road (AADT). The existing westbound left turn volume is 21 vehicles per hour (vph) in the AM peak hour and 11 vph in the PM peak hour. The existing AADT was plotted on the County's left turn lane warrant graph (copy attached). The graph indicates the left turn lane warrant is satisfied on San Juan Road (both directions) under existing conditions ( $>5 \mathrm{vph}$ ).

## Project Trip Generation Estimates and Traffic Volumes

The project trip generation estimates were derived using trip rate data in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual ( $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition). The applicable ITE trip generation rates and project trip generation estimates are provided in Table 2.

Table 2 - ITE Trip Generation Rates and Project Trip Generation Estimates

| \multicolumn{1}{\|c|}{ ITE Trip Rate / Project Land Use } | Number of Vehicle Trips |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Pk. Hr. | PM Pk. Hr. | Daily |  |  |
|  | In | Out |  | Out |  |
| ITE \#150 - Warehouse (a) | 0.131 | 0.039 | 0.051 | 0.139 | 1.74 |
| ITE \#710 - Office Building (a) | 0.998 | 0.162 | 0.184 | 0.966 | 9.74 |
| Cold Storage / Warehouse (+/-7,000 SF) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| Office Building (+/-1,000 SF) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| Total Project Trips: | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 |

(a) Number of vehicle trips per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$

The project will generate approximately 22 daily trips (two-way trip ends) and 2 trips during the AM and PM peak hour periods. The project trips were assignment to San Juan Road and Allison Road based on a review of the existing count data. The project traffic volumes are illustrated on Figure 4. The westbound left turn volume associated with the project will comprise less than $5 \%$ of the total westbound left turn volume during the AM peak hour.

## Evaluation of Potential Project Impacts

Similar to the existing conditions analysis, the existing plus project volumes were evaluated using the Synchro 10 software. The existing plus project analysis was conducted using the existing intersection geometrics. The results of the existing plus project LOS analysis are presented in Table 3. The existing delay and LOS data are also provided in Table 3 for comparison purposes. Copies of the Synchro 10 LOS worksheets are attached.

Table 3 - Existing Plus Project Intersection LOS Analysis

| Study Intersection | Peak <br> Hour | Average Delay - LOS |  | Project <br> Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing | Existing Plus Project |  |
| San Juan Rd. / Allison Rd. | AM | 0.9 - A | 0.9 - A | No |
| NB Approach (a) - |  | (16.7-C) | (16.8-C) |  |
|  | PM | $1.0-\mathrm{A}$ | 1.0 - A | No |
| NB Approach (a) - |  | (14.7-B) | (14.9-B) |  |

(a) Highest stop controlled approach delay in parenthesis

The data in Table 3 demonstrates that average vehicle delays and delays on the northbound approach of Allison Road will remain within acceptable limits (LOS C or better). Therefore, it's concluded the proposed project will not have a potentially significant impact on peak hour operations at the San Juan Road / Allison Road intersection.

County staff also requested a review of internal circulation on the project site. The project site plan and WB-67 truck ( $\mathrm{L}=73.5^{\prime}$ ) was used to evaluate on-site circulation. The truck turning templates were performed using the AutoTurn software. The turning template was executed to demonstrate a large trucks ability to enter and exit the site without conflicting with a truck parked on-site (adjacent to westerly property line), a truck parked at the loading dock area or the new building construction (marked in BLUE). The turning template was also performed to demonstrate a large trucks ability to exit the loading dock area without conflicting with a truck parked on-site (adjacent to westerly property line) or an existing building. The truck turning templates are illustrated on Figures 5A and 5B.

## Project Development Fee Estimate

The proposed project is subject to the applicable development impact fees in Monterey County. The County's development fee is based on the schedule in the Monterey Countywide Traffic Impact Fee Cold Storage Exp_R01

Nexus Study (Aug. 1, 2014). The County's development fee for warehouse ( $\$ 368$ per 1,000 SF) and office ( $\$ 4,009$ per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ) space is based on the amount of square footage (area). A regional development fee is also required by the Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC). The applicable TAMC fee is based on the current 2018 rate schedule (Regional Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study Update, Oct. 2018). In a similar manner, the TAMC fee schedule for warehouse ( $\$ 1,999$ per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ) and office ( $\$ 4,460$ per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ) space is also based on the amount of square footage. A summary of the development impact fee estimates is provided in Table 4.

Table 4 - Project Development Fee Estimate

| Applicable Agency | Fee Estimate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Monterey "Countywide" Fee: |  |
| 7,000 SF Warehouse (a) | $\$ 2,576$ |
| 1,000 SF Office (b) | $\$ 4,099$ |
| TAMC "Regional" Fee: |  |
| 7,000 SF Warehouse (c) | $\$ 13,993$ |
| 1,000 SF Office (d) | $\$ 4,460$ |
| Total Development Fee: | $\$ 25,128$ |

(a) Countywide fee $=\$ 368$ per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$
(b) Countywide fee $=\$ 4,099$ per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$
(c) TAMC fee $=\$ 1,999$ per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$
(d) TAMC fee $=\$ 4,460$ per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$

The total Countywide and TAMC regional development impact fee estimate is $\$ 25,128$. Payment of the project's development impact fee provides a fair-share contribution towards future improvements identified by both agencies (e.g. installation of left turn lanes on San Juan Road at Allison Road). The project applicant has a right to appeal or negotiate the fee with the Monterey County and/or TAMC.

## Traffic Assessment Summary

The traffic assessment provides an evaluation of the potential impacts associated with the proposed project. The existing cold storage facility operates between 8:00 AM \& 10:00 PM with 5-7 employees. The project includes additional cold storage and warehouse space ( $+/-7,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ) and a new office building ( $+/-1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ). The project description indicates there are no proposed changes to the existing operations, with a possible increase of 2-3 employees. It's estimated the project will generate 22 daily trips (two-way trip ends) and 2 trips during the AM and PM peak hour periods.

To document existing conditions at the San Juan Road / Allison Road intersection new peak hour traffic count data was collected. The evaluation of existing conditions demonstrates that average vehicle delays and delays on the northbound approach of Allison Road are within acceptable limits, as defined by Monterey County (LOS C or better).

Average speeds on San Juan Road (both directions) were recorded at $50 \mathrm{mph}\left(85^{\text {th }}\right.$ percentile speed of 54 mph ). An evaluation of access on San Juan Road was conducted using the Caltrans HDM criterion. Vehicles on San Juan Road have sufficient stopping sight distance at Allison Road. Corner sight distance looking east at westbound vehicles on San Juan Road is sufficient. However, the controlling line-of-sight from Allison Road looking west at eastbound vehicles on San Juan Road is an existing wood fence (corner sight distance is only adequate for $20-25 \mathrm{mph}$ ). The corner of the existing fence should be relocated south to improve the line-of-sight looking west from Allison Road. The existing east-west left turn volumes on San Juan Road currently satisfy the County's left turn lane warrant.

An evaluation of existing plus project conditions at the San Juan Road / Allison Road intersection indicates average delays and delays on the northbound approach of Allison Road will remain within acceptable limits. Therefore, it's concluded the proposed project will not have a potentially significant impact on peak hour operations. An evaluation of on-site circulation demonstrates a large trucks ability to maneuver without conflicting with trucks parked on-site, a truck parked at the loading dock area or the new building construction.

The proposed project is subject to the applicable development impact fees in Monterey County. The County's development fee is based on the schedule in the Monterey Countywide Traffic Impact Fee Nexus Study. A regional development fee is also required by the TAMC. The total Countywide and TAMC regional development impact fee estimate is $\$ 25,128$. Payment of the project's development impact fee provides a fair-share contribution towards future improvements identified by both agencies.

Please contact my office if there are any questions regarding the project traffic assessment.
Pinnacle Traffic Engineering


Larry D. Hail, CE, TE, PTOE
President
ldh:msw


Attachments: Figure 1 - Project Location Map
Figure 2 - Existing Traffic Volumes
Figure 3 - Sight Distance
Figure 4 - Project Traffic Volumes
Figures 5A and 5B - Truck Turning Templates
Traffic Count Data (Feb. 4, 2020) - NDS
Level of Service (LOS) Descriptions and Vehicle Delay Criterion
San Juan Road Vehicle Speed Data and County Left Turn Lane Warrant Graph
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National Data \& Surveying Services
Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: Allison St \& San Juan Rd
City: Pajaro
Control: 1-Way Stop(NB)

Project ID: 20-08048-001
Date: 2/4/2020

| NS/EW Streets: | Allison St |  |  |  | Allison St |  |  |  | San Juan Rd |  |  |  | San Juan Rd |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | NORTHBOUND |  |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| 7:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 68 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 206 |
| 7:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 224 |
| 7:30 AM | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 237 |
| 7:45 AM | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 186 | 1 | 0 | 263 |
| 8:00 AM | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 194 |
| 8:15 AM | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 168 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 8:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
|  | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 26 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 430 | 25 | 0 | 32 | 1032 | 1 | 0 | 1585 |
| APPROACH \%'s : | 45.61\% | 0.00\% | 52.63\% | 1.75\% | 0.00\% | 16.67\% | 83.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.44\% | 94.09\% | 5.47\% | 0.00\% | 3.00\% | 96.90\% | 0.09\% | 0.00\% |  |
| PEAK HR : | 07:00 AM - 08:00 AM |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.250 \\ 0.250 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \\ & 0.879 \\ & \quad 0.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 0.667 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 0.875 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 610 \\ & 0.820 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1^{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL : | 16 | 0 | 21 | 1 |  |  |  | 930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.444 | 0.000 | 0.750 | 0.250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.731 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.884 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PM | NORTHBOUND |  |  |  | SOUTHBOUND |  |  |  | EASTBOUND |  |  |  | WESTBOUND |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \mathrm{NL} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { NT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { NR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \mathrm{NU} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { SL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \mathrm{ST} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { SR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { SU } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ E L \end{gathered}$ | 1ET | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { FR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { EU } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ w \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { WT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { WR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { WU } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 213 |
| 4:15 PM | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 95 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 229 |
| 4:30 PM | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 98 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 229 |
| 4:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 119 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
| 5:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 126 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 79 | 1 | 0 | 225 |
| 5:15 PM | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 130 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| 5:30 PM | 6 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 125 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 227 |
| 5:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 110 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 191 |
|  | NL | NT | NR | NU | SL | ST | SR | SU | EL | ET | ER | EU | WL | WT | WR | WU | TOTAL |
| TOTAL VOLUMES : | 28 | 0 | 68 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 889 | 31 | 0 | 30 | 720 | 2 | 0 | 1793 |
| APPROACH \%'s : | 28.57\% | 0.00\% | 69.39\% | 2.04\% | 9.09\% | 0.00\% | 81.82\% | 9.09\% | 1.29\% | 95.39\% | 3.33\% | 0.00\% | 3.99\% | 95.74\% | 0.27\% | 0.00\% |  |
| PEAK HR : |  | 4:30 PM | 5:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| PEAK HR VOL: | 13 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 473 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 372 | 1 | 0 | 933 |
| PEAK HR FACTOR : | 0.542 | 0.000 | 0.792 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.910 | 0.750 | 0.000 | 0.550 | 0.861 | 0.250 | 0.000 |  |
|  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.8 |  |  | 0.956 |

## National Data \& Surveying Services

## Intersection Turning Movement Count
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The ability of a highway system to carry traffic is expressed in terms of it's "Service Level" at critical locations, usually intersections. Service levels are defined as follows:
"LOS A" Conditions primarily describe free-flowing operations. Vehicles are completely unimpeded in their ability to maneuver within the traffic stream. Control delay at the boundary intersections is minimal. The travel speed exceeds $85 \%$ of the base free-flow speed.
"LOS B" Conditions describe reasonably unimpeded operations. The ability to maneuver within the traffic stream is only slightly restricted and control delay at the boundary intersections is not significant. The travel speed is between $67 \%$ and $85 \%$ of the base free-flow speed.
"LOS C" Conditions describe stable operations. The ability to maneuver and change lanes at mid-segment locations may be more restricted than at LOS B. Longer queues at the boundary intersections may contribute to lower travel speeds. The travel speed is between $50 \%$ and $67 \%$ of the base free-flow speed.
"LOS D" Conditions describe less stable operations in which small increases in flow may cause substantial increases in delay and decreases in travel speed. This operation may be due to adverse signal progression, high volume, or inappropriate signal timing at the boundary intersections. The travel speed is between $40 \%$ and $50 \%$ of the base free-flow speed.
"LOS E" Conditions describe unstable operations and significant delay. Such operations may be due to some combination of adverse progression, high volume, and inappropriate signal timing at the boundary intersections. The travel speed is between $30 \%$ and $40 \%$ of the base free-flow speed.
"LOS F" Conditions describe flow at extreme low speed. Congestion is likely occurring at the boundary intersections, as indicated by high delay and extensive queuing. The travel speed is $30 \%$ or less of the base free-flow speed. Also, LOS F is assigned to the subject direction of travel if the through movement at one or more boundary intersections has a volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio greater than 1.0.

## TWO-WAY STOP SIGN CONTROLLED INTERSECTIONS

LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CRITERIA FOR TWSC INTERSECTIONS

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay (S/veh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-50$ |
| F | $. \quad>50$ |

## ALL-WAY STOP SIGN CONTROLLED INTERSECTIONS

The level-of-service criteria are given in Exhibit The criteria for AWSC intersections have different threshold values than do those for signalized intersections primarily because drivers expect different levels of performance from distinct types of transportation facilities. The expectation is that a signalized intersection is designed to carry higher traffic volumes than an AWSC intersection. Thus a higher level of control delay is acceptable at a signalized intersection for the same LOS.

LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CRITERIA FOR AWSC INTERSECTIONS

| Level of Service | Control Delay (s/veh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

## SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

The average control delay per vehicle is estimated for each lane group and aggregated for each approach and for the intersection as a whole. LOS is directly related to the control delay value.

LOS CRITERIA FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| LOS | Control Delay per Vehicle (s/veh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>20-35$ |
| D | $>35-55$ |
| E | $>55-80$ |

1: Allison Rd. \& San Juan Rd.



1: Allison Rd. \& San Juan Rd.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | * |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 473 | 12 | 11 | 372 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 473 | 12 | 11 | 372 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 493 | 13 | 11 | 388 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 6 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 239 | 17 | 22 | 610 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 239 | 17 | 22 | 610 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 272 | 19 | 25 | 693 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 24 | , | 0 | 5 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{6}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 473 | 12 | 11 | 372 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 473 | 12 | 11 | 372 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 493 | 13 | 11 | 388 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |



## Pinnacle Traffic Engineering

831 C Street • Hollister, CA 95023 • (831) 638-9260
Duran Cold Storage Expansion Project (PLN190077); Monterey Co., CA Project Traffic Assessment - PTE \#349-A

Speed Data - San Juan Rd. @ Allison Rd. - LDH; 7:50 AM (2/5/20) \& 5:00 PM (2/4/20)

| Data \# | Eastbound (EB) - MPH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 50 | 51 |  |
| 2. | 45 | 46 |  |
| 3. | 52 | 45 |  |
| 4. | 49 | 47 |  |
| 5. | 58 | 44 |  |
| 6. | 55 | 57 |  |
| 7. | 53 | 52 |  |
| 8. | 58 | 47 |  |
| 9. | 46 | 50 |  |
| 10. | 42 | 47 |  |
| 11. | 56 | 54 |  |
| 12. | 46 | 47 |  |
| 13. | 47 | 48 |  |
| 14. | 45 | 46 |  |
| 15. | 53 | 43 |  |
| 16. | 55 | 54 |  |
| 17. | 46 | 40 |  |
| 18. | 50 |  |  |
| 19. | 53 |  |  |
| 20. | 50 |  |  |
| 21. | 52 |  |  |
| 22. | 52 |  |  |
| 23. | 52 |  |  |
| 24. | 46 |  |  |
| 25. | 49 |  |  |
| 26. | 53 |  |  |
| 27. |  |  |  |
| 28. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Totals: | 1,313 | 818 |  |
|  | Total: | 2,131 | 2131 |

Dry \& Clear
EB Average Travel Speed:
Eastbound (EB) : 2,131/43=
85th Percentile Speed (EB):
WB Average Travel Speed:
Westbound (WB) : 2,597 / $52=$
85th Percentile Speed (WB):

| Data \# | Westbound (WB) - MPH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 45 | 38 |  |
| 2. | 52 | 47 |  |
| 3. | 53 | 53 |  |
| 4. | 52 | 50 |  |
| 5. | 48 | 52 |  |
| 6. | 52 | 40 |  |
| 7. | 51 | 52 |  |
| 8. | 49 | 49 |  |
| 9. | 48 | 48 |  |
| 10. | 46 | 50 |  |
| 11. | 54 | 49 |  |
| 12. | 55 | 45 |  |
| 13. | 48 | 58 |  |
| 14. | 47 | 47 |  |
| 15. | 48 | 52 |  |
| 16. | 46 | 48 |  |
| 17. | 48 | 54 |  |
| 18. | 46 | 63 |  |
| 19. | 52 | 43 |  |
| 20. | 54 | 50 |  |
| 21. | 53 | 65 |  |
| 22. | 50 | 52 |  |
| 23. | 50 | 42 |  |
| 24. | 46 | 52 |  |
| 25. | 46 | 53 |  |
| 26. | 50 | 56 |  |
| 27. |  |  |  |
| 28. |  |  |  |
| 20. |  |  |  |
| Totals: | 1,289 | 1,308 |  |
|  | Total: | 2,597 | 2597 |

Dry \& Clear
49.6 MPH

54 MPH
( $\times$ H - पd) S」

