Exhibit D



KENT L. SEAVEY

310 LIGHTHOUSE AVENUE PACIFIC GROVE, CALIFORNIA 93950 (831) 375-8739

October 27, 2023

Studio Schicketanz P.O. Box 2704 Carmel, CA 93923

Dear Ms. Schicketanz:

Thank you for the opportunity to address the proposed small skylight addition to the main entry of the Moss residence, located at 55 Encina Dr. (APN# 187-041-042) in Carmel Valley, for consistency with the Secretary of the Interior's Standard for Rehabilitation, as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the County of Monterey. Please see a copy of the DPR523 provided for a full description of the residence, and its historic significance.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

It is my understanding that the County of Monterey is requesting concurrence from a certified historical consultant as to the projects compliance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. As noted in the DPR523 provided, the subject property is listed as eligible for local historic listing under the NRHP Status Code of 5S1. The subject project will bring much needed natural daylight into a difficult interior space, and essentially not be visible from the exterior, affecting no visual change to the historic building envelope.

It is my professional opinion that the minor project as presented, is fully consistent with the Rehabilitation Standards, as a compatible use, through minor alteration, while preserving those portions or features which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values of the historic resource, with no mitigation needed as it will not create a significant adverse impact on the historic resource or its environment (see photos and drawings provided.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kest & Seavery

HISTORIC PRESERVATION MUSEUM INTERPRETATION

From: Kent Seavey < seaveykent@gmail.com >

Sent: Friday, May 23, 2025 6:51 PM

To: Tai Tang < ttang@studioschicketanz.com > Subject: Re: Moss Roofing Replacement.

Dear Mr, Tang:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed roof treatment for the Moss's Mills Hse. in Carmel Valley..

The materials you showed me meet the requirements of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties, under the Standard for Rehabilitation in at last two ways, First, as the material addresses the Criteria for integrity, in that it meets the definition of as the ability of a property to convey its significance through seven aspects of integrity, location design, setting, materials. workmanship, feeling & Association with its historic character. The roofing material closely resembles the deteriorated material in kind, visually & physically protecting the roof's design character., The workmanship will be consistent with the existing character of the roof, in its rural location and setting, continuing the feeling of significant architect Mark Mills design intention. Secondly the material is consistent with code, especially as it pertains to fire resistance, as well as its design, & consistent with Rehabilitation Standards # 6, as the new material will reflect the original roofing in design and materials, while meeting Standard #9.s requirement for differentiation from the original material but compatible with the historic roof covering.

Although employing the same kind of material is always the preferred option, due to county code provisions for fire safety, in this case substitute material, like that proposed, would be an acceptable alternative, if the form, design & scale, as noted above, can effectively replicate the appearance of the remaining features. As a state certified Historic Architectural Historian and Preservation Consultant. It is my professional opinion the roof repair treatment accomplishes that goal, consistent with the Secretary's Rehabilitation Standards. Respectfully, Kent L. Seavey



This page intentionally left blank