Before the Board of Supervisors in and for the County of Monterey, State of California

RESOLUTION NO	
Resolution by the Monterey County Board of Supervisors to:)
Set aside Resolution No. 15-084 for the Harper Canyon (Encina Hills) Subdivision Project as to only project wildlife corridor issues in compliance with the Second Amended Peremptory Writ of Mandate.)))))))

WHEREAS, on April 7, 2015, the Board of Supervisors approved a 17-lot subdivision (the "Project") proposed by Harper Canyon Realty LLC ("Harper") on approximately 344 acres of land located south of State Route 68 and east of San Benancio Road in the Toro Area. To do so, the Board of Supervisors (the "Board") adopted Resolution No. 15-084 which did the following: (1) certified the Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project; (2) adopted California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") findings and a Statement of Overriding Considerations; (3) upheld the appeal by Harper from the Planning Commission's denial of their application; (4) approved a Combined Development Permit consisting of a Vesting Tentative Map for the subdivision and associated Use Permits; and (5) adopted a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan.

WHEREAS, on May 4 and 6, 2015, LandWatch Monterey County and Meyer Community Group (collectively, "Petitioners") filed separate but related challenges to the County's approval of the Project in Monterey County Superior Court (Case Nos. M131893 and M131913). Petitioners claimed that County failed to comply with the CEQA and that its approval of the Project was inconsistent with the County's 1982 General Plan. The trial court upheld the Board's action except with respect to the need to recirculate the FEIR's groundwater resources analysis and the FEIR's analysis of project-level impacts on wildlife corridors.

WHEREAS, on December 3, 2018, the Superior Court issued its Final Statement of Decision and Ruling on Remedy in the case. The County and Harper appealed the Superior Court's judgment and argued that substantial evidence supported the County's determinations regarding the Project's wildlife corridor impacts. The County and Harper also appealed the Superior Court's decision that CEQA requires recirculation of the FEIR on groundwater resources. Petitioners filed cross-appeals asserting that the trial court erred in rejecting their claims that the FEIR was legally inadequate in its discussion of the Project's setting and its cumulative effect on groundwater resources.

WHEREAS, on March 29, 2021, the Court of Appeal issued its opinion. The Court of Appeal denied Petitioners cross-appeal and found that the County's analysis of groundwater resources in the Draft EIR was adequate. The Court of Appeal also held that CEQA did not mandate recirculation of the FEIR on the topic of groundwater resources prior to approval of the Project. The Court of Appeal agreed with the trial court's conclusion that the FEIR's analysis of the Project's impact on wildlife corridors was deficient and not supported by substantial

evidence. The Court of Appeal remanded the matter to the trial court with directions to vacate its original order, and to issue a new writ of mandate ordering the Board to vacate Resolution No. 15-084, and to vacate the Board's approval and certification of the EIR for the Project only as it relates to wildlife corridor issue.

WHEREAS, On July 1, 2021, the Superior Court issued its Second Amended Judgment Granting Peremptory Writ of Mandate, and Second Amended Peremptory Writ of Mandate. The writ requires the Board do the following:

- 1. Set aside the portions of Resolution No. 15-084 certifying the FEIR for the Project as to project wildlife corridor issues only and reconsider the non-CEQA approvals in Resolution No. 15-084 and set them aside only to the extent they are dependent on project wildlife corridor issues.
- 2. To not take any further action to approve the Project without the preparation, circulation and consideration under CEQA of a legally adequate EIR with regard to the wildlife corridor issues discussed in the Court of Appeal Opinion.
- 3. Make and file a return to this writ within 60 days of taking such action, setting forth what it has done to comply.

WHEREAS, the Board desires to comply fully with the Second Amended Peremptory Writ of Mandate issued by the Court.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board does hereby:

- 1. Find that the above recitals are true and correct;
- 2. Set aside Resolution No. 15-084 certifying the FEIR and granting permits and entitlements for the Project only for the purpose of reconsidering whether the Project as designed and previously approved would result in a significant impact to wildlife corridors, in light of additional analysis of such potential impacts to be undertaken consistent with the direction of the court;
- 3. Retain jurisdiction over Project Application File No. PLN000696;
- 4. To not take any further action to approve the Project without the preparation, circulation and consideration under CEQA of a legally adequate EIR with regard to the wildlife corridor issues discussed in the Court of Appeal Opinion; and
- 5. Authorize and direct the Office of the County Counsel to file with the Superior Court a return to the Second Amended Peremptory Writ of Mandate with a copy of this Resolution attached thereto, and take any other actions necessary to fully and promptly comply with the Writ.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on this 27th day of July 2021, by the following vote:

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: I, Valerie Ralph, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Monterey, State of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an original order of said Board of Supervisors duly made and entered in the minutes thereof of Minute Book____ for the meeting on _____.

Dated:

Valerie Ralph, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors County of Monterey, State of California

By _____ Deputy